

In Opinions

Date	Last Name	First Name	Favor/Not Favor
9/15/2014	Longmire	Chad	Not in favor - Ridiculous idea
9/15/2014	Daley	Philmore	Not in favor - Totally against it, do not utilize the course, not right to have to pay for the course. It is ludicrous.
9/15/2014	Obello	Jennifer	Not in favor - Too much money.
9/15/2014	Bates	Carol	In favor - Of a public swimming only. Would like to see the pool in operation more than for just a few weeks in the summer. (Spring through fall hours work best.)
9/16/2014	Valdez	Rojelio	Not in favor - Not in favor of Property Tax Assessment, would be in favor of a Sales Tax increase for the assessment.
9/16/2014	Osterland	Karen	In favor - In favor of a city pool as long as it does not affect the golf course and require the golf course to be sold

Janie Venegas

From: Sharron
Sent: Tuesday, September 16, 2014 11:12 AM
To: City Clerk
Subject: Golf Course

I'm definitely against a proposed special assessment for golf course and other improvements, like a new city pool. A large majority of home owners already have pools and I feel a new pool is totally unnecessary. As for the golf course-- raise the fees, sell, or lease it to someone who can afford to operate it if the City cannot afford to maintain it in a neat and useful manner.

Sent from
Sharron Price

Janie Venegas

From: Jane Cook
Sent: Monday, September 15, 2014 8:27 PM
To: City Clerk
Subject: Special assessment - homeowners

Support?

No. Why does the city always expect HOMEOWNERS to take the brunt of the debts the city incurs--- especially when it occurs by bad decisions by city leaders? And if homeowners did support this, what would the city offer the homeowners in return for this support?

What would I vote for?

A person(s) who really knows how to run a city and keep it thriving; not one that misses monetary opportunities or deadlines for such.

Janie Venegas

From: Patricia Getzfred
Sent: Monday, September 15, 2014 4:02 PM
To: City Clerk
Subject: Special Assessment for Lemoore Golf Course

We are unable to attend tomorrow's meeting discussing the possibility of asking property owners to pay a special assessment to cover improvements at the Lemoore Golf Course. Both my husband and I are NOT in favor of this proposal. As it is, we are paying property taxes and bonds for services we have never used and in some cases didn't want. Our only child never attended Lemoore schools or West Hills College. It is also very unlikely that he will return anytime soon to the Kings county area as jobs are scarce for his field of study in college; so no grandchildren to consider.

Thank you for asking the community for their input before making a decision.

Sincerely,
William & Patricia Getzfred

Janie Venegas

From: cyrus hendren
Sent: Monday, September 15, 2014 2:47 PM
To: City Clerk
Subject: NO MORE TAXES

Unable to attend public meeting but here are some suggestions.

1. Sell the golf course to a private entity to either operate it as a viable business or develop the land for housing or other best use.
2. Assess fees only on those who benefit from the improvements envisioned.
 - a. Raise green fees.
 - b. Assess fees only on property owners whose property border the course. Those fees would likely be off-set by an increase in their property values.

Cyrus Hendren

Janie Venegas

From: Jeffrey Lehman
Sent: Sunday, September 14, 2014 1:29 PM
To: City Clerk
Subject: Lemoore's Recreation Facilities

To Whom It May Concern:

Since I nor my family would use a city pool or play golf, I as a property owner would not vote for an assessment to pay for improvements or build new recreation facilities.

Respectfully yours,

Ginger Lehman

Janie Venegas

From: Nirupam Pal
Sent: Sunday, September 14, 2014 4:01 PM
To: City Clerk
Subject: Special assessment for Golf course and city pool Etc.

With my current utility bill, I received a notification regarding possibility of Lemoore's recreation facilities.

1. I am absolutely for recreational facilities if the assessment is equally distributed between all households (including residents, landlords and business owners).
2. I will never support a golf course since it's not a sports to keep people healthy. There is minimal physical activity. Golf courses takes an exorbitantly large volume of water and Lemoore should never waste its valuable water by spraying on golf courses. A public swimming pool is a better option.
3. My support will be also contingent upon the location and cost.
4. If it is not equally distributed, I am 100% against it.

Nirupam Pal
I own 4 multiple units in Lemoore.

Nirupam Pal, Ph.D.

Janie Venegas

From: Rose Aguayo
Sent: Sunday, September 14, 2014 8:54 PM
To: City Clerk
Subject: City Council Meeting - Public Invitation

City Council Members,

I am sending an e-mail in regards to Lemoore's recreation facilities and asking property owners to vote for a special assessment to pay for improvements to the Lemoore Golf Course and other facilities like a community pool. I own a property in the college park area.

My opinion regarding a special assessment is that a one- time per year assessment of \$ 100.00 for each property owner, not to exceed 5 years would be appropriate only to maintain the golf course and **not other recreation facilities** and **definitely not to build or maintain a community pool.**

Rose Aguayo
Property Owner

Lemoore, CA 93245

We have been paying extra for clean water. We have not seen that yet. Get us clean water first.

CITY CLERK'S OFFICE

SEP 16 2014

RECEIVED

Do you want to keep Lemoore's recreation facilities here for the future?

The Lemoore City Council is considering whether to ask property owners in Lemoore to vote for a special assessment to pay for improvements to Lemoore Golf Course and possibly other recreation facilities, like a new city pool.

NO!

NO!

The City Council wants to hear your opinion on the proposed special assessment.

No to any assessment!

What would you support? Give us your input.

What would you vote for? Tell us.

MEMBERS OF COUNCIL ET AL

MY ANSWER TO YOUR QUESTION IS Yes. IT IS IMPORTANT FOR THE CITY TO HAVE FACILITIES AND ACTIVITIES FOR IT'S RESIDENTS. HOWEVER IF THE PUBLIC DOES NOT KNOW ALL THE FACTS, I AM NOT IN FAVOR OF A BOND JUST FOR COUNCIL AND THE RECREATION DEPT TO SQUANDER.

QUESTIONS I HAVE TO ASK;

*HOW MUCH FOR A NEW POOL?

*ANNUAL OPERATING COST OF POOL.

*ANNUAL DESIGNATED RESERVES FOR UP KEEP

*PROJECTED UTILITIZATION.

*WHAT OTHER FACILITIES ARE WE LOOKING AT?

*IS THERE ANY ORGANIZED COMMUNITY GROUPS PROMOTING THESE PROJECTS?

*WHAT CAPITAL COSTS HAS THE GOLF COURSE INCURRED OVER THE LAST 10 YEARS?

*WHAT CAPITAL COSTS ARE PROJECTED OVER THE NEXT YEARS?

*WHAT CONCERN DID THE CITY HAVE WITH RICH ROADS OPERATING UNDER CONTRACT TO THE CITY?

*THE COURSE BRINGS PEOPLE TO LEMOORE FROM VISALIA.HANFORD. CORCORAN,COALINGA AND FRESNO ON A DAILY BASIS.

*WE ARE TRULY BLESSED TO HAVE THIS PUBLIC COURSE SERVING OUR TRADING COMMUNITY OF OVER 100 THOUSAND.

*I UNDERSTAND THE CITY HAS SUBSTANTIAL RESERVES OF WHICH I AM NOT INFORMED OF THEIR DESIGNATION.

MY COMMENT COMMENTIS, I SEE NO COMMON THREAD TRYING A NEW SWIM POOL, OTHER SPORTS FACILITIES AND THE CONTINUED OPERATION OF OUR PUBLIC GOLF COURSE. THE LOANS TO THE CITY WILL BE PAID OFF IN DUE TIME AND THE COURSE WILL ONTINUE ON NICELY ON ITS OWN.WHAT MORE CAN THE CITY ASK FOR? THIS CIRCUS HAS CONTINUED ON LONG ENOUGH.

CHARLES OSTERLAND

RESIDENT AND LEMOORE BUSINESSMAN

Janie Venegas

From: Hartman, James A - CIV
Sent: Tuesday, September 16, 2014 3:40 PM
To: City Clerk
Subject: PROPOSED SPECIAL ASSESSMENT

Lemoore Recreational facilities need to take a back seat until water crisis is over...if ever. Additional water for a pool is laughable in the wake of our present water problems. I don't use the golf course so I don't really care what happens to it. For those who enjoy that past time, hopefully for them there are other alternatives.

I already pay the city an extra 1000.00 dollars in my property taxes somehow for landscaping around my home on city property. That assessment is enough for something being misused and adding the golf course fees perhaps in the way of taxes or whatever is something I would say no to.

Let's use the extra money for possible wells that are needed in the future or for drilling further down to locate water. I would also comment on the fact that much mismanagement is going on other places, let's not add more to that please.

Thank you.

R/

Jim Hartman