



7404 N. Spalding Avenue, Fresno, California 93720-3370  
Telephone: (559) 431-5600 Fax: (559) 261-9366

**MEMORANDUM**

**DATE:** April 8, 2015  
**TO:** Ron Hoggard  
Interim City Manager  
City of Lemoore  
**FROM:** Jenell Van Bindsbergen  
City Attorney  
Lozano Smith  
**RE:** Governor Brown's 4/1/2015 Executive Order regarding Water Conservation

**CLIENT/MATTER:**  
01943-001

---

Executive Order 29-15 was issued by Governor Brown on April 1, 2015, and ordered the State Water Resources Control Board (Board) to impose restrictions to achieve a statewide 25% reduction in potable urban water usage through 2/28/2016. (This is an increase of 5% from the existing requirement to reduce potable water usage by 20%.) This reduction is to be as compared to the amount used in 2013.

The current schedule for the Board to develop & adopt Emergency Water Conservation Regulations compliant with the April 1, 2015 Executive Order is as follows:

- 4/1/2015 – Governor issues Drought Executive Order (#B-29-15 - see Exhibit 1)
- 4/7/2015 – Notice announcing release of draft regulatory framework and request for public comment. (This was released April 7, 2015. See Exhibit 2.) The Board is seeking feedback on the Proposed Regulatory Framework as well as other ideas on how to achieve the 25% reduction. Comments and ideas may be submitted no later than April 13, 2015 to [Jessica.Bean@waterboards.ca.gov](mailto:Jessica.Bean@waterboards.ca.gov).
- 4/17/2015 – Notice announcing release of draft regulations for informal public comment.
- 4/28/2015 – Emergency rulemaking formal notice.

- 5/5-6/2015 – Board hearing and adoption of regulations. If the new regulations are approved at that meeting, the new regulations would take effect June 1, 2015.

The proposed regulatory framework issued on April 7, 2015, addresses four major areas for urban water suppliers:

- 1) Divides water suppliers into *residential per capita water use* (R-GPCD) groups based on use in September 2014, placing the urban water suppliers into four usage level categories. The lower the R-GPCD is for the urban water supplier, the lower the percentage of use reduction proposed - which ranges from 10% to 35%. This method is intended to equitably and effectively achieve a 25% aggregate statewide reduction in potable water use. (See table on page 1 of Exhibit 2, and listing of Urban Water Users and classification in the table, attached as Exhibit 3.)
- 2) Establishes new reporting requirements related to commercial, industrial and institutional sector customers.
- 3) Establishes a compliance assessment method comparing the reports for June 2015 through February 2016 to the usage in June 2013 through February 2014. Compliance will be assessed for monthly and cumulative water usage reductions.
- 4) Identifies existing enforcement tools classifying these tools into either informal enforcement (warning letters, alerts – without monetary penalties), and formal enforcement actions (Cease and Desist Orders, Complaint to assess administrative civil liabilities of up to \$10,000 for each day of non-compliance.) Other tools that could be developed through emergency rule-making might include Informational Orders for specific data/facts, Conservation Orders effective immediately upon receipt describing specific actions required.

There are also additional requirements for **Small Water Suppliers** (those with fewer than 3,000 service connections.) Small Water Suppliers are also to achieve a 25% water savings as compared to their 2013 water use under the proposed regulations. Small Water Suppliers have not been required to report water usage or conservation measures, but under the proposed regulations, they must provide a one-time report to the Board within 180 days after the effective date of the new emergency regulation which reports potable water production from June through November 2015 and the same time period in 2013; how many days per week outdoor irrigation is allowed and any other restrictions implemented to achieve a 25% water use reduction; and specific restrictions on commercial, industrial and institutional use. Compliance will be assessed on whether the required data was submitted and if the 25% reduction is met. Enforcement tools would be the same as for Urban Water Suppliers.

Existing regulations already require a 20% reduction in potable water usage and prohibit the following: 1) use of potable water to wash sidewalks & driveways; 2) runoff when irrigating with potable water; 3) use of hoses with no shutoff nozzles to wash cars; 4) use of potable water in decorative water features that do not recirculate the water; and 5) use of outdoor irrigation during and 48 hours following measurable precipitation.

Additional prohibitions to be put in place by the proposed regulations are:

- Use of potable water outside of newly construction homes and buildings that is not delivered by drip or micro-spray systems will be prohibited; and
- Use of potable water to irrigate ornamental turf on public street medians will be prohibited.

Water suppliers should already be implementing conservation measures to meet the current 20% usage reduction. Large Urban water suppliers (3,000 connections or more) must impose restrictions on outdoor irrigation, notify customers about leaks that are within the customer's control, report on water use monthly, and report on compliance and enforcement. Small water suppliers (serving less than 3,000 connections) must limit outdoor irrigation to 2 days per week OR implement comparable measures to achieve a 20% reduction in water use.

A Fact Sheet on Mandatory Conservation Achieving a 25% Statewide Reduction in Potable Urban Water Use is attached as Exhibit 4.

# **EXHIBIT 1**

## Executive Department

State of California

### EXECUTIVE ORDER B-29-15

**WHEREAS** on January 17, 2014, I proclaimed a State of Emergency to exist throughout the State of California due to severe drought conditions; and

**WHEREAS** on April 25, 2014, I proclaimed a Continued State of Emergency to exist throughout the State of California due to the ongoing drought; and

**WHEREAS** California's water supplies continue to be severely depleted despite a limited amount of rain and snowfall this winter, with record low snowpack in the Sierra Nevada mountains, decreased water levels in most of California's reservoirs, reduced flows in the state's rivers and shrinking supplies in underground water basins; and

**WHEREAS** the severe drought conditions continue to present urgent challenges including: drinking water shortages in communities across the state, diminished water for agricultural production, degraded habitat for many fish and wildlife species, increased wildfire risk, and the threat of saltwater contamination to fresh water supplies in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Bay Delta; and

**WHEREAS** a distinct possibility exists that the current drought will stretch into a fifth straight year in 2016 and beyond; and

**WHEREAS** new expedited actions are needed to reduce the harmful impacts from water shortages and other impacts of the drought; and

**WHEREAS** the magnitude of the severe drought conditions continues to present threats beyond the control of the services, personnel, equipment, and facilities of any single local government and require the combined forces of a mutual aid region or regions to combat; and

**WHEREAS** under the provisions of section 8558(b) of the Government Code, I find that conditions of extreme peril to the safety of persons and property continue to exist in California due to water shortage and drought conditions with which local authority is unable to cope; and

**WHEREAS** under the provisions of section 8571 of the California Government Code, I find that strict compliance with various statutes and regulations specified in this order would prevent, hinder, or delay the mitigation of the effects of the drought.

**NOW, THEREFORE, I, EDMUND G. BROWN JR.,** Governor of the State of California, in accordance with the authority vested in me by the Constitution and statutes of the State of California, in particular Government Code sections 8567 and 8571 of the California Government Code, do hereby issue this Executive Order, effective immediately.

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:**

1. The orders and provisions contained in my January 17, 2014 Proclamation, my April 25, 2014 Proclamation, and Executive Orders B-26-14 and B-28-14 remain in full force and effect except as modified herein.

**SAVE WATER**

2. The State Water Resources Control Board (Water Board) shall impose restrictions to achieve a statewide 25% reduction in potable urban water usage through February 28, 2016. These restrictions will require water suppliers to California's cities and towns to reduce usage as compared to the amount used in 2013. These restrictions should consider the relative per capita water usage of each water suppliers' service area, and require that those areas with high per capita use achieve proportionally greater reductions than those with low use. The California Public Utilities Commission is requested to take similar action with respect to investor-owned utilities providing water services.
3. The Department of Water Resources (the Department) shall lead a statewide initiative, in partnership with local agencies, to collectively replace 50 million square feet of lawns and ornamental turf with drought tolerant landscapes. The Department shall provide funding to allow for lawn replacement programs in underserved communities, which will complement local programs already underway across the state.
4. The California Energy Commission, jointly with the Department and the Water Board, shall implement a time-limited statewide appliance rebate program to provide monetary incentives for the replacement of inefficient household devices.
5. The Water Board shall impose restrictions to require that commercial, industrial, and institutional properties, such as campuses, golf courses, and cemeteries, immediately implement water efficiency measures to reduce potable water usage in an amount consistent with the reduction targets mandated by Directive 2 of this Executive Order.
6. The Water Board shall prohibit irrigation with potable water of ornamental turf on public street medians.
7. The Water Board shall prohibit irrigation with potable water outside of newly constructed homes and buildings that is not delivered by drip or microspray systems.

8. The Water Board shall direct urban water suppliers to develop rate structures and other pricing mechanisms, including but not limited to surcharges, fees, and penalties, to maximize water conservation consistent with statewide water restrictions. The Water Board is directed to adopt emergency regulations, as it deems necessary, pursuant to Water Code section 1058.5 to implement this directive. The Water Board is further directed to work with state agencies and water suppliers to identify mechanisms that would encourage and facilitate the adoption of rate structures and other pricing mechanisms that promote water conservation. The California Public Utilities Commission is requested to take similar action with respect to investor-owned utilities providing water services.

#### **INCREASE ENFORCEMENT AGAINST WATER WASTE**

9. The Water Board shall require urban water suppliers to provide monthly information on water usage, conservation, and enforcement on a permanent basis.
10. The Water Board shall require frequent reporting of water diversion and use by water right holders, conduct inspections to determine whether illegal diversions or wasteful and unreasonable use of water are occurring, and bring enforcement actions against illegal diverters and those engaging in the wasteful and unreasonable use of water. Pursuant to Government Code sections 8570 and 8627, the Water Board is granted authority to inspect property or diversion facilities to ascertain compliance with water rights laws and regulations where there is cause to believe such laws and regulations have been violated. When access is not granted by a property owner, the Water Board may obtain an inspection warrant pursuant to the procedures set forth in Title 13 (commencing with section 1822.50) of Part 3 of the Code of Civil Procedure for the purposes of conducting an inspection pursuant to this directive.
11. The Department shall update the State Model Water Efficient Landscape Ordinance through expedited regulation. This updated Ordinance shall increase water efficiency standards for new and existing landscapes through more efficient irrigation systems, greywater usage, onsite storm water capture, and by limiting the portion of landscapes that can be covered in turf. It will also require reporting on the implementation and enforcement of local ordinances, with required reports due by December 31, 2015. The Department shall provide information on local compliance to the Water Board, which shall consider adopting regulations or taking appropriate enforcement actions to promote compliance. The Department shall provide technical assistance and give priority in grant funding to public agencies for actions necessary to comply with local ordinances.
12. Agricultural water suppliers that supply water to more than 25,000 acres shall include in their required 2015 Agricultural Water Management Plans a detailed drought management plan that describes the actions and measures the supplier will take to manage water demand during drought. The Department shall require those plans to include quantification of water supplies and demands for 2013, 2014, and 2015 to the extent data is available. The Department will provide technical assistance to water suppliers in preparing the plans.

13. Agricultural water suppliers that supply water to 10,000 to 25,000 acres of irrigated lands shall develop Agricultural Water Management Plans and submit the plans to the Department by July 1, 2016. These plans shall include a detailed drought management plan and quantification of water supplies and demands in 2013, 2014, and 2015, to the extent that data is available. The Department shall give priority in grant funding to agricultural water suppliers that supply water to 10,000 to 25,000 acres of land for development and implementation of Agricultural Water Management Plans.
14. The Department shall report to Water Board on the status of the Agricultural Water Management Plan submittals within one month of receipt of those reports.
15. Local water agencies in high and medium priority groundwater basins shall immediately implement all requirements of the California Statewide Groundwater Elevation Monitoring Program pursuant to Water Code section 10933. The Department shall refer noncompliant local water agencies within high and medium priority groundwater basins to the Water Board by December 31, 2015, which shall consider adopting regulations or taking appropriate enforcement to promote compliance.
16. The California Energy Commission shall adopt emergency regulations establishing standards that improve the efficiency of water appliances, including toilets, urinals, and faucets available for sale and installation in new and existing buildings.

#### **INVEST IN NEW TECHNOLOGIES**

17. The California Energy Commission, jointly with the Department and the Water Board, shall implement a Water Energy Technology (WET) program to deploy innovative water management technologies for businesses, residents, industries, and agriculture. This program will achieve water and energy savings and greenhouse gas reductions by accelerating use of cutting-edge technologies such as renewable energy-powered desalination, integrated on-site reuse systems, water-use monitoring software, irrigation system timing and precision technology, and on-farm precision technology.

#### **STREAMLINE GOVERNMENT RESPONSE**

18. The Office of Emergency Services and the Department of Housing and Community Development shall work jointly with counties to provide temporary assistance for persons moving from housing units due to a lack of potable water who are served by a private well or water utility with less than 15 connections, and where all reasonable attempts to find a potable water source have been exhausted.
19. State permitting agencies shall prioritize review and approval of water infrastructure projects and programs that increase local water supplies, including water recycling facilities, reservoir improvement projects, surface water treatment plants, desalination plants, stormwater capture, and greywater systems. Agencies shall report to the Governor's Office on applications that have been pending for longer than 90 days.

20. The Department shall take actions required to plan and, if necessary, implement Emergency Drought Salinity Barriers in coordination and consultation with the Water Board and the Department of Fish and Wildlife at locations within the Sacramento - San Joaquin delta estuary. These barriers will be designed to conserve water for use later in the year to meet state and federal Endangered Species Act requirements, preserve to the extent possible water quality in the Delta, and retain water supply for essential human health and safety uses in 2015 and in the future.
21. The Water Board and the Department of Fish and Wildlife shall immediately consider any necessary regulatory approvals for the purpose of installation of the Emergency Drought Salinity Barriers.
22. The Department shall immediately consider voluntary crop idling water transfer and water exchange proposals of one year or less in duration that are initiated by local public agencies and approved in 2015 by the Department subject to the criteria set forth in Water Code section 1810.
23. The Water Board will prioritize new and amended safe drinking water permits that enhance water supply and reliability for community water systems facing water shortages or that expand service connections to include existing residences facing water shortages. As the Department of Public Health's drinking water program was transferred to the Water Board, any reference to the Department of Public Health in any prior Proclamation or Executive Order listed in Paragraph 1 is deemed to refer to the Water Board.
24. The California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection shall launch a public information campaign to educate the public on actions they can take to help to prevent wildfires including the proper treatment of dead and dying trees. Pursuant to Government Code section 8645, \$1.2 million from the State Responsibility Area Fire Prevention Fund (Fund 3063) shall be allocated to the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection to carry out this directive.
25. The Energy Commission shall expedite the processing of all applications or petitions for amendments to power plant certifications issued by the Energy Commission for the purpose of securing alternate water supply necessary for continued power plant operation. Title 20, section 1769 of the California Code of Regulations is hereby waived for any such petition, and the Energy Commission is authorized to create and implement an alternative process to consider such petitions. This process may delegate amendment approval authority, as appropriate, to the Energy Commission Executive Director. The Energy Commission shall give timely notice to all relevant local, regional, and state agencies of any petition subject to this directive, and shall post on its website any such petition.

26. For purposes of carrying out directives 2–9, 11, 16–17, 20–23, and 25, Division 13 (commencing with section 21000) of the Public Resources Code and regulations adopted pursuant to that Division are hereby suspended. This suspension applies to any actions taken by state agencies, and for actions taken by local agencies where the state agency with primary responsibility for implementing the directive concurs that local action is required, as well as for any necessary permits or approvals required to complete these actions. This suspension, and those specified in paragraph 9 of the January 17, 2014 Proclamation, paragraph 19 of the April 25, 2014 proclamation, and paragraph 4 of Executive Order B-26-14, shall remain in effect until May 31, 2016. Drought relief actions taken pursuant to these paragraphs that are started prior to May 31, 2016, but not completed, shall not be subject to Division 13 (commencing with section 21000) of the Public Resources Code for the time required to complete them.
27. For purposes of carrying out directives 20 and 21, section 13247 and Chapter 3 of Part 3 (commencing with section 85225) of the Water Code are suspended.
28. For actions called for in this proclamation in directive 20, the Department shall exercise any authority vested in the Central Valley Flood Protection Board, as codified in Water Code section 8521, et seq., that is necessary to enable these urgent actions to be taken more quickly than otherwise possible. The Director of the Department of Water Resources is specifically authorized, on behalf of the State of California, to request that the Secretary of the Army, on the recommendation of the Chief of Engineers of the Army Corps of Engineers, grant any permission required pursuant to section 14 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 and codified in section 48 of title 33 of the United States Code.
29. The Department is directed to enter into agreements with landowners for the purposes of planning and installation of the Emergency Drought Barriers in 2015 to the extent necessary to accommodate access to barrier locations, land-side and water-side construction, and materials staging in proximity to barrier locations. Where the Department is unable to reach an agreement with landowners, the Department may exercise the full authority of Government Code section 8572.
30. For purposes of this Executive Order, chapter 3.5 (commencing with section 11340) of part 1 of division 3 of the Government Code and chapter 5 (commencing with section 25400) of division 15 of the Public Resources Code are suspended for the development and adoption of regulations or guidelines needed to carry out the provisions in this Order. Any entity issuing regulations or guidelines pursuant to this directive shall conduct a public meeting on the regulations and guidelines prior to adopting them.

31. In order to ensure that equipment and services necessary for drought response can be procured quickly, the provisions of the Government Code and the Public Contract Code applicable to state contracts, including, but not limited to, advertising and competitive bidding requirements, are hereby suspended for directives 17, 20, and 24. Approval by the Department of Finance is required prior to the execution of any contract entered into pursuant to these directives.

This Executive Order is not intended to, and does not, create any rights or benefits, substantive or procedural, enforceable at law or in equity, against the State of California, its agencies, departments, entities, officers, employees, or any other person.

**I FURTHER DIRECT** that as soon as hereafter possible, this Order be filed in the Office of the Secretary of State and that widespread publicity and notice be given to this Order.

**IN WITNESS WHEREOF** I have hereunto set my hand and caused the Great Seal of the State of California to be affixed this 1<sup>st</sup> day of April 2015.

---

EDMUND G. BROWN JR.  
Governor of California

**ATTEST:**

---

ALEX PADILLA  
Secretary of State

# **EXHIBIT 2**

## MANDATORY CONSERVATION PROPOSED REGULATORY FRAMEWORK

The Governor's [April 1, 2015 Executive Order](#) directs the State Water Board to impose restrictions to achieve an aggregate statewide 25% reduction in potable urban water use through February 2016. The Executive Order stipulates the 25% reduction in water use as compared to 2013, but proposes flexibility in how to achieve this reduction in recognition of the level of conservation already achieved by many communities around the State.

Input Requested: The State Water Board is interested in receiving feedback on these regulatory concepts as well as other ideas on how a 25% reduction could be structured. Please submit comments and ideas on the proposed framework by email to Jessica Bean at [Jessica.Bean@waterboards.ca.gov](mailto:Jessica.Bean@waterboards.ca.gov) by **April 13**, 2015.

### Urban Water Suppliers

- I. Apportioning Water Supplier Reductions:** The Executive Order directs the State Water Board to consider the relative per capita water usage of each water suppliers' service area, and have those areas with high per capita use achieve proportionally greater reductions than those with low use. Reporting on residential per capita (R-GPCD) water use began in October 2014 for the September 2014 reporting period. Residential per capita water use is highest during the summer months when outdoor irrigation demand is high. Reported summertime water use is also generally more consistent because the weather varies less from year to year than during the winter. Accordingly, September 2014 R-GPCD serves as a reasonable basis for placement of the 411 urban water suppliers into four categories as follows:

R-GPCD Range (Sept 2014)	# of Suppliers within Range	Conservation Standard
Under 55	18	10%
55-110	126	20%
110-165	132	25%
Over 165	135	35%

The proposed breakdown of water suppliers into R-GPCD groupings with corresponding conservation standards is intended to equitably and effectively achieve a 25% aggregate statewide reduction in potable urban water use.

II. **New Reporting Requirements:** To assess compliance by commercial, industrial, and institutional (CII) sector customers and actions taken by urban water suppliers to reduce CII sector use, the following additional reporting requirements are proposed:

- Monthly commercial sector use;
- Monthly large landscape commercial customer use (e.g. golf courses, amusement parks);
- Monthly industrial sector use;
- Monthly institutional sector use; and
- Monthly large landscape institutional customer use (e.g. cemeteries, college campuses).

Reporting requirements under the [existing Emergency Regulation](#) that took effect March 27, 2015, will remain in effect.

III. **Compliance Assessment:** To determine if urban water suppliers are meeting required use reductions, water production data, as reported by each individual water supplier for the months of June 2015 through February 2016, will be compared to the same period(s) in 2013. Given the severity of the current drought, the State Water Board will assess suppliers' compliance for both monthly and cumulative water usage reductions.

IV. **Enforcement:**

The State Water Board has a variety of tools available to enforce its regulations:

- Informal enforcement, such as warning letters, can provide a clear reminder to water suppliers of the requirements and an alert that their conservation programs are not achieving the desired water savings. Warning letters would generally not be accompanied by monetary penalties
- Formal enforcement actions include Cease and Desist Orders (CDO) to stop non-compliant activity. These Orders generally contain a description of the specific actions, and a timeline for implementing them, required for the recipient to return to compliance. Non-compliance with a CDO during a drought emergency, such as the current one, can result in a complaint to assess Administrative Civil Liabilities of up to \$10,000 for each day of non-compliance.

In addition to these existing tools, other tools may be needed to ensure compliance for the short duration of the regulations. These tools would be developed through the emergency rulemaking and would remain in effect for its duration (270 days unless extended by the State Water Board). The tools include:

- Informational Orders that would enable the Board to require specific data and other facts on conservation practices if conservation targets are not being met.
- Conservation Orders that would go into effect immediately upon receipt, as opposed to CDOs that can only be issued and enforced after the State Water Board holds an evidentiary hearing, if one is requested. A conservation order would describe the specific actions required for the recipient to come into compliance with the requirements of the regulation. Issuance of a conservation order would be subject to reconsideration by the Board and violation of a conservation order would not be subject to the enhanced penalties associated with violation of a CDO during a drought emergency.

The tools will be used alone, or in combination, to address the following compliance problems:

- Failure of water suppliers to file reports as required by the regulation;
- Failure to implement prohibitions and restrictions as described in the Governor's Executive Orders and the emergency regulation; and
- Failure of water suppliers to meet the assigned water use reduction target.

## Small Water Suppliers

There are over 2,600 small water suppliers (those with fewer than 3,000 service connections) that provide water to over 1.5 million Californians. Under the [existing Emergency Regulation](#) that took effect March 27, 2015, these suppliers are required to either limit outdoor irrigation to no more than two times per week or to institute measures that achieve a 20% reduction in use. Small suppliers are not required to report their water production to the Board, but are expected to have the data available on request. Small suppliers will need to contribute to achieving the statewide 25% potable urban water use reduction called for in the Executive Order.

- I. **Apportioning Water Supplier Reductions:** Up until the release of the April 1, 2015 Executive Order, all water suppliers were being asked to achieve a voluntary 20% reduction in water use. The existing emergency regulation assigns responsibilities to both larger urban water suppliers and small suppliers to restrict irrigation to achieve the 20% reduction target. Under this proposal, small water suppliers would be required to achieve a 25% water savings as compared to their 2013 water use under the new regulation.

- II. **Reporting Requirements:** To date, small water suppliers have not been required to report on their water use or conservation measures. Small suppliers would now be directed to provide a one-time report to the State Water Board, 180 days after the effective date of the new emergency regulation, addressing at a minimum:
- Potable water production from June-November 2013 and June-November 2015;
  - The number of days per week outdoor irrigation is allowed and other restrictions implemented to achieve a 25% water use reduction; and
  - Specific restrictions on CII sector use.
- III. **Compliance Assessment:** Compliance would be based upon whether small suppliers submitted the required data and met the 25% water use reduction requirement.
- IV. **Enforcement:** The State Water Board may use any of the tools discussed above, as appropriate.

### Additional Prohibitions and End-User Requirements

The State Water Board's [existing emergency regulation](#) includes a number of water use prohibitions that apply to all Californians and end-user restrictions that apply to specific water users, such as restaurants and hotels. These existing restrictions will remain in effect, and consistent with the Executive Order, the following new prohibitions will be put in place:

- The use of potable water outside of newly constructed homes and buildings that is not delivered by drip or micro-spray systems will be prohibited; and
- The use of potable water to irrigate ornamental turf on public street medians will be prohibited.

The State Water Board will also consider adding requirements for large landscape users (e.g. commercial, industrial, institutional) not served by either type of water supplier discussed above to achieve the 25% statewide reduction in potable urban water use.

# **EXHIBIT 3**

Urban Water Suppliers and Proposed Regulatory Framework Tiers to Achieve 25% Use Reduction

Supplier Name	Total Water Production		Total Water Saved (Jun-14 - Feb-15, compared to 2013, gallons)	Percent Saved (Jun-14 - Feb-15, compared to 2013, gallons)	Tier	Conservation Standard	Sep-2014 R-GPCD
	2013 (Jun - Feb)	2014/15 (Jun-14 - Feb-15)					
Cambria Community Services District	166,216,813	95,513,570	70,703,243	43%	1	10%	40.0
Vernon City of	1,907,061,769	1,788,380,162	118,681,607	6%	1	10%	43.7
Santa Cruz City of	2,527,700,000	1,933,400,000	594,300,000	24%	1	10%	44.9
Seal Beach City of	905,215,264	856,337,550	48,877,714	5%	1	10%	45.3
San Francisco Public Utilities Commission	20,365,410,000	18,717,900,000	1,647,510,000	8%	1	10%	45.7
California Water Service Company South San Francisco	2,075,673,590	1,907,534,254	168,139,336	8%	1	10%	46.1
California Water Service Company East Los Angeles	3,998,522,861	3,819,956,279	178,566,582	4%	1	10%	48.2
Coastside County Water District	565,550,000	524,430,000	41,120,000	7%	1	10%	48.2
California-American Water Company Monterey District	2,903,844,543	2,590,336,368	313,508,175	11%	1	10%	49.3
California-American Water Company San Diego District	2,795,094,888	2,578,195,144	216,899,744	8%	1	10%	49.4
East Palo Alto, City of	409,886,088	454,911,335	-45,025,247	-11%	1	10%	49.7
Golden State Water Company Bell-Bell Gardens	1,279,423,043	1,208,354,847	71,068,196	6%	1	10%	50.0
Arcata City of	499,104,000	495,047,000	4,057,000	1%	1	10%	50.2
North Coast County Water District	809,332,364	713,333,361	95,999,003	12%	1	10%	51.2
Hayward City of	4,474,967,937	3,957,222,483	517,745,455	12%	1	10%	52.2
Grover Beach City of	352,828,667	208,202,769	144,625,897	41%	1	10%	52.7
Westborough Water District	257,568,499	213,776,790	43,791,709	17%	1	10%	54.2
Daly City City of	1,888,066,301	1,622,632,784	265,433,517	14%	1	10%	55.6
Park Water Company	2,833,164,110	2,598,821,539	234,342,571	8%	2	20%	55.8
San Bruno City of	929,865,974	849,620,197	80,245,777	9%	2	20%	58.3
Port Hueneme City of	500,546,894	456,100,759	44,446,135	9%	2	20%	59.9
Soquel Creek Water District	1,046,626,000	826,889,000	219,737,000	21%	2	20%	60.3
Paramount City of	1,628,999,712	1,623,382,034	5,617,679	0%	2	20%	61.2
Golden State Water Company Bay Point	512,238,443	452,672,802	59,565,641	12%	2	20%	61.9
Amador Water Agency	899,761,000	773,623,400	126,137,600	14%	2	20%	61.9
Golden State Water Company Florence Graham	1,246,577,219	1,227,482,326	19,094,894	2%	2	20%	62.1
Compton City of	1,858,895,919	1,837,323,747	21,572,172	1%	2	20%	65.0
South Gate City of	2,066,696,383	2,017,629,675	49,066,708	2%	2	20%	66.5
Golden State Water Company Southwest	7,303,405,789	6,894,299,322	409,106,467	6%	2	20%	66.7
Estero Municipal Improvement District	1,137,677,797	1,077,438,670	60,239,127	5%	2	20%	67.2
California Water Service Company King City	428,820,478	403,729,918	25,090,560	6%	2	20%	67.2
Menlo Park City of	1,058,240,665	769,095,397	289,145,268	27%	2	20%	67.7
Huntington Park City of	1,171,761,731	1,128,423,492	43,338,240	4%	2	20%	67.8

**Urban Water Suppliers and Proposed Regulatory Framework Tiers to Achieve 25% Use Reduction**

Supplier Name	Total Water Production		Total Water Saved (Jun-14 - Feb-15, compared to 2013, gallons)	Percent Saved (Jun-14 - Feb-15, compared to 2013, gallons)	Tier	Conservation Standard	Sep-2014 R-GPCD
	2013 (Jun - Feb)	2014/15 (Jun-14 - Feb-15)					
Golden State Water Company S San Gabriel	664,867,252	637,528,317	27,338,935	4%	2	20%	68.1
Oxnard City of	5,742,131,037	5,086,123,686	656,007,351	11%	2	20%	68.1
Redwood City City of	2,525,846,774	2,179,170,327	346,676,447	14%	2	20%	68.4
Morro Bay City of	316,836,255	281,236,756	35,599,499	11%	2	20%	69.5
Inglewood City of	2,457,964,645	2,284,776,001	173,188,643	7%	2	20%	70.0
Goleta Water District	3,523,431,480	3,053,227,871	470,203,609	13%	2	20%	70.0
Lompoc City of	1,253,200,000	1,106,800,000	146,400,000	12%	2	20%	70.5
City of Big Bear Lake, Dept of Water & Power	610,520,000	590,469,860	20,050,140	3%	2	20%	70.5
Sweetwater Springs Water District	208,544,913	177,491,272	31,053,641	15%	2	20%	71.4
Golden State Water Company Artesia	1,402,138,690	1,348,796,812	53,341,879	4%	2	20%	71.7
McKinleyville Community Service District	344,448,000	300,869,000	43,579,000	13%	2	20%	72.1
Golden State Water Company Norwalk	1,214,317,928	1,131,519,080	82,798,848	7%	2	20%	73.2
San Lorenzo Valley Water District	416,952,583	335,050,267	81,902,316	20%	2	20%	73.8
Mountain View City of	2,967,854,797	2,531,213,885	436,640,912	15%	2	20%	74.0
Sweetwater Authority	5,185,495,337	4,886,767,783	298,727,554	6%	2	20%	74.1
San Gabriel Valley Water Company	9,747,519,587	9,124,165,807	623,353,780	6%	2	20%	74.4
Marina Coast Water District	1,063,425,908	946,396,368	117,029,540	11%	2	20%	74.8
Santa Ana City of	9,729,076,397	9,323,684,636	405,391,760	4%	2	20%	77.1
Sunnyvale City of	4,612,426,949	3,920,970,221	691,456,728	15%	2	20%	77.3
Vallejo City of	4,410,308,000	4,020,375,000	389,933,000	9%	2	20%	77.5
Dublin San Ramon Services District	2,779,417,000	1,959,505,000	819,912,000	29%	2	20%	77.5
California Water Service Company Dominguez	8,444,765,582	8,077,205,172	367,560,410	4%	2	20%	78.3
Montebello Land and Water Company	859,407,071	791,398,619	68,008,451	8%	2	20%	78.5
Valley County Water District	2,033,127,821	1,853,913,772	179,214,049	9%	2	20%	78.8
Santa Barbara City of	3,348,530,727	2,632,951,217	715,579,509	21%	2	20%	78.9
American Canyon, City of	915,968,361	777,155,653	138,812,708	15%	2	20%	79.1
Santa Clara City of	5,338,900,000	4,749,500,000	589,400,000	11%	2	20%	79.4
Alameda County Water District	10,539,100,000	8,458,900,000	2,080,200,000	20%	2	20%	80.2
Crestline Village Water District	185,010,871	167,499,027	17,511,844	9%	2	20%	80.3
Monterey Park City of	649,960,000	594,880,000	55,080,000	8%	2	20%	80.4
California Water Service Company Redwood Valley	108,182,674	82,440,411	25,742,263	24%	2	20%	80.6
Scotts Valley Water District	311,979,632	253,857,835	58,121,797	19%	2	20%	81.0
Greenfield, City of	573,049,890	501,684,126	71,365,764	12%	2	20%	81.2

**Urban Water Suppliers and Proposed Regulatory Framework Tiers to Achieve 25% Use Reduction**

Supplier Name	Total Water Production		Total Water Saved (Jun-14 - Feb-15, compared to 2013, gallons)	Percent Saved (Jun-14 - Feb-15, compared to 2013, gallons)	Tier	Conservation Standard	Sep-2014 R-GPCD
	2013 (Jun - Feb)	2014/15 (Jun-14 - Feb-15)					
California Water Service Company Mid Peninsula	3,986,792,209	3,551,780,554	435,011,655	11%	2	20%	81.5
San Diego City of	47,355,303,598	46,452,597,390	902,706,208	2%	2	20%	81.8
Long Beach City of	14,658,100,592	13,842,168,619	815,931,973	6%	2	20%	82.4
California Water Service Company Salinas District	4,612,101,098	4,065,974,106	546,126,992	12%	2	20%	82.9
Pomona City of	5,817,361,333	5,468,536,077	348,825,256	6%	2	20%	83.5
Rohnert Park City of	1,267,000,000	1,124,000,000	143,000,000	11%	2	20%	83.6
East Bay Municipal Utilities District	52,390,500,000	46,127,500,000	6,263,000,000	12%	2	20%	83.8
Lynwood City of	1,264,349,156	1,237,371,916	26,977,240	2%	2	20%	84.4
Hi-Desert Water District	744,117,577	733,074,472	11,043,105	1%	2	20%	85.2
Golden State Water Company Culver City	1,415,824,450	1,344,756,254	71,068,196	5%	2	20%	85.2
Hawthorne City of	1,070,747,789	1,135,592,223	-64,844,434	-6%	2	20%	85.6
Santa Rosa City of	5,454,466,874	4,447,473,373	1,006,993,501	18%	2	20%	86.5
Windsor, Town of	963,136,985	817,896,531	145,240,453	15%	2	20%	86.8
Millbrae City of	668,885,610	603,267,242	65,618,369	10%	2	20%	87.6
Burlingame City of	1,288,363,748	1,075,113,151	213,250,598	17%	2	20%	87.8
Great Oaks Water Company Incorporated	2,641,791,567	2,210,783,322	431,008,244	16%	2	20%	88.0
California Water Service Company Oroville	830,595,287	682,007,037	148,588,251	18%	2	20%	88.1
Westminster City of	3,064,371,990	2,956,971,359	107,400,630	4%	2	20%	88.2
San Buenaventura City of	4,446,346,994	3,813,888,925	632,458,069	14%	2	20%	88.9
Otay Water District	8,209,272,756	7,888,634,952	320,637,804	4%	2	20%	89.9
Fountain Valley City of	2,438,968,604	2,305,516,153	133,452,452	5%	2	20%	90.6
Santa Fe Springs City of	1,526,056,730	1,408,567,739	117,488,991	8%	2	20%	90.7
California Water Service Company Stockton	6,808,665,567	6,318,910,872	489,754,695	7%	2	20%	91.3
Golden State Water Company West Orange	4,000,477,969	3,830,090,258	170,387,711	4%	2	20%	91.9
Irvine Ranch Water District	15,406,744,246	15,015,266,341	391,477,904	3%	2	20%	92.3
Adelanto city of	1,091,834,544	993,603,394	98,231,150	9%	2	20%	92.4
Los Angeles Department of Water and Power	139,452,680,105	130,343,503,463	9,109,176,642	7%	2	20%	92.8
Crescent City City of	583,110,000	710,650,000	-127,540,000	-22%	2	20%	92.8
Hollister City of	832,612,930	742,476,980	90,135,950	11%	2	20%	92.9
Mesa Water District	4,434,609,825	4,283,056,327	151,553,499	3%	2	20%	92.9
California Water Service Company Hermosa/Redondo	2,984,799,071	2,983,495,666	1,303,406	0%	2	20%	93.4
Bellflower-Somerset Mutual Water Company	1,350,031,789	1,268,477,694	81,554,095	6%	2	20%	94.3
Rowland Water District	2,857,000,142	2,756,214,295	100,785,846	4%	2	20%	94.5

**Urban Water Suppliers and Proposed Regulatory Framework Tiers to Achieve 25% Use Reduction**

Supplier Name	Total Water Production		Total Water Saved (Jun-14 - Feb-15, compared to 2013, gallons)	Percent Saved (Jun-14 - Feb-15, compared to 2013, gallons)	Tier	Conservation Standard	Sep-2014 R-GPCD
	2013 (Jun - Feb)	2014/15 (Jun-14 - Feb-15)					
Crescenta Valley Water District	1,200,433,997	1,043,760,838	156,673,159	13%	2	20%	94.5
San Jose Water Company	36,046,000,000	31,608,300,000	4,437,700,000	12%	2	20%	94.6
Azusa City of	5,165,530,597	4,670,763,054	494,767,543	10%	2	20%	95.0
El Segundo City of	1,692,179,532	1,788,496,457	-96,316,925	-6%	2	20%	95.4
Mid-Peninsula Water District	823,925,361	712,822,442	111,102,919	13%	2	20%	96.3
Calexico City of	1,524,360,000	1,440,570,000	83,790,000	5%	2	20%	96.8
Watsonville City of	2,045,660,752	1,803,744,576	241,916,176	12%	2	20%	96.9
Torrance City of	3,906,665,343	3,703,464,394	203,200,950	5%	2	20%	97.3
Lomita City of	591,013,026	547,632,425	43,380,600	7%	2	20%	97.4
Golden State Water Company Barstow	1,595,531,512	1,445,509,515	150,021,997	9%	2	20%	98.3
Escondido City of	4,625,134,351	4,059,907,513	565,226,838	12%	2	20%	98.8
Marin Municipal Water District	7,006,662,670	5,966,662,221	1,040,000,448	15%	2	20%	99.8
San Gabriel County Water District	1,612,133,643	1,485,957,453	126,176,190	8%	2	20%	100.5
Pittsburg City of	2,481,549,000	2,226,323,000	255,226,000	10%	2	20%	100.7
Huntington Beach City of	7,506,541,568	7,116,888,432	389,653,136	5%	2	20%	100.9
Oceanside City of	6,988,111,948	6,765,555,423	222,556,525	3%	2	20%	100.9
Santa Monica City of	3,462,200,000	3,321,100,000	141,100,000	4%	2	20%	101.0
Norwalk City of	559,456,000	511,830,000	47,626,000	9%	2	20%	101.0
Ukiah City of	678,601,000	551,722,000	126,879,000	19%	2	20%	101.0
Fairfield City of	5,435,000,000	4,853,000,000	582,000,000	11%	2	20%	101.1
Helix Water District	8,454,736,636	8,067,103,778	387,632,858	5%	2	20%	101.1
Camarillo City of	2,747,943,839	2,399,416,293	348,527,546	13%	2	20%	101.1
San Jose City of	5,294,000,000	4,707,000,000	587,000,000	11%	2	20%	101.1
Soledad, City of	581,571,300	531,785,500	49,785,800	9%	2	20%	101.2
Golden State Water Company S Arcadia	908,701,874	851,189,098	57,512,777	6%	2	20%	101.4
California-American Water Company Sacramento District	8,801,191,649	7,285,565,423	1,515,626,225	17%	2	20%	101.4
Carpinteria Valley Water District	1,160,826,158	1,028,941,051	131,885,107	11%	2	20%	101.8
El Monte City of	328,279,000	312,936,000	15,343,000	5%	2	20%	101.9
Groveland Community Services District	127,297,632	96,625,396	30,672,236	24%	2	20%	102.0
Whittier City of	2,041,957,743	2,084,064,264	-42,106,521	-2%	2	20%	102.3
Fortuna City of	303,008,000	276,986,000	26,022,000	9%	2	20%	102.6
Glendale City of	6,839,188,070	6,346,086,881	493,101,189	7%	2	20%	103.2
Alhambra City of	2,575,148,433	2,329,573,763	245,574,669	10%	2	20%	103.3

**Urban Water Suppliers and Proposed Regulatory Framework Tiers to Achieve 25% Use Reduction**

Supplier Name	Total Water Production		Total Water Saved (Jun-14 - Feb-15, compared to 2013, gallons)	Percent Saved (Jun-14 - Feb-15, compared to 2013, gallons)	Tier	Conservation Standard	Sep-2014 R-GPCD
	2013 (Jun - Feb)	2014/15 (Jun-14 - Feb-15)					
Lathrop, City of	1,149,290,000	990,960,000	158,330,000	14%	2	20%	104.9
Anaheim City of	16,337,538,847	15,992,788,037	344,750,810	2%	2	20%	105.1
Vista Irrigation District	4,896,569,394	4,632,303,886	264,265,507	5%	2	20%	105.3
Gilroy City of	2,328,666,000	1,995,678,000	332,988,000	14%	2	20%	105.8
California Water Service Company Kern River Valley	222,882,376	201,376,182	21,506,194	10%	2	20%	105.9
Humboldt Community Service District	610,120,000	573,669,000	36,451,000	6%	2	20%	106.6
Coachella City of	1,395,900,000	1,294,010,000	101,890,000	7%	2	20%	106.9
Palo Alto City of	3,180,440,852	2,685,999,460	494,441,392	16%	2	20%	107.3
Napa City of	3,605,871,891	3,247,435,321	358,436,570	10%	2	20%	107.6
Orchard Dale Water District	589,289,272	550,757,340	38,531,931	7%	2	20%	107.8
Perris, City of	437,809,090	430,597,020	7,212,070	2%	2	20%	108.9
Downey City of	4,090,256,554	3,834,059,128	256,197,426	6%	2	20%	109.3
Lakewood City of	2,086,631,973	1,856,580,866	230,051,107	11%	3	25%	110.8
City of Newman Water Department	559,946,000	448,854,000	111,092,000	20%	3	25%	110.8
Milpitas City of	2,719,687,979	2,424,775,231	294,912,748	11%	3	25%	111.0
Golden State Water Company Placentia	1,868,334,327	1,778,757,770	89,576,557	5%	3	25%	112.5
Vallecitos Water District	4,390,033,350	4,037,168,840	352,864,510	8%	3	25%	112.9
Buena Park City of	3,777,921,445	3,441,805,698	336,115,747	9%	3	25%	113.1
Del Oro Water Company	369,631,917	306,051,990	63,579,927	17%	3	25%	113.2
Manhattan Beach City of	1,219,661,891	1,153,188,200	66,473,691	5%	3	25%	113.3
Pico Rivera City of	1,267,056,981	1,099,162,034	167,894,948	13%	3	25%	113.3
Livermore City of Division of Water Resources	1,642,615,000	1,199,514,000	443,101,000	27%	3	25%	113.4
Beaumont-Cherry Valley Water District	3,172,199,486	3,139,252,648	32,946,838	1%	3	25%	113.6
Pleasanton City of	4,439,552,000	3,099,891,000	1,339,661,000	30%	3	25%	113.7
Suburban Water Systems San Jose Hills	7,160,122,399	6,833,016,444	327,105,955	5%	3	25%	113.8
California Water Service Company Livermore	2,781,467,781	1,909,163,511	872,304,270	31%	3	25%	114.6
San Luis Obispo City of	1,387,716,506	1,278,706,170	109,010,336	8%	3	25%	114.7
Lakeside Water District	1,064,566,388	977,942,044	86,624,343	8%	3	25%	114.9
El Toro Water District	2,331,141,109	2,239,576,858	91,564,251	4%	3	25%	115.3
San Clemente City of	2,270,663,084	2,331,434,375	-60,771,291	-3%	3	25%	116.6
California Water Service Company Marysville	575,127,769	496,597,575	78,530,194	14%	3	25%	117.1
Sunny Slope Water Company	1,052,785,122	950,022,234	102,762,888	10%	3	25%	117.4
Healdsburg City of	540,150,000	446,810,000	93,340,000	17%	3	25%	118.2

Urban Water Suppliers and Proposed Regulatory Framework Tiers to Achieve 25% Use Reduction

Supplier Name	Total Water Production		Total Water Saved (Jun-14 - Feb-15, compared to 2013, gallons)	Percent Saved (Jun-14 - Feb-15, compared to 2013, gallons)	Tier	Conservation Standard	Sep-2014 R-GPCD
	2013 (Jun - Feb)	2014/15 (Jun-14 - Feb-15)					
Valencia Water Company	7,817,224,611	6,780,899,767	1,036,324,844	13%	3	25%	118.4
San Fernando City of	839,719,127	786,931,196	52,787,931	6%	3	25%	118.4
Eureka City of	860,874,000	799,778,000	61,096,000	7%	3	25%	118.6
Alco Water Service	1,156,954,000	1,028,617,000	128,337,000	11%	3	25%	120.7
Moulton Niguel Water District	7,135,207,799	6,864,125,480	271,082,319	4%	3	25%	121.4
Riverside City of	17,427,511,870	15,956,944,380	1,470,567,490	8%	3	25%	122.5
Twentynine Palms Water District	666,765,336	641,552,256	25,213,080	4%	3	25%	123.0
North Marin Water District	2,457,000,000	1,986,810,000	470,190,000	19%	3	25%	123.0
Brea City of	2,826,761,129	2,727,376,444	99,384,685	4%	3	25%	123.7
Delano City of	2,386,120,000	2,229,650,000	156,470,000	7%	3	25%	124.0
El Centro City of	1,978,323,000	1,910,544,000	67,779,000	3%	3	25%	124.5
Brawley City of	1,842,390,000	1,088,690,000	753,700,000	41%	3	25%	125.0
Petaluma City of	2,407,770,000	2,071,485,000	336,285,000	14%	3	25%	125.1
South Coast Water District	1,639,847,306	1,549,814,557	90,032,749	5%	3	25%	125.7
Arroyo Grande City of	776,210,684	654,635,517	121,575,167	16%	3	25%	125.7
Eastern Municipal Water District	22,059,815,756	21,154,600,492	905,215,264	4%	3	25%	125.7
Tuolumne Utilities District	1,441,240,862	992,152,425	449,088,437	31%	3	25%	126.2
La Palma City of	545,401,972	497,342,471	48,059,501	9%	3	25%	127.3
California Water Service Company Dixon, City of	382,549,575	346,705,918	35,843,657	9%	3	25%	127.4
Tracy City of	4,529,625,694	3,497,663,768	1,031,961,925	23%	3	25%	127.5
Lake Arrowhead Community Services District	440,648,885	386,238,213	54,410,671	12%	3	25%	127.7
Martinez City of	1,027,679,751	871,695,210	155,984,540	15%	3	25%	128.1
Reedley City of	1,302,000,000	1,109,000,000	193,000,000	15%	3	25%	128.8
Davis City of	3,023,400,000	2,527,400,000	496,000,000	16%	3	25%	129.0
California Water Service Company Willows	364,301,895	318,682,696	45,619,200	13%	3	25%	129.0
Sacramento City of	28,979,000,000	23,440,000,000	5,539,000,000	19%	3	25%	129.3
Burbank City of	4,712,137,486	4,362,205,638	349,931,847	7%	3	25%	130.1
Ventura County Waterworks District No. 8	5,424,122,854	4,896,895,245	527,227,609	10%	3	25%	130.2
Padre Dam Municipal Water District	2,952,148,758	2,752,858,026	199,290,733	7%	3	25%	130.6
Ontario City of	8,782,999,363	8,499,508,622	283,490,741	3%	3	25%	131.3
Pico Water District	1,029,001,320	960,057,631	68,943,690	7%	3	25%	131.5
Santa Maria City of	3,370,607,161	3,257,210,864	113,396,297	3%	3	25%	131.5
Valley of the Moon Water District	800,300,880	646,691,259	153,609,621	19%	3	25%	131.5

**Urban Water Suppliers and Proposed Regulatory Framework Tiers to Achieve 25% Use Reduction**

Supplier Name	Total Water Production		Total Water Saved (Jun-14 - Feb-15, compared to 2013, gallons)	Percent Saved (Jun-14 - Feb-15, compared to 2013, gallons)	Tier	Conservation Standard	Sep-2014 R-GPCD
	2013 (Jun - Feb)	2014/15 (Jun-14 - Feb-15)					
San Juan Capistrano City of	2,040,416,466	1,962,283,810	78,132,655	4%	3	25%	131.8
Laguna Beach County Water District	872,082,691	867,064,579	5,018,112	1%	3	25%	132.0
Santa Margarita Water District	7,105,190,366	6,932,489,109	172,701,256	2%	3	25%	132.3
Monte Vista Water District	2,603,464,922	2,359,464,115	244,000,807	9%	3	25%	133.3
Lincoln Avenue Water Company	613,030,807	557,668,649	55,362,157	9%	3	25%	133.8
San Gabriel Valley Fontana Water Company	10,907,224,816	10,188,722,419	718,502,397	7%	3	25%	134.3
Tehachapi, City of	582,624,632	536,291,818	46,332,814	8%	3	25%	134.6
North Tahoe Public Utility District	350,120,000	332,141,000	17,979,000	5%	3	25%	134.7
Fresno City of	36,603,191,424	30,513,707,650	6,089,483,774	17%	3	25%	134.9
Golden State Water Company Simi Valley	1,830,698,487	1,657,215,187	173,483,300	9%	3	25%	134.9
Fullerton City of	7,215,373,767	6,969,105,034	246,268,733	3%	3	25%	135.0
Pasadena City of	8,349,297,631	7,614,975,148	734,322,483	9%	3	25%	136.0
Suburban Water Systems Whittier/La Mirada	5,584,910,982	5,234,793,399	350,117,583	6%	3	25%	136.2
Big Bear City Community Services District	266,135,894	256,898,007	9,237,888	3%	3	25%	136.3
Lake Hemet Municipal Water District	2,880,852,466	2,579,961,258	300,891,208	10%	3	25%	136.4
Suisun-Solano Water Authority	1,038,300,000	918,300,000	120,000,000	12%	3	25%	136.5
Diablo Water District	1,487,225,000	1,338,770,000	148,455,000	10%	3	25%	137.8
Garden Grove City of	6,584,316,860	6,185,605,054	398,711,806	6%	3	25%	138.3
Woodland City of	2,938,159,020	2,454,292,204	483,866,816	16%	3	25%	139.0
Antioch City of	4,642,068,000	4,042,923,000	599,145,000	13%	3	25%	139.0
Contra Costa Water District	8,855,338,380	7,547,370,752	1,307,967,628	15%	3	25%	139.9
Rialto City of	2,544,482,555	2,596,683,954	-52,201,399	-2%	3	25%	140.8
Sunnyslope County Water District	694,319,032	596,249,460	98,069,572	14%	3	25%	141.5
San Bernardino City of	11,535,034,614	10,722,937,586	812,097,028	7%	3	25%	143.6
Cerritos City of	2,219,233,953	1,991,297,621	227,936,332	10%	3	25%	143.7
San Jacinto City of	756,372,530	651,046,816	105,325,714	14%	3	25%	144.1
Tulare, City of	4,805,328,900	4,324,313,800	481,015,100	10%	3	25%	144.7
Sacramento County Water Agency	9,991,675,171	8,451,666,395	1,540,008,776	15%	3	25%	145.3
Benicia City of	1,543,102,018	1,217,315,761	325,786,257	21%	3	25%	146.1
Orange City of	7,732,617,288	7,437,395,896	295,221,393	4%	3	25%	146.3
Stockton City of	8,304,530,000	7,263,300,000	1,041,230,000	13%	3	25%	146.3
Ceres City of	1,985,969,000	1,848,968,000	137,001,000	7%	3	25%	147.3
Monrovia City of	1,885,000,000	1,673,000,000	212,000,000	11%	3	25%	147.5

**Urban Water Suppliers and Proposed Regulatory Framework Tiers to Achieve 25% Use Reduction**

Supplier Name	Total Water Production		Total Water Saved (Jun-14 - Feb-15, compared to 2013, gallons)	Percent Saved (Jun-14 - Feb-15, compared to 2013, gallons)	Tier	Conservation Standard	Sep-2014 R-GPCD
	2013 (Jun - Feb)	2014/15 (Jun-14 - Feb-15)					
Chino City of	3,332,449,959	3,123,999,542	208,450,416	6%	3	25%	147.6
Apple Valley Ranchos Water Company	4,101,713,205	3,942,264,436	159,448,769	4%	3	25%	147.7
Sonoma City of	583,798,675	494,362,234	89,436,441	15%	3	25%	147.8
Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District	146,056,000	148,820,000	-2,764,000	-2%	3	25%	148.1
Victorville Water District	4,985,852,685	4,486,322,447	499,530,238	10%	3	25%	149.1
Paso Robles City of	1,705,474,000	1,511,094,000	194,380,000	11%	3	25%	149.5
Sanger City of	1,552,776,000	1,422,246,000	130,530,000	8%	3	25%	149.6
Rubidoux Community Service District	1,400,190,000	1,335,510,000	64,680,000	5%	3	25%	149.8
Phelan Pinon Hills Community Services District	635,139,826	675,206,517	-40,066,691	-6%	3	25%	150.3
Covina City of	1,500,350,310	1,393,914,200	106,436,110	7%	3	25%	150.4
California-American Water Company Los Angeles District	5,579,752,754	5,179,473,602	400,279,151	7%	3	25%	151.4
Golden State Water Company San Dimas	3,063,589,946	2,950,649,842	112,940,105	4%	3	25%	151.4
Patterson City of	1,040,156,104	948,595,320	91,560,784	9%	3	25%	151.9
Yreka, City of	593,290,000	519,800,000	73,490,000	12%	3	25%	151.9
Trabuco Canyon Water District	764,121,596	767,705,962	-3,584,366	0%	3	25%	152.4
Arvin Community Services District	740,072,884	667,768,501	72,304,383	10%	3	25%	153.6
San Dieguito Water District	1,583,703,106	1,621,176,020	-37,472,914	-2%	3	25%	154.0
Atascadero Mutual Water Company	1,291,000,000	1,056,900,000	234,100,000	18%	3	25%	154.6
Santa Paula City of	1,218,270,506	1,081,725,724	136,544,782	11%	3	25%	154.7
Manteca City of	3,844,580,000	3,212,645,000	631,935,000	16%	3	25%	154.9
Castaic Lake Water Agency Santa Clarita Water Division	7,358,051,073	6,493,567,237	864,483,836	12%	3	25%	154.9
Roseville City of	8,448,024,096	6,930,859,852	1,517,164,244	18%	3	25%	155.0
La Verne City of	2,094,159,141	1,955,656,970	138,502,171	7%	3	25%	155.2
Nipomo Community Services District	665,258,273	527,032,098	138,226,175	21%	3	25%	156.0
Imperial, City of	687,420,000	671,127,000	16,293,000	2%	3	25%	156.1
Lamont Public Utility District	993,121,000	914,688,000	78,433,000	8%	3	25%	156.4
Walnut Valley Water District	5,119,451,770	4,877,344,159	242,107,610	5%	3	25%	158.4
Chino Hills City of	3,952,965,804	3,587,674,904	365,290,900	9%	3	25%	159.1
Thousand Oaks City of	3,106,634,920	2,792,709,655	313,925,265	10%	3	25%	159.3
Rosamond Community Service District	719,200,000	712,000,000	7,200,000	1%	3	25%	160.0
Corona City of	8,699,410,000	8,297,070,000	402,340,000	5%	3	25%	160.4
Hesperia Water District City of	3,676,581,651	3,538,094,794	138,486,856	4%	3	25%	160.5
Fillmore City of	482,079,202	446,216,000	35,863,202	7%	3	25%	160.6

Urban Water Suppliers and Proposed Regulatory Framework Tiers to Achieve 25% Use Reduction

Supplier Name	Total Water Production		Total Water Saved (Jun-14 - Feb-15, compared to 2013, gallons)	Percent Saved (Jun-14 - Feb-15, compared to 2013, gallons)	Tier	Conservation Standard	Sep-2014 R-GPCD
	2013 (Jun - Feb)	2014/15 (Jun-14 - Feb-15)					
Joshua Basin Water District	409,078,118	382,604,644	26,473,473	6%	3	25%	161.3
Calaveras County Water District	1,468,843,000	1,200,100,000	268,743,000	18%	3	25%	161.5
East Valley Water District	5,405,695,956	4,782,879,831	622,816,125	12%	3	25%	161.7
Tustin City of	2,984,049,613	2,895,189,929	88,859,684	3%	3	25%	162.0
Brentwood City of	3,038,220,000	2,663,210,000	375,010,000	12%	3	25%	162.4
California Water Service Company Los Altos/Suburban	3,714,706,268	3,136,645,836	578,060,431	16%	3	25%	162.5
Mission Springs Water District	2,072,832,166	1,979,439,888	93,392,277	5%	3	25%	162.7
Yuba City City of	4,215,490,000	3,629,080,000	586,410,000	14%	3	25%	162.7
Palmdale Water District	5,291,175,472	5,010,063,446	281,112,026	5%	3	25%	163.2
California-American Water Ventura District	4,397,006,571	3,988,454,052	408,552,519	9%	3	25%	163.6
Porterville City of	3,123,277,400	2,849,237,200	274,040,200	9%	3	25%	164.0
Madera City of	2,268,235,000	2,115,715,000	152,520,000	7%	3	25%	164.8
Golden State Water Company Ojai	564,830,864	487,636,661	77,194,203	14%	4	35%	165.5
Blythe City of	806,370,000	811,680,000	-5,310,000	-1%	4	35%	165.5
South Pasadena City of	1,045,005,526	935,193,595	109,811,931	11%	4	35%	166.1
Ramona Municipal Water District	1,087,105,531	1,049,746,665	37,358,866	3%	4	35%	166.8
La Habra City of Public Works	2,397,728,848	2,535,032,864	-137,304,016	-6%	4	35%	167.3
Banning City of	2,219,758,574	2,058,002,667	161,755,907	7%	4	35%	167.7
Livingston City of	1,870,481,000	1,810,513,000	59,968,000	3%	4	35%	167.9
Dinuba City of	1,126,830,000	977,550,000	149,280,000	13%	4	35%	169.8
Folsom City of	5,476,678,514	4,592,545,306	884,133,208	16%	4	35%	170.7
Loma Linda City of *	1,379,990,569	1,323,839,525	56,151,044	4%	4	35%	172.4
Hanford City of	3,229,776,700	2,793,029,816	436,746,884	14%	4	35%	173.6
Lemoore City of	1,967,044,000	1,783,354,000	183,690,000	9%	4	35%	173.7
Jurupa Community Service District	6,546,170,411	6,107,698,865	438,471,545	7%	4	35%	174.0
Turlock City of	5,571,505,100	4,909,059,441	662,445,659	12%	4	35%	174.1
Pismo Beach City of	434,216,578	359,495,587	74,720,991	17%	4	35%	175.1
Indio City of	5,340,000,000	5,006,100,000	333,900,000	6%	4	35%	175.2
Mammoth Community Water District	499,483,000	447,407,000	52,076,000	10%	4	35%	175.6
California Water Service Company Selma	1,492,399,536	1,239,212,977	253,186,559	17%	4	35%	175.8
California Water Service Company Visalia	8,033,215,230	7,144,292,537	888,922,693	11%	4	35%	176.6
Hemet City of	1,116,063,947	1,045,970,047	70,093,900	6%	4	35%	176.7
Western Municipal Water District of Riverside	5,887,379,311	5,683,989,367	203,389,944	3%	4	35%	176.9

Urban Water Suppliers and Proposed Regulatory Framework Tiers to Achieve 25% Use Reduction

Supplier Name	Total Water Production		Total Water Saved (Jun-14 - Feb-15, compared to 2013, gallons)	Percent Saved (Jun-14 - Feb-15, compared to 2013, gallons)	Tier	Conservation Standard	Sep-2014 R-GPCD
	2013 (Jun - Feb)	2014/15 (Jun-14 - Feb-15)					
Newhall County Water District	2,611,216,927	2,326,139,289	285,077,638	11%	4	35%	178.5
West Kern Water District	4,045,106,581	3,679,048,346	366,058,235	9%	4	35%	180.2
Rincon Del Diablo Municipal Water District	1,766,766,437	1,514,883,284	251,883,153	14%	4	35%	182.2
Shafter City of	1,350,000,000	1,154,000,000	196,000,000	15%	4	35%	182.9
Triunfo Sanitation District / Oak Park Water Service	687,285,830	597,937,369	89,348,461	13%	4	35%	184.0
Vacaville City of	4,536,829,418	3,868,833,993	667,995,425	15%	4	35%	185.5
Los Angeles County Public Works Waterworks District 40	12,870,711,018	11,980,791,220	889,919,798	7%	4	35%	185.6
California Water Service Company Bakersfield	18,863,864,960	16,841,305,153	2,022,559,807	11%	4	35%	186.2
Galt City of	1,302,667,000	1,052,546,000	250,121,000	19%	4	35%	186.4
Cucamonga Valley Water District	12,916,078,335	12,778,430,872	137,647,463	1%	4	35%	187.6
Wasco City of	1,096,680,000	952,170,000	144,510,000	13%	4	35%	187.6
California Water Service Company Chico District	6,759,462,002	5,680,893,778	1,078,568,223	16%	4	35%	188.1
South Tahoe Public Utilities District	1,641,227,000	1,550,474,000	90,753,000	6%	4	35%	189.3
Winton Water & Sanitary District	432,243,000	400,904,000	31,339,000	7%	4	35%	189.3
Carlsbad Municipal Water District	4,342,002,850	4,259,269,173	82,733,677	2%	4	35%	189.3
Riverbank City of	860,786,846	737,503,990	123,282,856	14%	4	35%	191.6
Modesto, City of	15,589,770,183	13,698,086,925	1,891,683,258	12%	4	35%	192.7
El Dorado Irrigation District	10,044,044,386	7,600,810,386	2,443,234,000	24%	4	35%	193.1
Morgan Hill City of	2,262,311,000	1,786,089,000	476,222,000	21%	4	35%	193.4
Exeter City of	600,332,681	535,287,408	65,045,273	11%	4	35%	194.4
Kerman, City of	880,465,000	769,624,000	110,841,000	13%	4	35%	194.7
Citrus Heights Water District	3,723,178,405	3,023,575,391	699,603,014	19%	4	35%	195.4
San Bernardino County Service Area 70	457,322,702	431,251,330	26,071,373	6%	4	35%	196.0
Colton, City of	2,519,711,330	2,487,549,794	32,161,536	1%	4	35%	196.0
Georgetown Divide Public Utilities District	512,901,000	410,416,000	102,485,000	20%	4	35%	196.4
Oakdale City of	1,417,000,000	1,139,000,000	278,000,000	20%	4	35%	197.6
Elsinore Valley Municipal Water District	6,567,437,756	6,285,445,931	281,991,825	4%	4	35%	199.6
Fallbrook Public Utility District	3,340,661,415	3,012,268,347	328,393,068	10%	4	35%	200.0
Sierra Madre City of	616,142,059	546,575,118	69,566,941	11%	4	35%	203.6
Atwater City of	2,358,960,000	1,821,770,000	537,190,000	23%	4	35%	203.7
Lee Lake Water District	760,491,304	738,717,756	21,773,548	3%	4	35%	204.4
Poway City of	2,984,245,124	2,893,299,991	90,945,133	3%	4	35%	204.8
Shasta Lake City of	309,004,338	258,461,000	50,543,338	16%	4	35%	205.5

Urban Water Suppliers and Proposed Regulatory Framework Tiers to Achieve 25% Use Reduction

Supplier Name	Total Water Production		Total Water Saved (Jun-14 - Feb-15, compared to 2013, gallons)	Percent Saved (Jun-14 - Feb-15, compared to 2013, gallons)	Tier	Conservation Standard	Sep-2014 R-GPCD
	2013 (Jun - Feb)	2014/15 (Jun-14 - Feb-15)					
Newport Beach City of	4,220,349,478	3,924,557,845	295,791,633	7%	4	35%	206.6
Redding City of	7,109,010,000	5,934,100,000	1,174,910,000	17%	4	35%	208.2
Lodi City of Public Works Department	3,904,230,000	3,932,720,000	-28,490,000	-1%	4	35%	209.1
Elk Grove Water Service	1,982,552,982	1,615,618,816	366,934,166	19%	4	35%	209.7
Ventura County Waterworks District No 1	2,688,665,294	2,241,890,403	446,774,892	17%	4	35%	210.1
Golden State Water Company Orcutt	1,941,781,239	1,705,636,709	236,144,529	12%	4	35%	210.1
Lincoln City of	2,592,190,000	2,158,050,000	434,140,000	17%	4	35%	211.1
West Valley Water District	5,029,549,361	4,747,557,536	281,991,825	6%	4	35%	212.6
Sacramento Suburban Water District	9,630,759,000	8,318,514,000	1,312,245,000	14%	4	35%	212.9
Nevada Irrigation District	2,750,729,000	2,339,997,000	410,732,000	15%	4	35%	215.7
Rubio Canyon Land and Water Association	561,116,157	508,002,375	53,113,783	9%	4	35%	215.9
Norco City of	2,009,949,357	1,856,691,656	153,257,702	8%	4	35%	216.1
Beverly Hills City of	2,984,049,613	2,900,957,499	83,092,114	3%	4	35%	216.6
Carmichael Water District	2,598,570,000	2,107,250,000	491,320,000	19%	4	35%	220.2
Riverside Highland Water Company	971,591,200	889,248,544	82,342,656	8%	4	35%	220.9
Yorba Linda Water District	5,380,523,933	5,128,021,662	252,502,271	5%	4	35%	221.3
Olivenhain Municipal Water District	5,326,497,766	5,149,755,952	176,741,814	3%	4	35%	222.0
Olivehurst Public Utility District	1,161,641,529	959,245,393	202,396,137	17%	4	35%	222.4
Rio Linda - Elverta Community Water District	770,017,391	629,595,315	140,422,076	18%	4	35%	225.0
Upland City of	5,523,683,657	5,024,215,355	499,468,301	9%	4	35%	226.2
Discovery Bay Community Services District	986,000,000	808,000,000	178,000,000	18%	4	35%	226.5
California Water Service Company Bear Gulch	3,623,142,017	3,228,861,790	394,280,227	11%	4	35%	227.7
Corcoran City of	1,162,447,000	950,206,000	212,241,000	18%	4	35%	228.4
Glendora City of	3,108,798,089	3,089,127,284	19,670,805	1%	4	35%	228.9
Los Banos, City of	2,053,870,000	1,905,101,000	148,769,000	7%	4	35%	229.2
Clovis City of	6,737,008,000	6,080,852,000	656,156,000	10%	4	35%	229.8
Camrosa Water District	2,469,015,365	2,141,221,863	327,793,502	13%	4	35%	231.4
East Niles Community Service District	2,504,168,216	2,213,508,744	290,659,473	12%	4	35%	231.4
Rio Vista, city of	641,312,000	606,333,000	34,979,000	5%	4	35%	235.0
Placer County Water Agency	7,686,123,771	6,395,079,193	1,291,044,578	17%	4	35%	235.1
West Sacramento City of	3,567,747,274	2,941,460,832	626,286,443	18%	4	35%	238.5
Montecito Water District	1,577,349,003	836,688,709	740,660,294	47%	4	35%	240.5
Paradise Irrigation District	1,721,400,000	1,355,900,000	365,500,000	21%	4	35%	241.1

Urban Water Suppliers and Proposed Regulatory Framework Tiers to Achieve 25% Use Reduction

Supplier Name	Total Water Production		Total Water Saved (Jun-14 - Feb-15, compared to 2013, gallons)	Percent Saved (Jun-14 - Feb-15, compared to 2013, gallons)	Tier	Conservation Standard	Sep-2014 R-GPCD
	2013 (Jun - Feb)	2014/15 (Jun-14 - Feb-15)					
Fruitridge Vista Water Company	1,000,084,300	823,053,400	177,030,900	18%	4	35%	242.3
Los Angeles County Public Works Waterworks District 29	2,383,427,229	2,356,081,777	27,345,452	1%	4	35%	242.8
Fair Oaks Water District	3,068,959,978	2,450,034,519	618,925,459	20%	4	35%	243.3
Indian Wells Valley Water District	1,861,884,000	1,789,365,000	72,519,000	4%	4	35%	244.1
San Bernardino County Service Area 64	758,722,238	679,807,540	78,914,699	10%	4	35%	246.3
Pinedale County Water District	267,792,348	224,289,932	43,502,416	16%	4	35%	247.0
Truckee-Donner Public Utilities District	1,264,764,466	1,144,274,188	120,490,278	10%	4	35%	247.8
Anderson, City of	572,342,000	498,676,000	73,666,000	13%	4	35%	248.6
Golden State Water Company Claremont	2,873,781,490	2,604,204,605	269,576,886	9%	4	35%	249.9
California Water Service Company Palos Verdes	5,184,622,055	4,979,661,507	204,960,548	4%	4	35%	250.9
California City City of	1,192,746,563	1,264,824,899	-72,078,336	-6%	4	35%	251.3
Casitas Municipal Water District	777,155,653	678,096,820	99,058,834	13%	4	35%	253.2
Yucaipa Valley Water District	2,981,840,000	2,837,629,000	144,211,000	5%	4	35%	253.4
Golden State Water Company Cordova	4,051,962,495	3,483,514,680	568,447,814	14%	4	35%	265.5
Red Bluff City of	904,393,249	764,891,212	139,502,037	15%	4	35%	270.9
East Orange County Water District	247,060,552	225,554,358	21,506,194	9%	4	35%	271.6
Bakman Water Company	1,032,655,497	893,235,946	139,419,551	14%	4	35%	277.3
Bakersfield City of	11,705,594,680	10,744,390,565	961,204,114	8%	4	35%	277.5
Merced City of	6,872,130,000	6,271,910,000	600,220,000	9%	4	35%	279.6
Hillsborough Town of	877,331,034	658,647,771	218,683,262	25%	4	35%	281.2
Ripon City of	1,431,002,833	1,223,409,134	207,593,699	15%	4	35%	282.0
Susanville City of	560,250,000	602,070,000	-41,820,000	-7%	4	35%	287.6
Valley Center Municipal Water District	6,829,813,325	6,798,466,417	31,346,907	0%	4	35%	288.4
Bella Vista Water District	3,596,422,200	1,864,847,717	1,731,574,483	48%	4	35%	288.7
California Water Service Company Antelope Valley	186,061,165	216,691,199	-30,630,034	-16%	4	35%	291.4
Arcadia City of	4,352,404,027	4,033,916,843	318,487,185	7%	4	35%	291.5
Madera County	891,468,716	660,496,910	230,971,806	26%	4	35%	298.4
Oildale Mutual Water Company	2,485,920,537	2,317,129,497	168,791,039	7%	4	35%	303.5
Tahoe City Public Utilities District	372,523,331	326,265,848	46,257,483	12%	4	35%	307.8
Kingsburg, City of	1,009,319,000	825,793,000	183,526,000	18%	4	35%	308.0
Quartz Hill Water District	1,430,054,382	1,276,190,597	153,863,785	11%	4	35%	308.1
Linda County Water District	971,706,000	880,037,000	91,669,000	9%	4	35%	312.3
Las Virgenes Municipal Water District	5,714,163,209	5,470,784,778	243,378,431	4%	4	35%	323.0

Urban Water Suppliers and Proposed Regulatory Framework Tiers to Achieve 25% Use Reduction

Supplier Name	Total Water Production		Total Water Saved (Jun-14 - Feb-15, compared to 2013, gallons)	Percent Saved (Jun-14 - Feb-15, compared to 2013, gallons)	Tier	Conservation Standard	Sep-2014 R-GPCD
	2013 (Jun - Feb)	2014/15 (Jun-14 - Feb-15)					
California Water Service Company Westlake	2,085,449,133	1,928,388,745	157,060,388	8%	4	35%	326.5
Orange Vale Water Company	1,274,470,101	1,008,190,832	266,279,269	21%	4	35%	336.2
Redlands City of	7,033,861,488	6,969,114,810	64,746,679	1%	4	35%	341.5
Rancho California Water District	16,377,618,572	16,074,902,597	302,715,976	2%	4	35%	366.9
Coachella Valley Water District	28,323,853,249	27,188,261,025	1,135,592,223	4%	4	35%	368.7
Desert Water Agency	8,823,730,792	8,310,188,943	513,541,849	6%	4	35%	378.5
San Juan Water District	3,594,268,324	2,773,624,539	820,643,785	23%	4	35%	383.7
South Feather Water and Power Agency	1,435,400,000	1,292,100,000	143,300,000	10%	4	35%	391.5
Valley Water Company	999,093,060	898,861,161	100,231,899	10%	4	35%	396.6
Rainbow Municipal Water District	3,976,593,060	3,760,749,074	215,843,985	5%	4	35%	428.5
Vaughn Water Company	3,206,837,858	2,989,389,519	217,448,339	7%	4	35%	464.6
Serrano Water District	829,682,903	749,230,186	80,452,717	10%	4	35%	520.1
Golden State Water Company Cowan Heights	703,676,157	691,163,462	12,512,695	2%	4	35%	556.5
Myoma Dunes Mutual Water Company	757,700,108	707,153,944	50,546,164	7%	4	35%	562.7
Santa Fe Irrigation District	2,820,156,121	2,869,480,251	-49,324,131	-2%	4	35%	584.3
<b>Statewide</b>	<b>1,626,751,431,372</b>	<b>1,478,173,631,488</b>	<b>148,577,799,883</b>	<b>9%</b>			

# **EXHIBIT 4**

**MANDATORY CONSERVATION**  
**ACHIEVING A 25% STATEWIDE REDUCTION IN POTABLE URBAN WATER USE**  
**FACT SHEET**

**Background**

With California facing one of the most severe droughts on record, Governor Brown declared a drought State of Emergency in January 2014. Since that time, the Governor has issued three additional Executive Orders directing actions to prepare for water shortages. For the first time in state history, the Governor, in his April 1, 2015 Executive Order, directed the State Water Board to implement mandatory water reductions in cities and towns across California to reduce potable urban water usage by 25 percent statewide. This savings amounts to approximately 1.3 million acre-feet of water over the next nine months, or nearly as much water as is currently in Lake Oroville. To achieve these savings, the State Water Board is expediting emergency regulations to set usage targets for communities around the State.

**Applicability**

The mandatory water reductions, along with specific restrictions on commercial, industrial and institutional irrigation uses, apply to urban water suppliers as defined in water code section 10617, excluding wholesalers. Generally, urban water suppliers serve more than 3,000 customers or deliver more than 3,000 acre feet of water per year. Suppliers regulated by the Public Utilities Commission are included in the mandatory water restrictions. The Executive Order requests that the Public Utilities Commission require investor-owned water utilities to implement reductions consistent with the State Water Board requirements for all other urban water suppliers. The specific restrictions and prohibitions on water use in the Executive Order apply to all Californians and are in addition to the specific restrictions and prohibitions contained in the emergency conservation regulation approved by the Office of Administrative Law (OAL) on March 27, 2015.

**Proposed Schedule**

The State Water Board is expediting the development and adoption of additional regulations to implement the new restrictions and prohibitions contained in the Executive Order. There will be several opportunities for stakeholder involvement prior to the release of the formal notice of emergency rulemaking. The first opportunity follows the release of a Proposed Regulatory Framework and the second will follow the release of draft a regulation, as follows:

- Governor issues Drought Executive Order **April 1, 2015**
- Notice announcing release of draft regulatory framework and request for public comment **April 7, 2015**
- Notice announcing release of draft regulation for informal public comment **April 17, 2015**
- Emergency rulemaking formal notice **April 28, 2015**
- Board hearing and adoption **May 5 or 6, 2015**

## Content of Emergency Rulemaking Package

This rulemaking package will address the following provisions of the April 1, 2015 Executive Order:

- Ordering Provision 2: Mandatory 25% reduction in potable urban water use;
- Ordering Provision 5: Commercial, industrial and institutional potable water use reductions;
- Ordering Provision 6: Prohibition on using potable water for irrigation of ornamental turf in street medians; and
- Ordering Provision 7: Prohibition on using potable water for irrigation outside of new home construction without drip or micro-spray systems.

Rate structures and other pricing mechanisms, which are very important tools for reducing water use, will be taken up in the coming weeks as required by Ordering Provision 8.

## How You Can Help

To meet a mid-May to June 1 timeline for implementation of the emergency regulation, interested persons and organizations will be requested to provide input within one week of a document's release. To assist the Board in most thoughtfully addressing this dire situation, please consider the following general questions as you prepare your comments:

1. Are there other approaches to achieve a 25% statewide reduction in potable urban water use that would also impose a greater responsibility on water suppliers with higher per capita water use than those that use less?
2. How should the regulation differentiate between tiers of high, medium and low per capita water users?
3. Should water suppliers disclose their list of actions to achieve the required water reductions?
4. Should these actions detail specific plans for potable water use reductions in the commercial, industrial, and institutional (CII) sectors?
5. Should additional information be required in the monthly conservation reports for urban water suppliers to demonstrate progress towards achieving the required water reductions?
6. How and when should compliance with the required water reductions be assessed?
7. What enforcement response should be considered if water suppliers fail to achieve their required water use reductions?

## How to Provide Input

Information including discussion drafts, draft regulations and related materials will be available on the State Water Board's website at:

[http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterrights/water\\_issues/programs/drought/emergency\\_mandatory\\_regulations.shtml](http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterrights/water_issues/programs/drought/emergency_mandatory_regulations.shtml) . Clear and concise written comment and questions can be sent to Jessica Bean at [jessica.bean@waterboards.ca.gov](mailto:jessica.bean@waterboards.ca.gov).