

## ADA Compliance Assessment - Summary of Methodology:

The ADA Compliance Assessment documents the access barriers for the exterior site and interior areas of several City facilities, as well as within a representative sample of intersections and mid-block sections in the street rights-of-way. The documented access barriers indicate that existing conditions of these facilities deviate from current State and Federal accessibility standards for new construction. For each identified barrier, the ADA Compliance Assessment cites the code sections and requirements from the ADA Accessibility Guidelines (ADAAG) as well as Title 24 of the California Code of Regulations more commonly known as the CBC.

To comply with the federal legal standards for accessibility to City services, programs, and activities, the ADA Compliance Assessment:

- Identifies physical obstacles in the City's facilities that limit the accessibility of its programs or activities to individuals with disabilities.
- Assesses the extent of architectural barriers to program accessibility on site and within facilities operated by the City.
- Describes the proposed methods of mitigation to make the facilities accessible.
- Estimates costs for their correction.

The City of Lemoore will provide the following functions to complete the federal legal standards for an ADA Transition Plan Update:

- Set priorities for physical or architectural barrier elimination.
- Specify the steps necessary to achieve compliance with the ADA by providing a schedule for barrier removal/mitigation.
- Indicate the official responsible for implementation of the plan.


## Field Survey

SSA began work toward developing the ADA Compliance Assessment by completing a detailed survey of requested City facilities. The survey fulfills the first requirement for an updated ADA Transition Plan, by identifying physical obstacles limiting the accessibility to the City's programs and activities for disabled individuals. The facilities assessment was conducted in accordance with the ADA Access Guidelines (ADAAG) and the current California Building Code (CBC).

In the street rights-of-way, where the City has either sole or shared responsibility/authority over streets, roads and sidewalks, the ADA Compliance Assessment documents physical obstacles at curb ramps, street intersections, and pedestrian sidewalks. Priority was given to pedestrian routes serving public entities, including State and local government offices and facilities, transportation, places of public accommodation with high pedestrian traffic, then sidewalks serving other areas. Based on these prioritization criteria and in conjunction with City staff, a representative sample of intersections and midblock sections in the street rights-of-way was selected for inclusion in this report.

## Report Production

The following information for each barrier was incorporated in the ADA Compliance Assessment Reports for each City facility:

- Item number of barrier and/or room number, corresponding to schematic site and floor plans
- Area/location of the barrier; for example room name or number
- Description of the barrier (as-built situation)
- As-is measurement/dimension
- Method of mitigation (e.g. alteration, program modification, equivalent facilitation, etc.)
- Detailed description of proposed solution and, if applicable, an alternative or interim solution
- Code citations, specifying the applicable sections in the State accessibility regulations, the Division of the State Architect (DSA) policy number, and in the federal standards
- Severity of individual barriers (four levels: 1=severe, 2=difficult, 3=moderate, 4=mild)
- Unit and estimated unit price
- Total estimated cost for barrier removal


## Severity analysis:

While in the field, SSA's surveyors took into account the relative importance of each barrier, according to its impact upon the disabled population. Since persons with disabilities utilize certain buildings and facilities with greater frequency, such as community centers, the impact of barriers identified at higher used facilities was greater.

Upon compilation of the survey results, SSA worked closely with the appropriate City staff to identify key items found in the survey and obtain additional information to determine a final level of severity (wherever possible, the City of Yucca Valley made existing plans available).

To assist with the City's analysis of the report data for completing the Transition Plan Update, SSA provided the typical prioritizing criteria used in numerous Cities and Counties throughout California.

## Prioritization Criteria according to program functions:

- Importance of the program function
- Frequency of Use
- Program location and relation to other program functions


## Prioritization Criteria according to barrier location:

Priority 1. Basic public access and hazardous conditions
Priority 2. Access to program function areas.
Priority 3. Access to public common areas that support program function areas. (Such as restrooms, drinking fountains, public telephones, etc.). Provision of visual/audible signal devices connected to the existing fire alarm system.
Priority 4. Barriers not included in priorities 1, 2 and 3:
Priority 5. Barriers not addressed by the ADAAG. However, they are addressed by the CSAS and are not in compliance with the CSAS and/or interpretations of regulations as set forth by the DSA.

## Closing:

The City of Lemoore recognizes that its programs and services are fundamental to the public and to the lives of its citizens. To ensure that all of its citizens and the general public have the opportunity to participate in the programs and services offered by City of Lemoore, the City is addressing the changes necessary to implement program accessibility in its activities, buildings, and related facilities. The City of Lemoore is dedicated to providing buildings and facilities that provide useable and functional disabled access.


Access Compliance Report Format


1. Locator Number: Identifies the unique database record (one locator number per record).
2. As-Built Description: Description of as-built barrier based on applicable accessibility codes.
3. Street Side Corresponds to the side of the street surveyed:

- N, S, E and W.

4. Distance from Corner: The location of the identified barrier, measured in feet from the intersection/starting street.
5. Survey Street: Name of arterial/primary Street for which barriers are being surveyed.
6. As-is Measurement: Existing condition/dimension.
7. Codes I Info: - PCODE: Specifies the relevant SSA database code. Database code plus suffix:

- ADAPROW: Guidelines to enforce Federal accessibility standards in the public rights-of-way.
- CSAS: California State Accessible Standards; the state's adoption of the National Americans with Disability Act.
- ADDAG: The Federal Standard for accessibility adopted by the Department of Justice.

8. Notes: Extra information pertaining to the restrictions of the site area.
9. Proposed Solution: Description of steps necessary to remove barrier and, if applicable, an interim solution or notes.
10. Starting Street: Name of the intersecting street name from which barrier locations are being measured.
11. Qty:

Number of solutions required.
12. Unit

Unit of measurement used to compute cost estimate. LF=linear feet; SF=square feet; JOB=lump sum.
13. Unit Cost: Estimated cost of specific solution per one unit. (The final cost of barrier removal may exceed this estimate based on the year of mitigation, design approach and chosen method of mitigation)
14. Specific Item: Category of accessible feature in which the barrier belongs.
15. Street ID Number: Alpha-numeric indicator on top-right corner of each page denoting location of barriers identified throughout the page.
16. Total: Total estimated cost for removing identified barrier (multiplied Qty by Cost).

| ADA | Americans with Disabilities Act | MoM | Method of mitigation |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ADAAG | ADA Accessibility Guidelines | MP | Master priority |
| ADACO | ADA-Coordinator | MRR | Men's restroom |
| AFF | Above finished floor | N | North |
| C.T.P. | Contact third party | N.A.R. | No action required |
| CA | State of California | NE | Northeast |
| CDD | Community Development Director | NT | Non-typical |
| cl | Center line | NW | Northwest |
| CMGR | City Manager | NWn | Northwest: North side |
| CP | Chief of Police | NWs | Northwest: South side |
| CSAS | CA State Accessibility Standards | O.c. | On center |
| D.A. | Designated accessible | O/R | Official responsible |
| Dep. | Deputy | PAR | Public Access Route |
| Dept. Rep | Department representative | P.A. | Physical alteration |
| DF | Drinking fountain | P.M. | Program modification |
| DH | Department Head | POT | Path of travel |
| Dir. | Director | PROW | Public Right of Way |
| E | East | PTD | Paper towel dispenser |
| E.D. | Executive Director | PWD | Public Works Director |
| E.F. | Equivalent facilitation | Qty | Quantity |
| F-B-F | Facility-Building-Floor | REF | Reference |
| FC | Fire Chief | S | South |
| FD | Finance Director | SCD | Seat cover dispenser |
| Fig. | Figure | SD | Soap dispenser |
| FM\&O | Facilities, Maintenance \& Operations | sec. | Second |
| FND | Feminine napkin dispenser | Sec. | Section |
| FTD | Feminine tampon dispenser | SE | Southeast |
| Gov. | Government | SF | Square foot |
| HQ | Headquarters | SW | Southwest |
| JOB | per one job (lump sum) | TBD | To be determined |
| Lab | Laboratory | up | Ramp or stair direction up |
| Lav | Lavatory | W | West |
| Ibs | Pounds | WC | Water Closet |
| LF | Linear foot | WRR | Women's Restroom |
| MOD | Modernization project |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |



| Total Cost for Street: | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Street ID\# } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 19th Ave. |  | \$355,293.10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost per Block Section: |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1.12 | E side of | 19th Ave. Starting at Cedar Ln. | \$50,242.50 |
|  | 1.46 | W side of | 19th Ave. Starting at Atlantic Ave. | \$34,450.00 |
|  | 1.47 | E side of | 19th Ave. Starting at Property End Serving Facility: 111 Youth Sports Complex | \$270,600.60 |
| Total Cost for Street: | Street ID \# <br> 3 | Avalon Dr. |  | \$38,520.00 |
| Cost per Block Section: |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.47 | S side of | Avalon Dr. Starting at Property End Serving Facility: 115 Lion's Park | \$38,520.00 |
| Total Cost for Street: | $\begin{gathered} \text { Street ID\# } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | B St. |  | \$137,762.50 |
| Cost per Block Section: |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5.22 | N side of | B St. Starting at Follet St. | \$72,520.00 |
|  | 5.22 | S side of | B St. Starting at Follet St. | \$10,192.50 |
|  | 5.23 | S side of | B St. Starting at Fox St. Serving Facility: 116 City Park | \$55,050.00 |
| Total Cost for Street: | Street ID\# | Belinda Dr. |  | \$53,662.50 |
| Cost per Block Section: |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6.27 | E side of | Belinda Dr. Starting at Hazelwood Dr. | \$19,760.00 |
|  | 6.35 | W side of | Belinda Dr. Starting at Meadow Ln. | \$20,702.50 |
|  | 6.38 | E side of | Belinda Dr. Starting at Rosewood Ln. | \$13,200.00 |
| Total Cost for Street: | $\begin{gathered} \text { Street ID \# } \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | Brentwood |  | \$27,120.00 |
| Cost per Block Section: |  |  |  |  |
|  | 8.3 | E side of | Brentwood Dr. Starting at Avalon Dr. Serving Facility: 115 Lion's Park | \$27,120.00 |
| Total Cost for Street: | Street\|D\# | Bush St. |  | \$129,730.00 |
| Cost per Block Section: |  |  |  |  |
|  | 9.10 | N side of | Bush St. Starting at Byron Dr. | \$39,450.00 |
|  | 9.28 | N side of | Bush St. Starting at Heinlen St. Serving Facility: 116 City Park | \$71,000.00 |
|  | 9.32 | S side of | Bush St. Starting at Linda Ln. | \$19,280.00 |
| Total Cost for Street: | Street ID\# | C St. |  | \$50,951.00 |

Cost per Block Section:

|  | 11.29 | S | side of | C St. Starting at Hill St. <br> Serving Facility: 104 | Civic Auditorium | $\$ 4,515.00$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 11.47 | N | side of | C St. Starting at Property End <br> Serving Facility: 108 <br> Community Dev. Bldg. \& Fire Station \#1 | $\$ 46,436.00$ |  |
| Total Cost for Street:Steet ID\# <br> 12 | Cedar Ln. |  |  | $\$ 23,340.00$ |  |  |

Cost per Block Section:

|  | 12.47 | N side of | Cedar Ln. Starting at Pr Serving Facility: 117 | Property End Bevalaqua Park | \$23,340.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Cost for Street: | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Street ID \# } \\ \hline 14 \end{gathered}$ | Cinnamon Dr. |  |  | \$250,262.50 |
| Cost per Block Section: |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 14.1 | S side of | Cinnamon Dr. Starting at Serving Facility: 111 | at 19th Ave. <br> Youth Sports Complex | \$161,050.00 |


|  | $14.29$ $14.47$ | S side of <br> S side of | Cinnamon Dr. Starting at Hill St. <br> Serving Facility: 103 Police Department <br> Cinnamon Dr. Starting at West Property End Serving Facility: 102 Fire Station \#2 | \$63,652.50 $\$ 25,560.00$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Cost for Street: | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Street ID \# } \\ & 16 \end{aligned}$ | D St. |  | \$515,369.50 |
| Cost per Block Section: |  |  |  |  |
|  | 16.22 |  | D St. Starting at Follet St. | \$63,556.00 |
|  | 16.23 | S side of | D St. Starting at Fox St. <br> Serving Facility: 105 Teen Center/Veterans Hall | \$36,090.00 |
|  | 16.28 | N side of | D St. Starting at Heinlen St. Serving Facility: 114 Plaza Park | \$49,888.00 |
|  | 16.28 |  | D St. Starting at Heinlen St. | \$56,675.50 |
|  | 16.31 | S side of | D St. Starting at Lemoore Ave. | \$172,220.00 |
|  | 16.40 | N side of | D St. Starting at Smith Ave. | \$136,940.00 |
| Total Cost for Street: | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Street ID \# } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Devon Dr. |  | \$51,525.00 |
| Cost per Block Section: |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | N side of | Devon Dr. Starting at Chelsea Ave |  |
|  | 18.20 | S side of | Devon Dr. Starting at Eton Dr. | \$32,895.00 |
| Total Cost for Street: | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Street ID\# } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | E St. |  | \$77,341.00 |
| Cost per Block Section: | 19.23 | N side of | E St. Starting at Fox St. <br> Serving Facility: 106 Train Depot Complex | \$77,341.00 |
| Total Cost for Street: | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Street ID\# } \\ & 21 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Fallenleaf Dr. |  | \$26,960.00 |
| Cost per Block Section: | 21.47 | N side of | Fallenleaf Dr. Starting at Property End Serving Facility: 115 Lion's Park | \$26,960.00 |
| Total Cost for Street: | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Street ID\# } \\ & 22 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Follet St. |  | \$8,400.00 |
| Cost per Block Section: | 22.49 | W side of | Follet St. Starting at Driveway Serving Facility: 106 Train Depot Complex | \$8,400.00 |
| Total Cost for Street: | $\begin{gathered} \text { Street ID\# } \\ 23 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Fox St. |  | \$108,808.00 |
| Cost per Block Section: |  |  |  |  |
|  | 23.9 | E side of | Fox St. Starting at Bush St. Serving Facility: 116 City Park | \$3,250.00 |
|  | 23.11 | E side of | Fox St. Starting at C St. <br> Serving Facility: 108 Community Dev. Bldg. \& Fire Station \#1 | \$56,000.00 |
|  | 23.11 | W side of | Fox St. Starting at C St. <br> Serving Facility: 107 City Hall | \$6,528.00 |
|  | 23.14 | W side of | Fox St. Starting at Cinnamon Dr. Serving Facility: 103 Police Department | \$10,440.00 |
|  | 23.19 | E side of | Fox St. Starting at E St. <br> Serving Facility: 106 Train Depot Complex | \$20,200.00 |
|  | 23.26 | W side of | Fox St. Starting at Hanover Ave. Serving Facility: 115 Lion's Park | \$12,390.00 |
| Total Cost for Street: | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Street ID \# } \\ & 24 \end{aligned}$ | Frontage Rd. |  | \$1,410.00 |
| Cost per Block Section: | 24.37 | S side of | Frontage Rd. Starting at Opal Ave. Serving Facility: 113 Heritage Park | \$200.00 |


|  | 24.48 | S side of | Frontage Rd. Starting at Serving Facility: 113 | W. Dr Herita | e Cut <br> e Park | \$1,210.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Cost for Street: | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Street ID\# } \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | Hanford-Armona Rd. |  |  |  | \$343,340.00 |
| Cost per Block Section: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 25.2 | N side of | Hanford-Armona Rd. Starting at Antelope Dr. |  |  | \$160,740.00 |
|  | 25.7 | N side of | Hanford-Armona Rd. Starting at |  | Bennington Ave. | \$182,600.00 |
| Total Cost for Street: | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Street ID\# } \\ & 26 \end{aligned}$ | Hanover Ave. |  |  |  | \$16,432.50 |
| Cost per Block Section: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 26.8 | N side of | Hanover Ave. Starting a Serving Facility: 115 | at Bren Lion's | ood Dr. Park | \$16,432.50 |
| Total Cost for Street: | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Street ID \# } \\ 27 \end{gathered}$ | Hazelwood Dr. |  |  |  | \$47,315.00 |

Cost per Block Section:

|  | $\begin{aligned} & 27.2 \\ & 27.30 \end{aligned}$ | E side of W side of | Hazelwood Dr. Starting at Antelope Dr. <br> Hazelwood Dr. Starting at Juniper Ln. | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 22,120.00 \\ & \$ 25,195.00 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Cost for Street: | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Street ID\# } \\ & 28 \end{aligned}$ | Heinlen St. |  | \$27,525.00 |
| Cost per Block Section: | 28.5 | W side of | Heinlen St. Starting at B St. Serving Facility: 116 City Park | \$27,525.00 |
| Total Cost for Street: | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Street ID\# } \\ & 29 \end{aligned}$ | Hill St. |  | \$20,430.00 |
| Cost per Block Section: |  |  |  |  |
|  | 29.5 | E side of | Hill St. Starting at B St. <br> Serving Facility: 104 Civic Auditorium | \$3,510.00 |
|  | 29.47 | E side of | Hill St. Starting at Property End Serving Facility: 103 Police Department | \$16,920.00 |
| Total Cost for Street: | Street ID\# $31$ | Lemoore Av |  | \$560,600.00 |





## Cross Slope (Driveway)

- As-Built Description:

The cross slope of the pedestrian access route in a driveway exceeds the maximum required slope ( $1: 48$ ).

PCODE PR10A

## ADAPROW R301.4.1

ADAAG 4.3.7
CSAS 1133B.7.1.3

- Proposed Solution:

Modify the driveway to provide a slope not exceeding the required $1: 48$ (2\%) maximum slope.

| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measurement: | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2859 | $24-46$ feet | $10.4 \%$ cross slope | 99 | SF | $\$ 40$ | $\mathbf{\$ 3 , 9 6 0}$ |
| 2862 | $107-131$ feet | $10.4 \%$ cross slope | 108 | SF | $\$ 40$ | $\mathbf{\$ 4 , 3 2 0}$ |
| 2865 | $246-271$ feet | $9.9 \%$ cross slope | 112.5 | SF | $\$ 40$ | $\mathbf{\$ 4 , 5 0 0}$ |
| 2867 | $334-354$ feet | $10.1 \%$ cross slope | 90 | SF | $\$ 40$ | $\$ \mathbf{\$ 3 , 6 0 0}$ |
| 2869 | $403-432$ feet | $9.1 \%$ cross slope | 130.5 | SF | $\$ 40$ | $\$ 5, \mathbf{2 2 0}$ |
| 2871 | $472-493$ feet | $10.7 \%$ cross slope | 94.5 | SF | $\$ 40$ | $\mathbf{\$ 3 , 7 8 0}$ |
| 2874 | $542-562$ feet | $8.0 \%$ cross slope | 90 | SF | $\$ 40$ | $\mathbf{\$ 3 , 6 0 0}$ |
| 2878 | $846-866$ feet | $2.2 \%-2.8 \%$ cross slope | 80 | SF | $\$ 40$ | $\mathbf{\$ 3 , 2 0 0}$ |




## Vertical Change


total cost: mid-block barriers for E side of 19th Ave. Starting at Cedar Ln.
\$50,242.50


|  |  |  |  |  |  | Cross Slope (Driveway) | veway |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - As-Built Description: <br> The cross slope of the pedestrian access route in a driveway exceeds the maximum required slope ( $1: 48$ ). |  |  | PCODE PR10A ADAPROW R301.4.1 ADAAG 4.3.7 CSAS 1133B.7.1.3 | - Proposed Solution: <br> Modify the driveway to provide a slope not exceeding the required $1: 48$ (2\%) maximum slope. |  |  |  |
| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measurement: |  | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| 2883 | 389-417 feet | 6.3\% cross slope |  | 196 | SF | \$40 | \$7,840 |
| 2884 | 473-497 feet | 5.8\% cross slope |  | 168 | SF | \$40 | \$6,720 |
| 2894 | 954-978 feet | 9.6\% cross slope |  | 92 | SF | \$40 | \$3,680 |

- As-Built Description:

The sidewalk has a highly irregular pavement surface.

- Proposed Solution:

Smooth pavement surface as necessary, by grinding, filling, or refinishing.

ADAAG 4.5.2
CSAS 1133B.7.1

| ID \# | Distance from Corner | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2893 | $915-917$ feet | 8 | SF | $\$ 10$ | $\$ 80$ |

## Horizontal Openings

- As-Built Description:

An opening in the pedestrian access route permits passage of a $0.5^{\prime \prime}$ sphere, and/or long dimension of opening is parallel to the dominant direction of travel.

PCODE PR20A
ADAPROW R301.7.1
ADAAG 4.5.4
CSAS 1133B.7.2

- Proposed Solution:

Modify existing pedestrian access route to provide openings of $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ maximum and with long dimension of opening perpendicular to the dominant direction of travel.

| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measurement: | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2888 | 623 feet | 0.75 " wide | 4 | LF | $\$ 25$ | $\$ 100$ |



## Vertical Change

- As-Built Description:

Vertical changes in level between $1 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ and $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ in the pedestrian access route are not beveled with a slope no steeper than 1:2.

PCODE PR26AREF - Proposed Solution:

## ADAPROW R301.5.2

ADAAG 4.3.8, 4.5.2
CSAS 1133B.7.4

| ID \# | Distance from Corner | Qty | Unit | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2885 | 548 feet | REF |  |  |


| - As-Built Description: |  |  | PCODE PR26B ADAPROW R301.5.2 <br> ADAAG 4.3.8 CSAS 1133B.7.4 | - Proposed Solution: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vertical changes in level exceed $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ in the pedestrian access route. |  |  |  | Modify, grind, or resurface pavement to provide a level surface with vertical changes not exceeding $1 / 4$ " in height. |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Meas | ent: | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| 2892 | 864 feet | 0.675" hi |  | 4 | SF | \$25 | \$100 |

## Vertical Change



| Street Side | Street ID \# | Survey Street |  |  | Street ID \# Starting Street |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E | 1 | 19TH AVE. |  |  | 47 | PROPERTY END |  |  |  |
| Serving | Facility: | Youth Sports Complex |  |  |  | , |  | Continuous Width |  |
| - As-Bu <br> The cle route is minimu curb. | It Description: ar width of t less than the m, exclusive | pedestri required of the wi | an access <br> 8" <br> th of the | PCODE PR03A ADAPROW R301.3. ADAAG 4.3.3 |  | - Proposed Solutio <br> Modify the exis as necessary to minimum width | g ped ride | ian ac requir |  |
| ID \# | Distance fro | Corner | As-is Measurement: |  |  | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| 2453 | 1414 | eet | 38 " wide |  |  | 4 | SF | \$40 | \$160 |

## Serving Facility: 111 Youth Sports Complex

Continuous Width

- As-Built Description:

Debris/vegetation reduces the width of the pedestrian access route to less than the required $48^{\prime \prime}$ minimum, exclusive of the width of the curb.

PCODE PR04B
ADAPROW R301.3.1
ADAAG 4.2.1, 4.3.3

- Proposed Solution:

Remove debris/vegetation to provide 48" minimum width in the path of travel (60" preferred). Patch existing surface if needed.

| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measurement: | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2450 | 1288 feet | $36 "$ wide | 3 | LF | $\$ 15$ | $\$ 45$ |

Serving Facility: 110 19th Ave. Park

- As-Built Description:

The cross slope of the pedestrian access route exceeds the maximum required slope (1:48 max).

## PCODE PR05A

ADAPROW R301.4.1
ADAAG 4.3.7
CSAS 1133B.7.1.3

- Proposed Solution:

Modify existing route as necessary to not exceed the required $1: 48$ ( $2 \%$ ) maximum cross slope.

| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measurement: | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| ---: | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2634 | $0-198$ feet | $4.0 \%-6.0 \%$ cross slope | 891 | SF | $\$ 40$ | $\mathbf{\$ 3 5 , 6 4 0}$ |
| 2637 | $229-423$ feet | $2.8 \%-9.9 \%$ cross slope | 873 | SF | $\$ 40$ | $\mathbf{\$ 3 4 , 9 2 0}$ |
| 2435 | $379-403$ feet | $2.5 \%-4.2 \%$ cross slope | 204 | SF | $\$ 40$ | $\mathbf{\$ 8 , 1 6 0}$ |
| 2436 | $442-621$ feet | $2.2 \%-4.1 \%$ cross slope | 1521.5 | SF | $\$ 40$ | $\mathbf{\$ 6 0 , 8 6 0}$ |
| 2437 | $682-753$ feet | $2.3 \%-3.0 \%$ cross slope | 603.5 | SF | $\$ 40$ | $\mathbf{\$ 2 4 , 1 4 0}$ |
| 2440 | $782-897$ feet | $2.3 \%-2.6 \%$ cross slope | 977.5 | SF | $\$ 40$ | $\mathbf{\$ 3 9 , 1 0 0}$ |
| 2441 | $990-1003$ feet | $2.4 \%-4.0 \%$ cross slope | 110.5 | SF | $\$ 40$ | $\mathbf{\$ 4 , 4 2 0}$ |
| 2442 | $1028-1047$ feet | $2.2 \%-4.9 \%$ cross slope | 161.5 | SF | $\$ 40$ | $\mathbf{\$ 6 , 4 6 0}$ |
| 2443 | $1073-1110$ feet | $2.4 \%-3.2 \%$ cross slope | 166.5 | SF | $\$ 40$ | $\mathbf{\$ 6 , 6 6 0}$ |
| 2449 | $1224-1245$ feet | $2.4 \%-3.0 \%$ cross slope | 94.5 | SF | $\$ 40$ | $\mathbf{\$ 3 , 7 8 0}$ |
| 2451 | $1297-1317$ feet | $2.3 \%-3.0 \%$ cross slope | 90 | SF | $\$ 40$ | $\mathbf{\$ 3 , 6 0 0}$ |



## Serving Facility: 111 Youth Sports Complex

## Horizontal Openings

- As-Built Description:

An opening in the pedestrian access route permits passage of a $0.5^{\prime \prime}$ sphere, and/or long dimension of opening is parallel to the dominant direction of travel.

PCODE PR20A
ADAPROW R301.7.1
ADAAG 4.5.4
CSAS 1133B.7.2

## - Proposed Solution:

Modify existing pedestrian access route to provide openings of $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ maximum and with long dimension of opening perpendicular to the dominant direction of travel.

| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measurement: | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2433 | 255 feet | 0.75 " wide | 8.5 | LF | $\$ 25$ | $\mathbf{\$ 2 1 3}$ |

## Serving Facility: 111 Youth Sports Complex

## Vertical Change

- As-Built Description:

Vertical changes in level between $1 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ and $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ in the pedestrian access route are not beveled with a slope no steeper than 1:2.

PCODE PR26A
ADAPROW R301.5.2
ADAAG 4.3.8, 4.5.2
CSAS 1133B.7.4

- Proposed Solution:

Bevel vertical changes in level to not exceed $1 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ in height and have a slope not steeper that $1: 2$.

| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measurement: | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2430 | 149 feet | 0.5 " high | 8.5 | LF | $\$ 25$ | $\mathbf{\$ 2 1 3}$ |
| 2431 | 169 feet | 0.5 " high | 8.5 | LF | $\$ 25$ | $\mathbf{\$ 2 1 3}$ |
| 2434 | 364 feet | 0.5 " high | 5 | LF | $\$ 25$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 2 5}$ |
| 2446 | 1144 feet | 0.375 " high | 4.5 | LF | $\$ 25$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 1 3}$ |


| Street Side | Street ID \# | Survey Street |  | Street ID \# Starting Street |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E | 1 | 19TH AVE. |  | 47 PROPERTY E |  |  |  |  |
| Serving Facility: 111 Youth Sports Complex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ange |
| - As-Bu <br> Cutout <br> vertica <br> the ped | Description: <br> in sidewalk change in le strian acces | lanter box exceed route. | creates a ing $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ in | PCODE PR26D <br> ADAPROW R301.5.2 <br> ADAAG 4.3.8, 4.5.2 <br> CSAS 1133B.7.4 | Fill planter box to create a smooth transition in the pedestrian access route, not to exceed $1 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ in height and have a slope not steeper that 1:2.. |  |  |  |
| ID \# | Distance from Corner |  | As-is Measurement: |  | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| 2439 | 742 feet 0.75" deep |  |  |  | 3.8 | SF | \$7 | \$27 |

## Serving Facility: 111 Youth Sports Complex

## Protruding Object

- As-Built Description:

An object with a leading edge greater than $27^{\prime \prime}$ and less than $80^{\prime \prime}$ above the finish floor or ground protrudes more than 4 " horizontally into the path of travel.

PCODE PS22A
ADAPROW R401.2
ADAAG 4.4.1
CSAS 1133B.8.6.1

- Proposed Solution:

Modify the object to protrude less than 4" horizontally into the path of travel, provide vertical clearance of at least $80^{\prime \prime}$, or create a leading edge or guardrail at $27^{\prime \prime}$ maximum above the finish floor or ground.

| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measurement: | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2432 | 228 feet | Sign: 79.5 " high | 1 | JOB | $\$ 99$ | $\$ 99$ |


| Serving Facility: 111 Youth Sports Complex |  |  |  |  |  |  | bject |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - As-Built Description: <br> Vertical clearance is less than $80^{\prime \prime}$ high, and greater than $27^{\prime \prime}$ high, due to debris/vegetation. |  |  | PCODE PS24B <br> ADAPROW R401.4 <br> ADAAG 4.4.2, 4.3.5 <br> CSAS 1133B.8.2 | - Proposed Solution: <br> Remove debris/vegetation to provide 80" minimum vertical clearance in the path of travel. |  |  |  |
| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measurement: |  | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| 2445 | 1140 feet | 56" high |  | 1 | JOB | \$75 | \$75 |

## Serving Facility: 111 Youth Sports Complex

## Protruding Object

- As-Built Description:

Slanted utility guy wire adjacent to accessible route walkway creates overhead obstruction between $27^{\prime \prime}$ and 80 from surface.

PCODE PS25A
ADAPROW R401.4
ADAAG 4.4.2, 4.3.5
CSAS 1133B.8.2

- Proposed Solution:

Provide guy brace to vertically align guy wire within $80^{\prime \prime}$ height from walkway surface.

| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measurement: | Qty | Unit | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2438 | 682 feet | 60 " high | 1 | $\$ 400$ | Total |

total cost: mid-block barriers for E side of 19th Ave. Starting at Property End
\$270,600.60

| Street Side | Street ID \# | Survey Street | Street ID \# | Starting Street |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| E | 1 | 19TH AVE. | $\mathbf{4 7}$ | PROPERTY END |  |
| TOTAL COST: MID-BLOCK BARRIERS FOR 19TH AVE. |  |  | $\mathbf{\$ 3 5 5 , 2 9 3 . 1 0}$ |  |  |



| Street Side | StreetID\# | Survey Street | Street ID \# |  | Starting Street |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{S}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | AVALON DR. | $\mathbf{4 7}$ | PROPERTY END |  |
| TOTAL COST: MID-BLOCK BARRIERS FOR AVALON DR. |  | $\mathbf{\$ 3 8 , 5 2 0 . 0 0}$ |  |  |  |


| Street Side | Street ID \# | Survey Street | Street ID \# | Starting Street |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{N}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | B ST. | $\mathbf{2 2}$ | FOLLET ST. |  |

## Cross Slope (Driveway)



Vertical Change


- As-Built Description:

The cross slope of the pedestrian access route exceeds the maximum required slope (1:48 max).

PCODE PR05A
ADAPROW R301.4.1
ADAAG 4.3.7
CSAS 1133B.7.1.3

## Cross Slope (PAR)



| Street Side | Street ID \# | Survey Street |  | Street ID \# Starting Street |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S | 5 | B ST. |  | 22 |  | FOLLET ST. |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\underline{V}$ | ange |
| - As-Built Description: <br> Vertical changes in level exceed $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ in the pedestrian access route. |  |  |  | PCODE PR26B <br> ADAPROW R301.5.2 <br> ADAAG 4.3.8 CSAS 1133B.7.4 |  | - Proposed Solution: <br> Modify, grind, or resurface pavement to provide a level surface with vertical changes not exceeding $1 / 4$ " in height. |  |  |  |
| ID \# | Distance from Corner |  | As-is Measurement: |  |  | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| 2927 | 386 | feet | 1.0" high |  |  | 4.5 | SF | \$25 | \$113 |



## Serving Facility: 116 City Park

## Horizontal Openings

- As-Built Description:

An opening in the pedestrian access route permits passage of a $0.5^{\prime \prime}$ sphere, and/or long dimension of opening is parallel to the dominant direction of travel.

PCODE PR20A
ADAPROW R301.7.1
ADAAG 4.5.4
CSAS 1133B.7.2

- Proposed Solution:

Modify existing pedestrian access route to provide openings of $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ maximum and with long dimension of opening perpendicular to the dominant direction of travel.

| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measurement: | Qty | Unit | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2473 | 306 feet | 0.675 " high | 5 | LF | $\$ 25$ |

## Serving Facility: 116 City Park

## Vertical Change

- As-Built Description:

Vertical changes in level between $1 / 4 "$ and $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ in the pedestrian access route are not beveled with a slope no steeper than 1:2.

| ID \# | Distance from Corner | Qty | Unit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2475 | 357 feet | Cost | Total |

Serving Facility: 116 City Park


| Street Side | Street ID \# | Survey Street | Street ID \# | Starting Street |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S | 5 | B ST. | 23 FOX ST. |  |  |  |  |
| Serving Facility: 116 City Park |  |  |  | Vertical Change |  |  |  |
| - As-Built Description: <br> Vertical changes in level exceed $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ in the pedestrian access route. |  |  | PCODE PR26BREF ADAPROW R301.5.2 ADAAG 4.3.8, 4.5.2 CSAS 1133B.7.4 | - Proposed Solution: <br> Modify, grind, or resurface pavement to provide a level surface with vertical changes not exceeding $1 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ in height. |  |  |  |
| ID \# | Distance from Corner |  |  | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| 2474 | 338 feet |  |  | REF |  |  |  |
| 2476 | 372 feet |  |  | REF |  |  |  |


| Street Side | StreetID\# | Survey Street | Street ID \# | Starting Street |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| S | 5 | B ST. | $\mathbf{2 3}$ | FOX ST. |  |
| TOTAL COST: MID-BLOCK BARRIERS FOR B ST. |  |  | $\mathbf{\$ 1 3 7 , 7 6 2 . 5 0}$ |  |  |


| Street Side | Street ID \# | Survey Street |  | Street ID \# Starting Street |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E | 6 | BELINDA DR. |  |  | 2 | HAZELWOOD DR. |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\underline{\mathrm{Cr}}$ | (PAR) |
| The cross slope of the pedestrian access route exceeds the maximum required slope (1:48 max). |  |  |  | PCOD <br> ADAPROW <br> ADAAG <br> CSA | $4.1$ 7.1.3 | - Proposed Solutio <br> Modify existing exceed the requ cross slope. | oute as 1:48 | cessary <br> \%) m |  |
| ID \# | Distance from Corner |  | As-is Measurement: |  |  | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| 2841 | 278-294 | feet | 2.9\%-4.3\% cross slope |  |  | 72 | SF | \$40 | \$2,880 |
| 2842 | 294-310 | feet | 2.3\% - $3.1 \%$ cross slope |  |  | 64 | SF | \$40 | \$2,560 |

## Cross Slope (Driveway)



## Vertical Change

| - As-Built Description: <br> Vertical changes in level exceed $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ in the pedestrian access route. |  |  | PCODE PR26B | - Proposed Solution: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Modify, grind, or resurface pavement to provide a level surface with vertical changes not exceeding $1 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ in height. |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | ADAPROW R301.5.2 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | ADAAG 4.3.8 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | CSAS 1133B.7.4 |
| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measurement: |  | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| 2836 | 0 feet | 1.75" hig |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | SF | \$25 | \$100 |

total cost: mid-block barriers for E side of Belinda Dr. Starting at Hazelwood Dr.


## Cross Slope (Driveway)

|  |  |  | PCODE PR10A | - Proposed Solution: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The cross slope of the pedestrian access route in a driveway exceeds the |  |  |  | Modify the driveway to provide a slope not |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | ADAPROW R301.4. | exceeding the required $1: 48$ (2\%) |  |  |  |
| maximum required slope (1:48). |  |  | ADAAG 4.3.7 | maximum slope. |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | CSAS 1133B.7.1.3 |  |  |  |  |
| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Me | nent: | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| 2854 | 612-631 feet | 10.8\% cr | ope | 85.5 | SF | \$40 | \$3,420 |
| 2855 | 722-742 feet | 12.2\% cr | ope | 90 | SF | \$40 | \$3,600 |
| 2856 | 759-778 feet | 12.1\% cr | ope | 85.5 | SF | \$40 | \$3,420 |
| 2857 | 826-845 feet | 11.8\% cr | ope | 85.5 | SF | \$40 | \$3,420 |

## Horizontal Openings

- As-Built Description:

An opening in the pedestrian access route permits passage of a $0.5^{\prime \prime}$ sphere, and/or long dimension of opening is parallel to the dominant direction of travel.

PCODE PR20A • Proposed Solution:
ADAPROW R301.7.1
ADAAG 4.5.4
CSAS 1133B.7.2

Modify existing pedestrian access route to provide openings of $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ maximum and with long dimension of opening perpendicular to the dominant direction of travel.

| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measurement: | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2849 | 293 feet | 0.675 " wide | 3 | LF | $\$ 25$ | $\$ 75$ |

## Vertical Change






## Vertical Change

- As-Built Description:

Vertical changes in level exceed $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ in the pedestrian access route.
PCODE PR26B
ADAPROW R301.5.2
ADAAG 4.3.8

CSAS 1133B.7.4
-Proposed Solution:
Modify, grind, or resurface pavement to provide a level surface with vertical changes not exceeding $1 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ in height.

| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measurement: | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2831 | 51 feet | 1.5 high | 4 | SF | $\$ 25$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 0}$ |
| 2833 | 105 feet | 1.0 high | 4 | SF | $\$ 25$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 0}$ |

total cost: mid-block barriers for E side of Belinda Dr. Starting at Rosewood Ln.

| Street Side | Street ID \# | Survey Street | Street ID \# | Starting Street |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{E}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | BELINDA DR. | 38 | ROSEWOOD LN. |  |
| TOTAL COST: MID-BLOCK BARRIERS FOR BELINDA DR. |  | $\mathbf{\$ 5 3 , 6 6 2 . 5 0}$ |  |  |  |



## Serving Facility: 115 Lion's Park


total cost: mid-block barriers for E side of Brentwood Dr. Starting at Avalon Dr.

| Street Side | Street ID \# | Survey Street | Street ID \# | Starting Street |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{E}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | BRENTWOOD DR. | $\mathbf{3}$ | AVALON DR. |  |
| TOTAL COST: MID-BLOCK BARRIERS FOR BRENTWOOD DR. |  | $\mathbf{\$ 2 7 , 1 2 0 . 0 0}$ |  |  |  |



|  |  |  |  |  |  | Cross Slope (Driveway) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - As-Built Description: <br> The cross slope of the pedestrian access route in a driveway exceeds the maximum required slope ( $1: 48$ ). |  |  | PCODE PR10A ADAPROW R301.4.1 ADAAG 4.3.7 CSAS 1133B.7.1.3 | - Proposed Solution: <br> Modify the driveway to provide a slope not exceeding the required $1: 48$ (2\%) maximum slope. |  |  |  |
| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measurement: |  | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| 2686 | 139-159 feet | 17.4\% cross slope |  | 80 | SF | \$40 | \$3,200 |
| 2687 | 219-239 feet | 12.7\% cross slope |  | 80 | SF | \$40 | \$3,200 |
| 2688 | 275-294 feet | 12.4\% cross slope |  | 84 | SF | \$40 | \$3,360 |
| 2690 | 333-351 feet | 14.2\% cross slope |  | 72 | SF | \$40 | \$2,880 |
| 2694 | 410-440 feet | 12.9\% cross slope |  | 120 | SF | \$40 | \$4,800 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Change |
| - As-Built Description: <br> Vertical changes in level between $1 / 4$ " and $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ in the pedestrian access route are not beveled with a slope no steeper than 1:2. |  |  | PCODE PR26A ADAPROW R301.5.2 ADAAG 4.3.8, 4.5.2 CSAS 1133B.7.4 | - Proposed Solution: <br> Bevel vertical changes in level to not exceed $1 / 4$ " in height and have a slope not steeper that 1:2. |  |  |  |
| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measurement: |  | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| 2691 | 367 feet | 0.375 " high |  | 3 | LF | \$25 | \$75 |
| 2692 | 371 feet | 0.375 " high |  | 3 | LF | \$25 | \$75 |
| 2695 | 498 feet | 0.5 " high |  | 4 | LF | \$25 | \$100 |


total cost: mid-block barriers for $\mathbf{N}$ side of Bush St. Starting at Byron Dr.

total cost: mid-block barriers for N side of Bush St. Starting at Heinlen St.
\$71,000.00


|  |  |  |  | Cross Slope (Driveway) | Cross Slope (Driveway) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - As-Built Description: <br> The cross slope of the pedestrian access route in a driveway exceeds the maximum required slope ( $1: 48$ ). |  |  | PCODE PR10A ADAPROW R301.4.1 ADAAG 4.3.7 CSAS 1133B.7.1.3 | - Proposed Solution: <br> Modify the driveway to provide a slope not exceeding the required $1: 48(2 \%)$ maximum slope. |  |  |  |
| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Me | ent: | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| 2681 | 63-79 feet | 11.3\% cr |  | 64 | SF | \$40 | \$2,560 |
| 2683 97-118 feet 15.2\% cross slope |  |  |  | 84 | SF | \$40 | \$3,360 |
|  |  |  |  | Walkway Surface |  |  |  |
| - As-Built Description: <br> The sidewalk has a highly irregular pavement surface. |  |  | PCODE PR18A ADAPROW R301.5 ADAAG 4.5.2 CSAS 1133B.7.1 | - Proposed Solutio <br> Smooth paveme grinding, filling | surfac refin | s nec ing. |  |
| ID \# | Distance from Corner |  |  | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| 2684 | 125-135 feet |  |  | 40 | SF | \$10 | \$400 |
| TOTAL COST: MID-BLOCK bARRIERS FOR S side of Bush St. Starting at Linda Ln. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Street Side | Street ID\# | Survey Street | Street ID\# | Starting Street |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{S}$ | 9 | BUSH ST. | 32 | LINDA LN. |  |
| TOTAL COST: MID-BLOCK BARRIERS FOR BUSH ST. |  |  | $\mathbf{\$ 1 2 9 , 7 3 0 . 0 0}$ |  |  |



## Serving Facility: 104 Civic Auditorium

Ramp Transition


| Serving Facility: 104 Civic Auditorium |  |  |  |  |  |  | Gutter |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - As-Built Description: <br> The slope of the gutter area or street at the foot of a curb ramp or blended transition exceeds 1:20 (5\%) in the direction of the pedestrian crossing. |  |  | PCODE PC70D <br> ADAPROW R303.3.5 <br> ADAAG 4.7.2 <br> CSAS 1127B.5.3 | - Proposed Solution: <br> Demolish gutter or street area as required and provide new gutter with 5\% max slope. |  |  | Total |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measurement: |  | Qty | Unit | Cost |  |
| 2516 | 159-169 feet | 8.5\% s |  |  | JOB | \$1,500 |  |

## Serving Facility: 104 Civic Auditorium

## On-Street Parking

- As-Built Description:

A parking access aisle has slope(s) exceeding the $1: 48(2 \%)$ maximum allowed slope in any direction.

- Proposed Solution:

Modify the parking access aisle slope(s) to not exceed the 1:48 ( $2 \%$ ) maximum slope in any direction.

| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measurement: | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 2520 | $159-169$ feet | $2.3 \%-6.4 \%$ cross slope | 1 | JOB | $\$ 480$ | $\$ 480$ |


| Street Side | Street ID \# | Survey Street | Street ID \# Starting Street |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S | 11 | C ST. | 29 HILL ST |  |  |  |  |
| Serving Facility: 104 Civic Auditorium |  |  |  | On-Street Parking |  |  |  |
| - As-Built Description: <br> A parking area does not contain at least one van accessible parking space of eight accessible spaces. |  |  | PCODE PP09 <br> ADAAG 4.1.2 (5)(b) | - Proposed Solution: <br> Create a van-accessible parking space, with a 96 " wide street-level aisle running along the full length of the right side of the parking spot. One in every eight accessible parking spaces, but not less than one. must be van accessible. |  |  |  |
| ID \# | Distance from Corner |  |  | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| 2522 | 159-169 |  |  | 1 | JOB | \$300 | \$300 |

## Serving Facility: 104 Civic Auditorium

On-street parking

- As-Built Description:

Accessible parking space is smaller than the required size. (CA only: $8^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$ with $5^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$ or $8^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$ access aisle).
PCODE PP10NT
ADAPROW R308.3
ADAAG 4.6.3

ADAAG 4.6.3

- Proposed Solution:

Modify parking space to meet access requirements.

| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measurement: | Qty | Unit | Cost |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2523 | $159-169$ feet | 13 ' long | JOB | $\$ 200$ |  |

Serving Facility: 104 Civic Auditorium

## Horizontal Openings

- As-Built Description:

An opening in the pedestrian access route permits passage of a $0.5^{\prime \prime}$ sphere, and/or long dimension of opening is parallel to the dominant direction of travel.

## PCODE PR20A <br> ADAPROW R301.7.1 <br> ADAAG 4.5.4 <br> CSAS 1133B.7.2

- Proposed Solution:

Modify existing pedestrian access route to provide openings of $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ maximum and with long dimension of opening perpendicular to the dominant direction of travel.

| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measurement: | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2507 | 0 feet | 0.75 " wide | 10 | LF | $\$ 25$ | $\mathbf{\$ 2 5 0}$ |
| 2529 | 324 feet | 0.675 " wide | 5 | LF | $\$ 25$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 2 5}$ |

## Serving Facility: 104 Civic Auditorium

## Vertical Change

- As-Built Description:

Vertical changes in level between $1 / 4$ " and $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ in the pedestrian access route are not beveled with a slope no steeper than 1:2.

PCODE PR26A
ADAPROW R301.5.2
ADAAG 4.3.8, 4.5.2
CSAS 1133B.7.4

- Proposed Solution:

Bevel vertical changes in level to not exceed $1 / 4$ " in height and have a slope not steeper that 1:2.

| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measurement: | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2513 | 138 feet | 0.375 " high | 10 | LF | $\$ 25$ | $\mathbf{\$ 2 5 0}$ |
| 2524 | 174 feet | 0.375 " high | 3 | LF | $\$ 25$ | $\mathbf{\$ 7 5}$ |
| 2527 | 286 feet | 0.375 " high | 5 | LF | $\$ 25$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 2 5}$ |
| 2528 | 292 feet | 0.5 " high | 4 | LF | $\$ 25$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 0}$ |
| 2530 | 332 feet | 0.5 " high | 5 | LF | $\$ 25$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 2 5}$ |



## Serving Facility: 104 Civic Auditorium

## Vertical Change

- As-Built Description:

Cutout in sidewalk (planter box) creates a vertical change in level exceeding $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ in the pedestrian access route.

| PCODE PR26D | • Proposed Solution: |
| :---: | :--- |
| ADAPROW R301.5.2 | Fill planter box to create a smooth |
| ADAAG 4.3.8, 4.5.2 | transition in the pedestrian access route, |
| CSAS 1133B.7.4 | not to exceed $1 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ in height and have a |
|  | slope not steeper that $1: 2 .$. |


| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measurement: | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2508 | 14 feet | 3.0" deep | 25 | SF | \$7 | \$175 |
| 2509 | 39 feet | 2.5 " deep | 25 | SF | \$7 | \$175 |
| 2510 | 63 feet | 4.0 " deep | 25 | SF | \$7 | \$175 |
| 2511 | 88 feet | 4.0 " deep | 25 | SF | \$7 | \$175 |
| 2514 | 158 feet | 2.0" high | 20 | SF | \$7 | \$140 |
| 2525 | 195 feet | 2.0" deep | 25 | SF | \$7 | \$175 |
| 2526 | 211 feet | 1.0" deep | 20 | SF | \$7 | \$140 |
| 2531 | 350 feet | 2.0" deep | 20 | SF | \$7 | \$140 |
| 2532 | 403 feet | 2.0" deep | 20 | SF | \$7 | \$140 |

Serving Facility: 104 Civic Auditorium

## Detectable Warning

- As-Built Description:

A detectable warning surface is not provided.
PCODE PW01REF
ADAPROW R304.1
ADAAG 4.7.7
CSAS 1127B.5.7

- Proposed Solution:

Provide a detectable warning surface extending 24 " min. in the direction of travel and the full width of the curb ramp.

| ID \# | Distance from Corner | Qty | Unit | Cost |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 2517 | $159-169$ feet | 1 | REF |  |


| Street Side | Street ID \# | Survey Street |  |  | Street ID \# Starting Street |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N | 11 | C ST. |  |  | 47 | PROPERTY END |  |  |  |
| Serving Facility: 108 Community Dev. Bldg. \& Fire Station \#1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Cross Slope (PAR) |  |
| - As-Built Description: <br> The cross slope of the pedestrian access route exceeds the maximum required slope (1:48 max). |  |  |  | PCODE PR05A ADAPROW R301.4.1 ADAAG 4.3.7 CSAS 1133B.7.1.3 |  | - Proposed Solution: <br> Modify existing route as necessary to not exceed the required 1:48 (2\%) maximum cross slope. |  |  |  |
| ID \# | Distance fro | m Corner | As-is Measurement: |  |  | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| 2548 | 0-23 | feet | 2.8\%-4 | oss slope |  | 276 | SF | \$40 | \$11,040 |
| 2551 | 71-150 | feet | 2.5\%-5 | oss slope |  | 632 | SF | \$40 | \$25,280 |

Serving Facility: 108 Community Dev. Bldg. \& Fire Station \#1

## Cross Slope (Driveway)

- As-Built Description:

The cross slope of the pedestrian access route in a driveway exceeds the maximum required slope ( $1: 48$ ).

PCODE PR10A
ADAPROW R301.4.1
ADAAG 4.3.7
CSAS 1133B.7.1.3

- Proposed Solution:

Modify existing route as necessary to not exceed the required 1:48(2\%) maximum cross slope.

| Street Side | Street ID\# | Survey Street | Street ID \# |  | Starting Street |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{N}$ | 11 | C ST. | 47 | PROPERTY END |  |
| TOTAL COST: MID-BLOCK BARRIERS FOR C ST. |  |  | $\mathbf{\$ 5 0 , 9 5 1 . 0 0}$ |  |  |



Serving Facility: 117 Bevalaqua Park

## Protruding Object

- As-Built Description:

Vertical clearance is less than $80^{\prime \prime}$ high, and greater than 27 " high, due to debris/vegetation.

PCODE PS24B
ADAPROW R401.4
ADAAG 4.4.2, 4.3.5
CSAS 1133B.8.2

- Proposed Solution:

Remove debris/vegetation to provide 80" minimum vertical clearance in the path of travel.

| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measurement: | Qty | Unit | Cost |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2366 | 235 feet | 60 " high | 1 | JOB | $\$ 75$ | Total |
| 2367 | 319 feet | $48 "$ high | 1 | JOB | $\$ 75$ | $\$ 75$ |
| 2368 | 407 feet | $48 "$ high | 1 | JOB | $\$ 75$ | $\$ 75$ |
| 2370 | 519 feet | $36 "$ high | 1 | JOB | $\$ 75$ | $\$ 75$ |

total cost: mid-block barriers for N side of Cedar Ln. Starting at Property End

| Street Side | Street ID \# | Survey Street | Street ID \# | Starting Street |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{N}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | CEDAR LN. | $\mathbf{4 7}$ | PROPERTY END |  |
| TOTAL COST: MID-BLOCK BARRIERS FOR CEDAR LN. |  |  | $\mathbf{\$ 2 3 , 3 4 0 . 0 0}$ |  |  |



## Serving Facility: 111 Youth Sports Complex

## Cross Slope (Driveway)

- As-Built Description:

The cross slope of the pedestrian access route in a driveway exceeds the maximum required slope ( $1: 48$ ).

PCODE PR10A
ADAPROW R301.4.1
ADAAG 4.3.7
CSAS 1133B.7.1.3
保 1133 B .1 .3

- Proposed Solution:

Modify the driveway to provide a slope not exceeding the required 1:48 (2\%) maximum slope.

| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measurement: | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 2421 | $321-347$ feet | $9.4 \%$ cross slope | 140 | SF | $\$ 40$ | $\$ 5,600$ |
| 2426 | $958-981$ feet | $10.7 \%$ cross slope | 115 | SF | $\$ 40$ | $\$ 4,600$ |

## Serving Facility: 111 Youth Sports Complex

## Vertical Change

- As-Built Description:

Vertical changes in level between $1 / 4$ " and $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ in the pedestrian access route are not beveled with a slope no steeper than 1:2.

PCODE PR26A ADAPROW R301.5.2

ADAAG 4.3.8, 4.5.2
CSAS 1133B.7. 4

- Proposed Solution:

Bevel vertical changes in level to not exceed $1 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ in height and have a slope not steeper that 1:2.

| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measurement: | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2427 | 1016 feet | $0.5 "$ high | 5 | LF | $\$ 25$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 2 5}$ |

## Serving Facility: 111 Youth Sports Complex

## Vertical Change

- As-Built Description:

Vertical changes in level exceed $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ in the pedestrian access route.

PCODE PR26B
ADAPROW R301.5.2
ADAAG 4.3.8
CSAS 1133B.7.4

- Proposed Solution:

Modify, grind, or resurface pavement to provide a level surface with vertical changes not exceeding $1 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ in height.

| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measurement: | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2428 | 1031 feet | 0.75 " high | 5 | SF | $\$ 25$ | $\$ 125$ |


| Street Side | Street ID \# | Survey Street | Street ID \# | Starting Street |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| S | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | CINNAMON DR. | 1 | 19TH AVE. |  |
| TOTAL COST: MID-BLOCK | bARRIERS FOR | S | side of Cinnamon Dr. Starting at 19th Ave. | $\mathbf{\$ 1 6 1 , 0 5 0 . 0 0}$ |  |



## Serving Facility: 103 Police Department

## Vertical Change

- As-Built Description:

Vertical changes in level between $1 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ and $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ in the pedestrian access route are not beveled with a slope no steeper than 1:2.

PCODE PR26A
ADAPROW R301.5.2
ADAAG 4.3.8, 4.5.2
CSAS 1133B.7.4

- Proposed Solution:

Bevel vertical changes in level to not exceed $1 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ in height and have a slope not steeper that 1:2.

| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measurement: | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2416 | 336 feet | 0.5 high | 4.5 | LF | $\$ 25$ | \$113 |

total cost: mid-block barriers for $\mathbf{S}$ side of Cinnamon Dr. Starting at Hill St.
\$63,652.50


| Street Side | Street ID \# | Survey Street | Street ID \# | Starting Street |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| S | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | CINNAMON DR. | 47 | WEST PROPERTY END |
| TOTAL COST: MID-BLOCK BARRIERS FOR CINNAMON DR. |  | $\mathbf{\$ 2 5 0 , 2 6 2 . 5 0 ~}$ |  |  |



## Walkway Surface

- As-Built Description:

The sidewalk has a highly irregular pavement surface.

PCODE PR18A
ADAPROW R301.5
ADAAG 4.5.2
CSAS 1133B.7.1

| ID \# | Distance from Corner | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2911 | 54 feet | 24 | SF | $\$ 10$ | $\mathbf{\$ 2 4 0}$ |


|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\underline{W}$ | urface |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - As-Built Description: <br> The pedestrian access route has a highly irregular pavement surface. |  |  | PCODE PR18B ADAPROW R301.5 ADAAG 4.5.2 CSAS 1133B.7.1 | - Proposed Solution: <br> Repave the area to provide a smooth pavement surface. |  |  |  |
| ID \# | Distance from Corner |  |  | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| 2915 185-215 feet |  |  |  | 210 | SF | \$40 | \$8,400 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Vertical Change |
| - As-Built Description: <br> Vertical changes in level between $1 / 4$ " and $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ in the pedestrian access route are not beveled with a slope no steeper than 1:2. |  |  | PCODE PR26A ADAPROW R301.5.2 ADAAG 4.3.8, 4.5.2 CSAS 1133B.7.4 | - Proposed Solution: <br> Bevel vertical changes in level to not exceed $1 / 4$ " in height and have a slope not steeper that 1:2. |  |  |  |
| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measurement: |  | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| 2910 | 50 feet | 0.5 " high |  | 4 | LF | \$25 | \$100 |
| 2914 | 129 feet | 0.375 " high |  | 10 | LF | \$25 | \$250 |


| Street Side | Street ID \# | Survey Street | Street ID \# | Starting Street |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{N}$ | $\mathbf{1 6}$ | D ST. | $\mathbf{2 2}$ | FOLLET ST. |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  | Vertical Change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - As-Built Description: <br> Cutout in sidewalk (planter box) creates a vertical change in level exceeding $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ in the pedestrian access route. |  |  | PCODE PR26D ADAPROW R301.5.2 ADAAG 4.3.8, 4.5.2 CSAS 1133B.7.4 | - Proposed Solution: <br> Fill planter box to create a smooth transition in the pedestrian access route, not to exceed $1 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ in height and have a slope not steeper that $1: 2$.. |  |  |  |
| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measurement: |  | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| 2912 | 93 feet | 2.0" deep |  | 16 | SF | \$7 | \$112 |
| 2913 | 119 feet | 3.0" deep |  | 16 | SF | \$7 | \$112 |
| 2916 | 258 feet | 2.0 " deep |  | 16 | SF | \$7 | \$112 |

total cost: mid-block barriers for $\quad \mathbf{N}$ side of D St. Starting at Follet St.
\$63,556.00
Street Side $\quad$ Street ID \# $\quad$ Survey Street $\quad$ Street ID \# Starting Street

| $S$ | 16 | D ST. | 23 | FOX ST. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Serving Facility: 105 Teen Center/Veterans Hall

## Cross Slope (PAR)

- As-Built Description:

The cross slope of the pedestrian access route exceeds the maximum required slope (1:48 max).

PCODE PR05A
ADAPROW R301.4.1
ADAAG 4.3.7
CSAS 1133B.7.1.3

- Proposed Solution:

Modify existing route as necessary to not exceed the required 1:48 (2\%) maximum cross slope.

| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measurement: | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2576 | $51-75$ feet | $2.2 \%-2.5 \%$ cross slope | 216 | SF | $\$ 40$ | $\$ 8,640$ |
| 2578 | $141-165$ feet | $2.3 \%-2.8 \%$ cross slope | 216 | SF | $\$ 40$ | $\$ 8,640$ |

Serving Facility: 105 Teen Center/Veterans Hall
-As-Built Description:
The cross slope of the pedestrian access route in a driveway exceeds the maximum required slope (1:48).
PCODE PR10A • Proposed Solution:

ADAPROW R301.4.1
ADAAG 4.3.7
CSAS 1133B.7.1.3

## Cross Slope (Driveway)

Modify the driveway to provide a slope not exceeding the required 1:48(2\%) maximum slope.

| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measurement: | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 2575 | $0-51$ | feet | $6.8 \%$ cross slope | 459 | SF | $\$ 40$ |

## Serving Facility: 105 Teen Center/Veterans Hall

## Horizontal Openings

- As-Built Description:

An opening in the pedestrian access route permits passage of a $0.5^{\prime \prime}$ sphere, and/or long dimension of opening is parallel to the dominant direction of travel.

PCODE PR20A
ADAPROW R301.7.1
ADAAG 4.5.4
CSAS 1133B.7.2

- Proposed Solution:

Modify existing pedestrian access route to provide openings of $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ maximum and with long dimension of opening perpendicular to the dominant direction of travel.

| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measurement: | Qty | Unit | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 2577 | 127 feet | 0.675 " wide | 9 | LF | $\$ 25$ |

## Serving Facility: 105 Teen Center/Veterans Hall

## Vertical Change

- As-Built Description:

Vertical changes in level between $1 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ and $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ in the pedestrian access route are not beveled with a slope no steeper than 1:2.

PCODE PR26A
ADAPROW R301.5.2
ADAAG 4.3.8, 4.5.2
CSAS 1133B.7.4

- Proposed Solution:

Bevel vertical changes in level to not exceed $1 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ in height and have a slope not steeper that 1:2.

| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measurement: | Qty | Unit | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2579 | 175 feet | 0.5 " high | 9 | LF | $\$ 25$ |

total cost: mid-block barriers for S side of D St. Starting at Fox St.
\$36,090.00


## Serving Facility: 114 Plaza Park

Cross Slope (PAR)

- As-Built Description:

The cross slope of the pedestrian access route exceeds the maximum required slope (1:48 max).

PCODE PR05A
ADAPROW R301.4.1
ADAAG 4.3.7
CSAS 1133B.7.1.3

- Proposed Solution:

Modify existing route as necessary to not exceed the required 1:48 (2\%) maximum cross slope.

| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measurement: | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2558 | 0-19 feet | 2.3\%-2.6\% cross slope | 133 | SF | \$40 | \$5,320 |
| 2560 | 25-56 feet | 2.3\% - 3.0\% cross slope | 372 | SF | \$40 | \$14,880 |
| 2562 | 157-190 feet | 2.7\% - 3.0\% cross slope | 280.5 | SF | \$40 | \$11,220 |
| 2567 | 268-337 feet | 2.3\% - 3.2\% cross slope | 0 | SF | \$40 |  |
| 2569 | 368-389 feet | 2.4\% - 3.1\% cross slope | 252 | SF | \$40 | \$10,080 |
| 2571 | 389-408 feet | 2.2\% - 2.5\% cross slope | 133 | SF | \$40 | \$5,320 |

Serving Facility: 114 Plaza Park

## Walkway Surface

- As-Built Description:

The sidewalk has a highly irregular pavement surface.

## PCODE PR18AREF <br> ADAPROW R301.5 <br> ADAAG 4.5.2

CSAS 1133B.7.1

| ID \# | Distance from Corner | Qty | Unit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2564 | 277 feet | Cost |  |
| 2565 | 308 feet | REF |  |

## Serving Facility: 114 Plaza Park

## Horizontal Openings

- As-Built Description:

An opening in the pedestrian access route permits passage of a $0.5^{\prime \prime}$ sphere, and/or long dimension of opening is parallel to the dominant direction of travel.

PCODE PR20A ADAPROW R301.7.1

ADAAG 4.5.4
CSAS 1133B.7.2

- Proposed Solution:

Smooth pavement surface as necessary, by grinding, filling, or refinishing.


## Serving Facility: 114 Plaza Park

## Vertical Change

- As-Built Description:

Vertical changes in level exceed $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ in the pedestrian access route.

PCODE PR26B
ADAPROW R301.5.2
ADAAG 4.3.8
CSAS 1133B.7.4

- Proposed Solution:

Modify, grind, or resurface pavement to provide a level surface with vertical changes not exceeding $1 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ in height.

| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measurement: | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2570 | 377 feet | 1.5 high | 12 | SF | $\$ 25$ | $\$ 300$ |

## Serving Facility: 114 Plaza Park

## Vertical Change

- As-Built Description:

Cutout in sidewalk (planter box) creates a vertical change in level exceeding $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ in the pedestrian access route.

PCODE PR26D
ADAPROW R301.5.2
ADAAG 4.3.8, 4.5.2
CSAS 1133B.7.4

- Proposed Solution:

Fill planter box to create a smooth transition in the pedestrian access route, not to exceed $1 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ in height and have a slope not steeper that 1:2..

| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measurement: | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2559 | 32 feet | 2.0 high | 4 | SF | $\$ 7$ | $\mathbf{\$ 2 8}$ |
| 2561 | 57 feet | 1.5 " deep | 4 | SF | $\$ 7$ | $\mathbf{\$ 2 8}$ |
| 2566 | 268 feet | 2.0 " deep | 16 | SF | $\$ 7$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 1 2}$ |

Serving Facility: 114 Plaza Park

## Detectable Warning

- As-Built Description:

A detectable warning surface not provided.

PCODE PW01 - Proposed Solution:
ADAPROW R304.1
ADAAG 4.7.7
CSAS 1127B.5.7

Provide a detectable warning surface extending 24 " min. in the direction of travel and the full width of the curb ramp.

| ID \# | Distance from Corner | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 2574 | 177 feet | 1 | JOB | $\$ 500$ | $\$ 500$ |



|  |  |  |  |  |  | Ss Slo | iveway |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - As-Built Description: <br> The cross slope of the pedestrian access route in a driveway exceeds the maximum required slope ( $1: 48$ ). |  |  | PCODE PR10A <br> ADAPROW R301.4.1 <br> ADAAG 4.3.7 <br> CSAS 1133B.7.1.3 | Solution: <br> he driv $g$ the re m slope |  | vide $(2 \%)$ | not |
| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measurement: |  | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| 2906 326-373 feet 4.1\% cross slope |  |  |  | 540.5 | SF | \$40 | \$21,620 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Running Slope |  |
| - As-Built Description: <br> The grade of the pedestrian access route within a sidewalk exceeds $1: 20(5 \%)$ and exceeds the grade established for the adjacent roadway. |  |  | PCODE PR11A <br> ADAPROW R301.4.2 <br> ADAAG 4.3.7 <br> CSAS 1133B.7.3 | Repave or modify the existing pedestrian route as necessary to provide a slope not exceeding the grade established for the adjacent roadway or 1:20 (5\%). |  |  |  |
| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measurement: |  | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| 2905 | 323-326 feet | 17.9\% slope |  | 34.5 | SF | \$40 | \$1,380 |


|  |  |  |  | Walkway Surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - As-Built Description: <br> The pedestrian access route has a highly irregular pavement surface. | PCODE PR18BNT <br> ADAPROW R301.5 <br> ADAAG 4.5.2 <br> CSAS 1133B.7.1 | - Proposed Solution: <br> Reset pavers to provide a smooth pavement surface. |  |  |  |
| ID \# Distance from Corner |  | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| 2908 382-401 feet |  | 133 | SF | \$12 | \$1,596 |




|  |  |  |  |  |  | Vertical Change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - As- <br> Cuto <br> vertic <br> the p | Description: <br> in sidewalk (planter box) change in level excee strian access route. | ) creates a ing $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ in | PCODE PR26D ADAPROW R301.5.2 <br> ADAAG 4.3.8, 4.5.2 CSAS 1133B.7.4 | - Proposed Solution: <br> Fill planter box to create a smooth transition in the pedestrian access route, not to exceed $1 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ in height and have a slope not steeper that $1: 2$.. |  |  |  |
| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measurement: |  | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| 2896 | 38 feet | 1.5" deep |  | 16 | SF | \$7 | \$112 |
| 2897 | 55 feet | 1.5" deep |  | 16 | SF | \$7 | \$112 |
| 2898 | 89 feet | 2.0 " deep |  | 16 | SF | \$7 | \$112 |
| 2903 | 283 feet | 2.0 " deep |  | 16 | SF | \$7 | \$112 |
| 2904 | 304 feet | 3.0 " deep |  | 2 | SF | \$7 | \$14 |

total cost: mid-block barriers for S side of D St. Starting at Heinlen St.
Street Side $\quad$ Street ID \# Survey Street $\quad$ Street ID \# Starting Street
S 16 D ST.

31 LEMOORE AVE.

- As-Built Description:

The cross slope of the pedestrian access route exceeds the maximum required slope (1:48 max).

PCODE PR05A ADAPROW R301.4.1

ADAAG 4.3.7
CSAS 1133B.7.1.3

## Cross Slope (PAR)

- Proposed Solution:

Modify existing route as necessary to not exceed the required 1:48(2\%) maximum cross slope.

| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measurement: | Qty | Unit | Cost |  |
| ---: | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2702 | $165-197$ feet | $2.2 \%-2.8 \%$ cross slope | 208 | SF | $\$ 40$ | $\mathbf{\$ 8 , 3 2 0}$ |
| 2704 | $242-308$ feet | $2.8 \%-3.7 \%$ cross slope | 288 | SF | $\$ 40$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 1 , 5 2 0}$ |
| 2706 | $338-388$ feet | $3.2 \%-4.4 \%$ cross slope | 225 | SF | $\$ 40$ | $\mathbf{\$ 9 , 0 0 0}$ |
| 2709 | $464-507$ feet | $3.0 \%-3.5 \%$ cross slope | 172 | SF | $\$ 40$ | $\$ 6,880$ |
| 2711 | $522-536$ feet | $2.8 \%-3.0 \%$ cross slope | 56 | SF | $\$ 40$ | $\mathbf{\$ 2 , 2 4 0}$ |
| 2713 | $552-609$ feet | $2.5 \%-4.4 \%$ cross slope | 228 | SF | $\$ 40$ | $\$ 9,120$ |
| 2715 | $629-637$ feet | $2.9 \%-3.1 \%$ cross slope | 32 | SF | $\$ 40$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 2 8 0}$ |
| 2731 | $1260-1277$ feet | $14.3 \%$ cross slope | 68 | SF | $\$ 40$ | $\mathbf{\$ 2 , 7 2 0}$ |
| 2734 | $1385-1406$ feet | $2.8 \%-3.9 \%$ cross slope | 84 | SF | $\$ 40$ | $\mathbf{\$ 3 , 3 6 0}$ |
| 2739 | $1460-1512$ feet | $2.8 \%-3.8 \%$ cross slope | 208 | SF | $\$ 40$ | $\mathbf{\$ 8 , 3 2 0}$ |

## Cross Slope (Driveway)

- As-Built Description:

The cross slope of the pedestrian access route in a driveway exceeds the maximum required slope ( $1: 48$ ).

PCODE PR10A
ADAPROW R301.4.1
ADAAG 4.3.7
CSAS 1133B.7.1.3

- Proposed Solution:

Modify the driveway to provide a slope not exceeding the required 1:48 (2\%) maximum slope.

| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measurement: | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2699 | 82-123 feet | 9.9\% cross slope | 266.5 | SF | \$40 | \$10,660 |
| 2700 | 133-165 feet | 10.2\% cross slope | 208 | SF | \$40 | \$8,320 |
| 2703 | 197-227 feet | 9.6\% cross slope | 195 | SF | \$40 | \$7,800 |
| 2705 | 308-338 feet | 13.4\% cross slope | 135 | SF | \$40 | \$5,400 |
| 2707 | 402-428 feet | 14\% cross slope | 104 | SF | \$40 | \$4,160 |
| 2708 | 437-460 feet | 8.8\% cross slope | 92 | SF | \$40 | \$3,680 |
| 2710 | 507-522 feet | 14.7\% cross slope | 60 | SF | \$40 | \$2,400 |
| 2712 | 536-552 feet | 16.1\% cross slope | 64 | SF | \$40 | \$2,560 |
| 2714 | 609-629 feet | 13.7\% cross slope | 80 | SF | \$40 | \$3,200 |
| 2716 | 637-657 feet | 12.5\% cross slope | 80 | SF | \$40 | \$3,200 |
| 2717 | 709-730 feet | 15.6\% cross slope | 84 | SF | \$40 | \$3,360 |
| 2719 | 737-758 feet | 14.0\% cross slope | 84 | SF | \$40 | \$3,360 |
| 2720 | 809-830 feet | 14.4\% cross slope | 84 | SF | \$40 | \$3,360 |
| 2721 | 838-859 feet | 12.8\% cross slope | 84 | SF | \$40 | \$3,360 |
| 2722 | 910-930 feet | 12.2\% cross slope | 84 | SF | \$40 | \$3,360 |
| 2723 | 938-958 feet | 13.0\% cross slope | 80 | SF | \$40 | \$3,200 |
| 2724 | 1011-1030 feet | 12.0\% cross slope | 76 | SF | \$40 | \$3,040 |
| 2725 | 1039-1059 feet | 13.1\% cross slope | 80 | SF | \$40 | \$3,200 |


| Street Side | Street ID \# | Survey Street |  | Street ID \# | Starting Street |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S | 16 | D ST. |  | 31 | LEMOORE AVE. |  |  |  |
| 2726 | 1111-1131 |  | 14.0\% cross slope |  | 84 | SF | \$40 | \$3,360 |
| 2727 | 1142-1161 | feet | 14.1\% cross slope |  | 76 | SF | \$40 | \$3,040 |
| 2728 | 1211-1232 |  | 14.0\% cross slope |  | 84 | SF | \$40 | \$3,360 |
| 2730 | 1240-1260 | feet | 14.3\% |  | 80 | SF | \$40 | \$3,200 |
| 2732 | 1311-1331 |  | 13.0\% cross slope |  | 80 | SF | \$40 | \$3,200 |
| 2733 | 1339-1360 |  | 13.3\% cross slope |  | 84 | SF | \$40 | \$3,360 |
| 2736 | 1412-1432 |  | 13.0\% cross slope |  | 84 | SF | \$40 | \$3,360 |
| 2738 | 1440-1460 | feet | 13.2\% cross slope |  | 80 | SF | \$40 | \$3,200 |
| 2740 | 1512-1533 | feet | 13.7\% cross slope |  | 42 | SF | \$40 | \$1,680 |
| 2741 | 1540-1560 |  | 13.1\% cross slope |  | 80 | SF | \$40 | \$3,200 |

## Vertical Change



|  |  |  |  |  |  | Vertical Change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - As-Built Description: <br> Vertical changes in level exceed $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ in the pedestrian access route. |  |  | PCODE PR26B ADAPROW R301.5.2 ADAAG 4.3.8 CSAS 1133B.7.4 | - Proposed Solution: <br> Modify, grind, or resurface pavement to provide a level surface with vertical changes not exceeding $1 / 4$ " in height. |  |  |  |
| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measurement: |  | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| 2735 | 1406 feet | 1.25" high |  | 3 | SF | \$25 | \$75 |

## Vertical Change

- As-Built Description:
Utility box creates a abrupt change in
level in the pedestrian access route.

| PCODE PR26C | - Proposed |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Reset/repair utility box to create a smooth |  |  |  |
| ADAPROW R301.5.2 |  |  |  |  |
| ADAAG 4.3.8, 4.5.2 | height and have | slope | steep |  |
| CSAS 1133B.7.4 | 1:2. |  |  |  |
| ment: | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
|  | 3 | SF | \$60 | \$180 |


total cost: mid-block barriers for S side of D St. Starting at Lemoore Ave.
Street Side $\quad$ Street ID \# Survey Street $\quad$ Street ID \# $\quad$ Starting Street

N 16 D ST.
40 SMITH AVE.

|  |  |  |  |  |  | Continuous Width |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - As-Built Description: |  |  | PCODE PR04B | - Proposed Solution: |  |  |  |
| Debris/vegetation reduces the width of the pedestrian access route to less than the required $48^{\prime \prime}$ minimum, exclusive of the width of the curb. |  |  |  | Remove debris/vegetation to provide $48^{\prime \prime}$ minimum width in the path of travel (60" preferred). Patch existing surface if needed. |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | ADAPROW R301.3.1 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | ADAAG 4.2.1, 4.3.3 |  |  |  |  |
| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measurement: |  | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| 2787 | 1512 feet | 15 " wide |  | 1 | LF | \$15 | \$15 |

## - As-Built Description:

The cross slope of the pedestrian access route exceeds the maximum required slope (1:48 max).

PCODE PR05A
ADAPROW R301.4.1
ADAAG 4.3.7
CSAS 1133B.7.1.3

Cross Slope (PAR)

- Proposed Solution:

Modify existing route as necessary to not exceed the required 1:48 (2\%) maximum cross slope.

| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measurement: | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2773 | $1004-1028$ feet | $2.2 \%-4.2 \%$ cross slope | 96 | SF | $\$ 40$ | $\$ 3,840$ |
| 2776 | $1050-1068$ feet | $3.3 \%-3.9 \%$ cross slope | 72 | SF | $\$ 40$ | $\$ 2,880$ |
| 2780 | $1191-1224$ feet | $2.3 \%-3.1 \%$ cross slope | 132 | SF | $\$ 40$ | $\$ 5, \mathbf{2 8 0}$ |
| 2782 | $1275-1324$ feet | $2.5 \%-3.1 \%$ cross slope | 196 | SF | $\$ 40$ | $\$ 7,840$ |
| 2786 | $1379-1465$ feet | $2.4 \%-6.1 \%$ cross slope | 387 | SF | $\$ 40$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 5 , 4 8 0}$ |
| 2789 | $1579-1596$ feet | $2.6 \%$ cross slope | 81 | SF | $\$ 40$ | $\mathbf{\$ 3 , 2 4 0}$ |

## Cross Slope (Driveway)

## - As-Built Description:

The cross slope of the pedestrian access route in a driveway exceeds the maximum required slope ( $1: 48$ ).

PCODE PR10A ADAPROW R301.4.1

ADAAG 4.3.7
CSAS 1133B.7.1.3

- Proposed Solution:

Modify the driveway to provide a slope not exceeding the required 1:48 (2\%) maximum slope.

| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measurement: | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2743 | 25-44 feet | 13.5\% cross slope | 76 | SF | \$40 | \$3,040 |
| 2745 | 52-72 feet | 14.2\% cross slope | 80 | SF | \$40 | \$3,200 |
| 2746 | 124-144 feet | $13.6 \%$ cross slope | 80 | SF | \$40 | \$3,200 |
| 2747 | 153-173 feet | 12.7\% cross slope | 80 | SF | \$40 | \$3,200 |
| 2748 | 225-245 feet | 12.2\% cross slope | 80 | SF | \$40 | \$3,200 |
| 2749 | 253-274 feet | 13.4\% cross slope | 84 | SF | \$40 | \$3,360 |
| 2751 | 325-379 feet | 12.1\% cross slope | 216 | SF | \$40 | \$8,640 |
| 2753 | 425-446 feet | 13.0\% cross slope | 84 | SF | \$40 | \$3,360 |
| 2754 | 454-474 feet | $13.1 \%$ cross slope | 80 | SF | \$40 | \$3,200 |
| 2755 | 526-546 feet | 13.0\% cross slope | 80 | SF | \$40 | \$3,200 |
| 2756 | 554-574 feet | 12.7\% cross slope | 80 | SF | \$40 | \$3,200 |
| 2757 | 627-647 feet | 13.8\% cross slope | 80 | SF | \$40 | \$3,200 |


| Street Side | Street ID \# | Survey Street |  | Street ID \# Starting Street |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N | 16 | D ST. |  | 40 | SMITH AVE. |  |  |  |
| 2761 | 728-747 |  | 11.0\% cross slope |  | 76 | SF | \$40 | \$3,040 |
| 2762 | 756-775 |  | 13.0\% cross slope |  | 76 | SF | \$40 | \$3,040 |
| 2766 | 828-847 | feet | 13.0\% cross slope |  | 76 | SF | \$40 | \$3,040 |
| 2768 | 855-876 | feet | 13.0\% cross slope |  | 84 | SF | \$40 | \$3,360 |
| 2769 | 928-947 | feet | 11.5\% cross slope |  | 76 | SF | \$40 | \$3,040 |
| 2770 | 956-972 |  | $11.5 \%$ cross slope |  | 64 | SF | \$40 | \$2,560 |
| 2775 | 1028-1150 |  | 16.8\% cross slope |  | 88 | SF | \$40 | \$3,520 |
| 2777 | 1068-1093 |  | 17.0\% cross slope |  | 100 | SF | \$40 | \$4,000 |
| 2778 | 1093-1131 |  | $3.1 \%-3.4 \%$ cross slope |  | 4 | SF | \$40 | \$160 |
| 2779 | 1131-1160 |  | 14.5\% cross slope |  | 116 | SF | \$40 | \$4,640 |
| 2781 | 1224-1275 |  | 8.0\% cross slope |  | 204 | SF | \$40 | \$8,160 |
| 2783 | 1324-1340 |  | 13.0\% cross slope |  | 72 | SF | \$40 | \$2,880 |
| 2784 | 1359-1379 |  | 10.6\% cross slope |  | 90 | SF | \$40 | \$3,600 |
| 2785 | 1465-1506 | feet | 12.6\% cross slope |  | 184.5 | SF | \$40 | \$7,380 |


|  |  |  |  |  | Wa | face |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - As-Built Description: <br> The pedestrian access route has a highly irregular pavement surface. |  |  | - Proposed Solution: |  |  |  |
|  |  | PCODE PR18B | Repave the area to provide a smooth pavement surface. |  |  |  |
|  |  | ADAPROW R301.5 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | ADAAG 4.5.2 |  |  |  |  |
| CSAS 1133B.7.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ID \# | Distance from Corner |  | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| 2771 | 972 feet |  | 8 | SF | \$40 | \$320 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  | Horizontal Openings |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - As-Built Description: <br> An opening in the pedestrian access route permits passage of a $0.5^{\prime \prime}$ sphere, and/or long dimension of opening is parallel to the dominant direction of travel. |  |  | PCODE PR20A ADAPROW R301.7.1 ADAAG 4.5.4 CSAS 1133B.7.2 | - Proposed Solution: <br> Modify existing pedestrian access route to provide openings of $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ maximum and with long dimension of opening perpendicular to the dominant direction of travel. |  |  |  |
| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measurement: |  | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| 2760 | 721 feet | 0.75 " wide |  | 4 | LF | \$25 | \$100 |

## Vertical Change

## - As-Built Description:

Vertical changes in level between $1 / 4$ " and $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ in the pedestrian access route are not beveled with a slope no steeper than 1:2.

PCODE PR26A
ADAPROW R301.5.2
ADAAG 4.3.8, 4.5.2
CSAS 1133B.7.4

- Proposed Solution:

Bevel vertical changes in level to not exceed $1 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ in height and have a slope not steeper that 1:2.

| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measurement: | Qty | Unit | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2742 | 4 feet | 0.375 " high | 4 | LF | $\$ 25$ |
| 2744 | 46 feet | 0.375 " high | 4 | LF | $\$ 25$ |


| Street Side | Street ID \# | Survey Street |  | Street ID \# | Starting Street |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N | 16 | D ST. |  | 40 | SMITH AVE. |  |  |  |
| 2750 | 317 |  | 0.5" high |  | 4 | LF | \$25 | \$100 |
| 2752 | 422 | feet | 0.5 " high |  | 4 | LF | \$25 | \$100 |
| 2758 | 650 | feet | 0.375 " high |  | 4 | LF | \$25 | \$100 |
| 2772 | 991 |  | 0.375 " high |  | 4 | LF | \$25 | \$100 |
| 2759 | 655-674 |  | 12.1\% cross slope |  | 4 | LF | \$25 | \$100 |



|  |  |  |  | Protruding Object |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - As-Built Description: <br> Vertical clearance is less than $80^{\prime \prime}$ high, and greater than 27 " high, due to debris/vegetation. |  |  | PCODE PS24B ADAPROW R401.4 ADAAG 4.4.2, 4.3.5 CSAS 1133B.8.2 | - Proposed Solution: <br> Remove debris/vegetation to provide 80" minimum vertical clearance in the path of travel. |  |  |  |
| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measurement: |  | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| 2764 | 807 feet | 49" high |  | 1 | JOB | \$75 | \$75 |
| 2765 | 818 feet | 36" high |  | 1 | JOB | \$75 | \$75 |
| 2767 | 851 feet | 60" high |  | 1 | JOB | \$75 | \$75 |

## Protruding Object

| - As-Built Description: <br> Slanted utility guy wire adjacent to accessible route walkway creates overhead obstruction between 27 " and $80^{\prime \prime}$ from surface. |  |  | PCODE PS25A |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Provide guy brace to vertically align guy | - Proposed Solution: |  |
|  |  |  | ADAPROW R401.4 | wire within 80" | ight f | walk |  |
|  |  |  | ADAAG 4.4.2, 4.3.5 | surface. |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | CSAS 1133B.8.2 |  |  |  |  |
| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is |  |  | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| 2788 | 1579 feet | 60" hig |  | 1 |  | \$400 | \$400 |

total cost: mid-block barriers for $\quad \mathbf{N}$ side of D St. Starting at Smith Ave.
\$136,940.00

| Street Side | Street ID \# | Survey Street | Street ID \# |  | Starting Street |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{N}$ | 16 | D ST. | 40 | SMITH AVE. |  |
| TOTAL COST: MID-BLOCK BARRIERS FOR D ST. |  |  | $\$ 515, \mathbf{3 6 9 . 5 0}$ |  |  |


| Street Side | Street ID \# | Survey Street |  |  | Street ID \# Starting Street |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N | 18 | DEVON DR. |  |  | 13 | CHELSEA AVE |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Cross Slope (PAR) |  |  |  |
| - As-Built Description: <br> The cross slope of the pedestrian access route exceeds the maximum required slope (1:48 max). |  |  |  | PCODE PR05A ADAPROW R301.4.1 ADAAG 4.3.7 CSAS 1133B.7.1.3 |  | - Proposed Solution: <br> Modify existing route as necessary to not exceed the required 1:48 ( $2 \%$ ) maximum cross slope. |  |  |  |
| ID \# | Distance from Corner |  | As-is Measurement: |  |  | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| 2658 | 124-139 | feet | 2.5\%-2 | oss slope |  | 60 | SF | \$40 | \$2,400 |
| 2660 | 201-252 | feet | 2.5\%-4 | oss slope |  | 204 | SF | \$40 | \$8,160 |
| 2663 | 383-403 | feet | 2.8\% - 3 | oss slope |  | 80 | SF | \$40 | \$3,200 |
| 2664 | 483-492 | feet | 2.6\% - 3 | oss slope |  | 36 | SF | \$40 | \$1,440 |

## Cross Slope (Driveway)

- As-Built Description:

The cross slope of the pedestrian access route in a driveway exceeds the maximum required slope (1:48).

PCODE PR10A
ADAPROW R301.4.1
ADAAG 4.3.7
CSAS 1133B.7.1.3

- Proposed Solution:

Modify the driveway to provide a slope not exceeding the required $1: 48$ (2\%) maximum slope.

| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measurement: | Qty | Unit | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2657 | $34-52$ feet | $2.4 \%-3.0 \%$ cross slope | 72 | SF | $\$ 40$ |


total cost: mid-block barriers for $\quad \mathbf{N}$ side of Devon Dr. Starting at Chelsea Ave
\$18,630.00

| Street Side | Street ID \# | Survey Street |  |  | Street ID \# Starting Street |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S | 18 | DEVON DR. |  |  | 20 | ETON DR. |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Cross Slope (PAR) |  |  |  |
| - As-Built Description: <br> The cross slope of the pedestrian access route exceeds the maximum required slope (1:48 max). |  |  |  |  | 4.1 <br> .7.1.3 | - Proposed Solution: <br> Modify existing route as necessary to not exceed the required 1:48 (2\%) maximum cross slope. |  |  |  |
| ID \# | Distance from Corner |  | As-is Measurement: |  |  | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| 2673 | 47-134 | feet | 3.6\%-3.3\% | oss slope |  | 348 | SF | \$40 | \$13,920 |
| 2674 | 187-235 | feet | 2.2\% - 3.4 | oss slope |  | 192 | SF | \$40 | \$7,680 |
| 2675 | 436-457 | feet | 2.6\%-3.7 | oss slope |  | 84 | SF | \$40 | \$3,360 |
| 2676 | 562-583 | feet | 2.4\% - 3.8 | oss slope |  | 84 | SF | \$40 | \$3,360 |



| Street Side | Street ID \# | Survey Street |  | Street ID \# Starting Street |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S | 18 | DEVON DR. |  | 20 ETON |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Vertical Change |  |
| - As-Built Description: <br> Vertical changes in level exceed $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ in the pedestrian access route. |  |  |  | PCODE PR26B <br> ADAPROW R301.5.2 <br> ADAAG 4.3.8 CSAS 1133B.7.4 | - Proposed Solution: <br> Modify, grind, or resurface pavement to provide a level surface with vertical changes not exceeding $1 / 4$ " in height. |  |  |  |
| ID \# | Distance from Corner |  | As-is Measurement: |  | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| 2679 | 407 | feet | 1.0" high |  | 4 | SF | \$25 | \$100 |


total cost: mid-block barriers for S side of Devon Dr. Starting at Eton Dr.

| Street Side | StreetID\# | Survey Street | Street ID \# |  | Starting Street |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | ---: | :--- |
| S | 18 | DEVON DR. | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | ETON DR. |  |
| TOTAL COST: MID-BLOCK BARRIERS FOR DEVON DR. |  | $\mathbf{\$ 5 1 , 5 2 5 . 0 0}$ |  |  |  |


| Street Side | Street ID \# | Survey Street |  | Street ID \# | Starting Street |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N | 19 | E ST. |  | 23 | FOX ST. |  |  |  |
| Serving Facility: 106 Train Depot Complex |  | 6 Train Depot Complex |  |  | Street Furniture |  |  |  |
| - As-Built Description: <br> Clear floor or ground space (30" x 48") not overlapping with other clear space requirements, is not provided at at least one end of the bench. |  |  | PCODE PF01 |  | - Proposed Solution: <br> Provide and position clear floor or ground space to allow wheelchair users to be seated shoulder-to-shoulder with an individual seated on the bench. |  |  |  |
| ID \# | Distance from Corner |  |  |  | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| 2593 | 338 |  |  |  | 1 | JOB | \$600 | \$600 |

## Serving Facility: 106 Train Depot Complex

Cross Slope (PAR)

- As-Built Description:

The cross slope of the pedestrian access route exceeds the maximum required slope (1:48 max).

PCODE PR05A
ADAPROW R301.4.1
ADAAG 4.3.7
CSAS 1133B.7.1.3

- Proposed Solution:

Modify existing route as necessary to not exceed the required 1:48 (2\%) maximum cross slope.

| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measurement: | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| ---: | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2586 | $57-77$ feet | $2.5 \%-3.1 \%$ cross slope | 80 | SF | $\$ 40$ | $\mathbf{\$ 3 , 2 0 0}$ |
| 2589 | $144-162$ feet | $2.4 \%-3.0 \%$ cross slope | 90 | SF | $\$ 40$ | $\$ 3,600$ |
| 2591 | $317-333$ feet | $2.2 \%-3.5 \%$ cross slope | 96 | SF | $\$ 40$ | $\$ 3,840$ |
| 2596 | $452-502$ feet | $2.3 \%-2.8 \%$ cross slope | 225 | SF | $\$ 40$ | $\$ 9,000$ |
| 2598 | $562-638$ feet | $2.6 \%-3.7 \%$ cross slope | 342 | SF | $\$ 40$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 3 , 6 8 0}$ |
| 2601 | $669-778$ feet | $2.7 \%-4.5 \%$ cross slope | 490.5 | SF | $\$ 40$ | $\$ 19,620$ |
| 2606 | $865-875$ feet | $2.7 \%-5.4 \%$ cross slope | 45 | SF | $\$ 40$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 8 0 0}$ |

Serving Facility: 106 Train Depot Complex

## Cross Slope (Driveway)

- As-Built Description:

The cross slope of the pedestrian access route in a driveway exceeds the maximum required slope ( $1: 48$ ).

PCODE PR10A
ADAPROW R301.4.1
ADAAG 4.3.7
CSAS 1133B.7.1.3

- Proposed Solution:

Modify the driveway to provide a slope not exceeding the required 1:48(2\%) maximum slope.

| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measurement: | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2600 | $638-669$ feet | $11.6 \%$ cross slope | 139.5 | SF | $\$ 40$ | $\$ 5,580$ |

Serving Facility: 106 Train Depot Complex

## Cross Slope (Alleyway)

- As-Built Description:

The cross slope of the pedestrian access route in a parking lot entrance exceeds the maximum required slope $(1: 48)$.

PCODE PR10ANT
ADAPROW R301.4.1
ADAAG 4.3.7
CSAS 1133B.7.1.3

- Proposed Solution:

Modify the alleyway to provide a slope not exceeding the required 1:48(2\%) maximum slope.

| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measurement: | Qty | Unit | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2588 | $98-124$ feet | $3.5 \%$ cross slope | 208 | SF | $\$ 40$ |


| Street Side | Street ID \# | Survey Street |  | Street ID \# Starting Street |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N | 19 | E ST. |  | 23 FO |  |  |  |  |
| 2597 | 523-536 feet |  |  | 104 |  | SF | \$40 | \$4,160 |
| Serving Facility: 106 Train Depot Complex |  |  |  |  |  | Horizontal Openings |  |  |
| - As-Built Description: <br> An opening in the pedestrian access route permits passage of a $0.5^{\prime \prime}$ sphere, and/or long dimension of opening is parallel to the dominant direction of travel. |  |  |  | PCODE PR20A <br> ADAPROW R301.7.1 <br> ADAAG 4.5.4 <br> CSAS 1133B.7.2 | - Proposed Solution: <br> Modify existing pedestrian access route to provide openings of $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ maximum and with long dimension of opening perpendicular to the dominant direction of travel. |  |  |  |
| ID \# | Distance fro | m Corner | As-is Measurement: |  | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| 2594 | 352 | feet | 0.675 " wid |  | 4.5 | LF | \$25 | \$113 |
| 2595 | 382 | feet | 0.675 " wid |  | 4.5 | LF | \$25 | \$113 |

Serving Facility: 106 Train Depot Complex

## Horizontal Openings

- As-Built Description:

An opening in the pedestrian access route permits passage of a $0.5^{\prime \prime}$ sphere, and/or long dimension of opening is parallel to the dominant direction of travel.

PCODE PR20AREF
ADAPROW R301.7.1

CSAS 1133B.7.2

- Proposed Solution:

Modify existing pedestrian access route to provide openings of $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ maximum and with long dimension of opening perpendicular to the dominant direction of travel.

| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measurement: | Qty | Unit | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2582 | 17 feet | 0.675 " wide | 4 | REF |  |
| 2583 | 29 feet | 0.675 " wide | 4 | REF |  |

## Serving Facility: 106 Train Depot Complex

## Vertical Change

- As-Built Description:

Vertical changes in level exceed $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ in the pedestrian access route.

PCODE PR26BREF
ADAPROW R301.5.2
ADAAG 4.3.8, 4.5.2
CSAS 1133B.7.4

## - Proposed Solution:

Modify, grind, or resurface pavement to provide a level surface with vertical changes not exceeding $1 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ in height.

| ID \# | Distance from Corner | Qty | Unit | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2599 | 579 feet | REF |  | Total |

## Serving Facility: 106 Train Depot Complex

## Vertical Change

- As-Built Description:

Cutout in sidewalk (planter box) creates a vertical change in level exceeding $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ in the pedestrian access route.

PCODE PR26D
ADAPROW R301.5.2
ADAAG 4.3.8, 4.5.2
CSAS 1133B.7.4

- Proposed Solution:

Fill planter box to create a smooth transition in the pedestrian access route, not to exceed $1 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ in height and have a slope not steeper that 1:2..

| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measurement: | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| ---: | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2584 | 40 feet | 2.0 " deep | 120 | SF | $\$ 7$ | $\$ 840$ |
| 2587 | 71 feet | 2.0 " deep | 200 | SF | $\$ 7$ | $\$ 1,400$ |
| 2602 | 823 feet | $6 "$ deep | 14 | SF | $\$ 7$ | $\$ 98$ |



## Serving Facility: 106 Train Depot Complex

## Bus Boarding Area Clear Floor Space

- As-Built Description:

Bus stop boarding area is smaller than the required $96^{\prime \prime}$ length and $60^{\prime \prime}$ width minimum.

PCODE PS61A
ADAPROW R410.1.2
ADAAG 10.1
CSAS 1131B. 4

- Proposed Solution:

Provide a bus stop pad with a clear length of $96^{\prime \prime}$ minimum, measured perpendicular to the curb or vehicle roadway edge, and a clear width of $60^{\prime \prime}$ minimum.

| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measurement: | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 2590 | 232 feet | 75 l long | 12 | SF | $\$ 40$ | $\$ 480$ |


| Street Side | Street ID\# | Survey Street | Street ID \# |  | Starting Street |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{N}$ | 19 | E ST. | 23 | FOX ST. |  |
| TOTAL COST: MID-BLOCK BARRIERS FOR E ST. |  |  | $\$ 77,341.00$ |  |  |



## Serving Facility: 115 Lion's Park

Ramp Transition


| Serving Facility: 115 Lion's Park |  |  |  |  |  |  | Gutter |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - As-Built Description: <br> The slope of the gutter area or street at the foot of a curb ramp or blended transition exceeds 1:20 (5\%) in the direction of the pedestrian crossing. |  |  | PCODE PC70D | - Proposed Solution: <br> Demolish gutter or street area as required and provide new gutter with $5 \%$ max slope. |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | ADAPROW R303.3.5 <br> ADAAG 4.7.2 <br> CSAS 1127B.5.3 |  |  |  |  |
| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measurement: |  | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| 2382 | 352 feet | 7.1\% slope |  | 1 | JOB | \$1,500 | \$1,500 |

Serving Facility: 115 Lion's Park

## On-Street Parking

- As-Built Description:

No accessible parking from street to elements that are required to be accessible.

PCODE PP01A
ADAPROW R308.2.1
ADAAG 4.1.2 \& 4.3.2
CSAS 1114B.1.2

- Proposed Solution:

Recommend providing accessible street parking located near curb ramp on either end of the block face or develop on-site accessible parking.

| ID \# | Distance from Corner | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2384 | 352 feet | 2 | JOB | $\$ 5,000$ | $\$ 10,000$ |



## Serving Facility: 115 Lion's Park

## Horizontal Openings

- As-Built Description:

An opening in the pedestrian access route permits passage of a $0.5^{\prime \prime}$ sphere, and/or long dimension of opening is parallel to the dominant direction of travel.

> el.

PCODE PR20A
ADAPROW R301.7.1
ADAAG 4.5.4
CSAS 1133B.7.2

## - Proposed Solution:

Modify existing pedestrian access route to provide openings of $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ maximum and with long dimension of opening perpendicular to the dominant direction of travel.

| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measurement: | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 2373 | 70 feet | 0.75 " wide | 6 | LF | $\$ 25$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 5 0}$ |
| 2374 | 116 feet | 0.675 " wide | 6 | LF | $\$ 25$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 5 0}$ |
| 2377 | 204 feet | 0.675 " wide | 6 | LF | $\$ 25$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 5 0}$ |
| 2379 | 248 feet | 0.675 " wide | 6 | LF | $\$ 25$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 5 0}$ |

## Serving Facility: 115 Lion's Park



## Serving Facility: 115 Lion's Park

## Detectable Warning

- As-Built Description:

A detectable warning surface is not provided.

PCODE PW01REF
ADAPROW R304.1
ADAAG 4.7.7
CSAS 1127B.5.7

- Proposed Solution:

Provide a detectable warning surface extending 24" min. in the direction of travel and the full width of the curb ramp.

| ID \# | Distance from Corner | Qty | Unit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2380 | 352 feet | Cost | Total |


| Street Side | Street ID \# | Survey Street | Street ID \# | Starting Street |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{N}$ | $\mathbf{2 1}$ | FALLENLEAF DR. | $\mathbf{4 7}$ | PROPERTY END |  |
| TOTAL COST: MID-BLOCK BARRIERS FOR FALLENLEAF DR. |  | $\mathbf{\$ 2 6 , 9 6 0 . 0 0}$ |  |  |  |



| Serving Facility: 106 Train Depot Complex |  |  |  |  |  | Hori | ings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - As-Built Description: <br> An opening in the pedestrian access route permits passage of a $0.5^{\prime \prime}$ sphere, and/or long dimension of opening is parallel to the dominant direction of travel. |  |  | PCODE PR20AREF | - Proposed Solution: <br> Modify existing pedestrian access route to provide openings of $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ maximum and with long dimension of opening perpendicular to the dominant direction of travel. |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | CODE PR20AREF |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | ADAPROW R301.7.1 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | CSAS 1133B.7.2 |  |  |  |  |
| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measurement: |  | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| 2581 | 21 feet | 0.675 " wide |  | 5 | REF |  |  |


| Street Side | Street ID\# | Survey Street | Street ID \# |  | Starting Street |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{W}$ | $\mathbf{2 2}$ | FOLLET ST. | 49 | DRIVEWAY |  |
| TOTAL COST: MID-BLOCK BARRIERS FOR FOLLET ST. |  |  | $\$ 88,400.00$ |  |  |



## Serving Facility: 116 City Park

- As-Built Description:

An opening in the pedestrian access route permits passage of a $0.5^{\prime \prime}$ sphere, and/or long dimension of opening is parallel to the dominant direction of travel.

| - Proposed Solution: |  |
| :---: | :--- |
| PCODE PR20A | Modify existing pedestrian access route to <br> provide openings of $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ maximum and |
| ADAPROW R301.7.1 | with long dimension of opening <br> perpendicular to the dominant direction of <br> travel. |


| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measurement: | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2486 | 251 feet | 0.675 " wide | 5 | LF | $\$ 25$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 2 5}$ |

Serving Facility: 116 City Park

- As-Built Description:

Vertical changes in level between $1 / 4$ " and $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ in the pedestrian access route are not beveled with a slope no steeper than 1:2.

PCODE PR26A
ADAPROW R301.5.2
ADAAG 4.3.8, 4.5.2
CSAS 1133B.7.4

- Proposed Solution:

Bevel vertical changes in level to not exceed $1 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ in height and have a slope not steeper that 1:2.

| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measurement: | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2478 | 10 feet | 0.5" high | 5 | LF | \$25 | \$125 |
| 2479 | 50 feet | 0.375 " high | 5 | LF | \$25 | \$125 |
| 2482 | 161 feet | 0.375" high | 5 | LF | \$25 | \$125 |
| 2483 | 191 feet | 0.5" high | 5 | LF | \$25 | \$125 |
| 2485 | 221 feet | 0.375 " high | 5 | LF | \$25 | \$125 |
| 2487 | 281 feet | 0.375 " high | 5 | LF | \$25 | \$125 |
| 2488 | 336 feet | 0.5" high | 5 | LF | \$25 | \$125 |

Serving Facility: 116 City Park
Vertical Change

- As-Built Description:

Vertical changes in level exceed $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ in the pedestrian access route.

PCODE PR26B ADAPROW R301.5.2

ADAAG 4.3.8
CSAS 1133B.7.4

- Proposed Solution:

Modify, grind, or resurface pavement to provide a level surface with vertical changes not exceeding $1 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ in height.

| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measurement: | Qty | Unit | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2477 | 0 feet | 0.75 " high | 2 | SF | $\$ 25$ |


| Street Side | Street ID \# | Survey Street | Street ID \# | Starting Street |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | :--- |
| $\mathbf{E}$ | $\mathbf{2 3}$ | FOX ST. | $\mathbf{9}$ | BUSH ST. |  |  |  |  |
| 2480 | 80 | feet | 0.75 " high |  |  | 3 | SF | $\$ 25$ |
| 2481 | 110 | feet | 0.75 " high |  |  | 5 | SF | $\$ 25$ |



## Serving Facility: 108 Community Dev. Bldg. \& Fire Station \#1

Cross Slope (PAR)
-As-Built Description:
The cross slope of the pedestrian access route exceeds the maximum required slope (1:48 max).

| Serving Facility: 108 Community Dev. Bldg. \& Fire Station \#1 |  |  |  | Cross Slope (PAR) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - As-Built Description: <br> The cross slope of the pedestrian access route exceeds the maximum required slope (1:48 max). |  |  | PCODE PR05A ADAPROW R301.4.1 ADAAG 4.3.7 CSAS 1133B.7.1.3 | - Proposed Solution: <br> Modify existing route as necessary to not exceed the required $1: 48(2 \%)$ maximum cross slope. |  |  |  |
| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measur | ent: | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| 2556 | 31-101 feet | 2.2\%-3. | oss slope | 770 | SF | \$40 | \$30,800 |

CSAS 1133B.7.1.3

- Proposed Solution:

Modify existing route as necessary to not exceed the required 1:48(2\%) maximum cross slope.

- Proposed Solution:

Modify the existing pedestrian access route as necessary to provide the required 48 " minimum width.

Serving Facility: 108 Community Dev. Bldg. \& Fire Station \#1

- As-Built Description:

The cross slope of the pedestrian access route in a driveway exceeds the maximum required slope ( $1: 48$ ).
PCODE PR10A
ADAPROW R301.4.1
ADAAG 4.3.7
CSAS 1133B.7.1.3

- Proposed Solution:

Modify the driveway to provide a slope not exceeding the required $1: 48(2 \%)$ maximum slope.

| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measurement: | Qty | Unit | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2557 | $101-147$ feet | $7.7 \%$ cross slope | 506 | SF | $\$ 40$ |


| Serving Facility: 107 City Hall |  |  |  | $\sqrt{ }$ | Cross Slope (Driveway) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - As-Built Description: <br> The cross slope of the pedestrian access route in a driveway exceeds the maximum required slope ( $1: 48$ ). |  |  | PCODE PR10A ADAPROW R301.4.1 ADAAG 4.3.7 CSAS 1133B.7.1.3 | - Proposed Solution: <br> Modify the driveway to provide a slope not exceeding the required $1: 48(2 \%)$ maximum slope. |  |  |  |
| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Mea | ent: | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| 2542 | 145-170 feet | 8.7\% cros |  | 125 | SF | \$40 | \$5,000 |



## Serving Facility: 107 City Hall

## Vertical Change

- As-Built Description:

Vertical changes in level between $1 / 4$ " and $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ in the pedestrian access route are not beveled with a slope no steeper than 1:2.

PCODE PR26A
ADAPROW R301.5.2
ADAAG 4.3.8, 4.5.2
CSAS 1133B.7.4

- Proposed Solution:

Bevel vertical changes in level to not exceed $1 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ in height and have a slope not steeper that 1:2.

| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measurement: | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| ---: | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2533 | 2 feet | $0.5^{\prime \prime}$ high | 5 | LF | $\$ 25$ | $\$ 125$ |
| 2534 | 14 feet | $0.5^{\prime \prime}$ high | 3 | LF | $\$ 25$ | $\$ 75$ |
| 2541 | 133 feet | $0.5^{\prime \prime}$ high | 3 | LF | $\$ 25$ | $\$ 75$ |
| 2547 | 323 feet | $0.375^{\prime \prime}$ high | 3 | LF | $\$ 25$ | $\$ 75$ |

## Serving Facility: 107 City Hall

## Vertical Change

- As-Built Description:

Vertical changes in level exceed $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ in the pedestrian access route.

PCODE PR26B ADAPROW R301.5.2

ADAAG 4.3.8
CSAS 1133B.7.4

- Proposed Solution:

Modify, grind, or resurface pavement to provide a level surface with vertical changes not exceeding $1 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ in height.

| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measurement: | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 2544 | 195 feet | 0.75 " high | 5 | SF | $\$ 25$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 2 5}$ |
| 2545 | 220 feet | 0.75 " high | 5 | SF | $\$ 25$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 2 5}$ |

## Serving Facility: 107 City Hall

- As-Built Description:

Cutout in sidewalk (planter box) creates a vertical change in level exceeding $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ in the pedestrian access route.

- Proposed Solution:


| Street Side | Street ID \# | Survey Street |  |  | Street ID \# Starting Street |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| W | 23 | FOX ST. |  |  | 14 | CINNAMON DR. |  |  |  |
| Serving Facility: 103 Police Department |  |  |  |  |  | Cross Slope (PAR) |  |  |  |
| - As-Built Description: <br> The cross slope of the pedestrian access route exceeds the maximum required slope (1:48 max). |  |  |  | PCODE PR05A ADAPROW R301.4.1 ADAAG 4.3.7 CSAS 1133B.7.1.3 |  | - Proposed Solution: <br> Modify existing route as necessary to not exceed the required $1: 48(2 \%)$ maximum cross slope. |  |  |  |
| ID \# | Distance from Corner |  | As-is Measurement: |  |  | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| 2409 | 0-48 | feet | 2.2\% - 3 . | oss slope |  | 216 | SF | \$40 | \$8,640 |
| 2410 | 113-123 | feet | 2.2\% - 2.6 | oss slope |  | 45 | SF | \$40 | \$1,800 |





total cost: mid-block barriers for W side of Fox St. Starting at Hanover Ave.

| Street Side | Street ID \# | Survey Street | Street ID \# |  | Starting Street |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{W}$ | 23 | FOX ST. | $\mathbf{2 6}$ | HANOVER AVE. |  |
| TOTAL COST: MID-BLOCK BARRIERS FOR FOX ST. |  |  | $\$ 108,808.00$ |  |  |



| Serving Facility: 113 Heritage Park |  |  |  |  |  | Vertical Change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - As-Built Description: <br> Vertical changes in level between $1 / 4$ " and $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ in the pedestrian access route are not beveled with a slope no steeper than 1:2. |  |  |  | - Proposed Solutio |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | ADAPROW R301.5.2 <br> ADAAG 4.3.8, 4.5.2 <br> CSAS 1133B.7.4 | Bevel vertical exceed $1 / 4{ }^{\prime \prime}$ in steeper that 1:2 | ges <br> ht an | vel to ave a |  |
| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measurement: |  | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| 2612 | 98 feet | 0.375 " high |  | 4 | LF | \$25 | \$100 |

total cost: mid-block barriers for S side of Frontage Rd. Starting at Opal Ave.


total cost: mid-block barriers for S side of Frontage Rd. Starting at W. Drive Cut
\$1,210.00

| Street Side | Street ID \# | Survey Street | Street ID \# | Starting Street |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| S | $\mathbf{2 4}$ | FRONTAGE RD. | $\mathbf{4 8}$ | W. DRIVE CUT |  |
| TOTAL COST: MID-BLOCK BARRIERS FOR FRONTAGE RD. |  | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 4 1 0 . 0 0 ~}$ |  |  |  |


| Street Side | Street ID \# | Survey S | treet |  | Street ID \# | Starting Street |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N | 25 | HANFORD-ARMONA RD. |  |  | 2 | ANTELOPE DR. |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Cross Slope (PAR) |  |  |  |
| - As-Built Description: <br> The cross slope of the pedestrian access route exceeds the maximum required slope (1:48 max). |  |  |  | PCODE PR05A ADAPROW R301.4.1 ADAAG 4.3.7 CSAS 1133B.7.1.3 |  | - Proposed Solution: <br> Modify existing route as necessary to not exceed the required 1:48 ( $2 \%$ ) maximum cross slope. |  |  |  |
| ID \# | Distance from | m Corner | As-is Measurement: |  |  | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| 2642 | 0-109 | feet | 2.6\% - $3.9 \%$ cross slope |  |  | 490.5 | SF | \$40 | \$19,620 |
| 2645 | 175-314 | feet | 2.8\% - 4.5\% cross slope |  |  | 625.5 | SF | \$40 | \$25,020 |
| 2648 | 365-419 | feet | 3.5\% - $3.7 \%$ cross slope |  |  | 243 | SF | \$40 | \$9,720 |
| 2650 | 454-515 | feet | 2.5\% - $3.1 \%$ cross slope |  |  | 274.5 | SF | \$40 | \$10,980 |
| 2654 | 597-920 | feet | 2.4\% - $3.7 \%$ cross slope |  |  | 1453.5 | SF | \$40 | \$58,140 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  | Cross Slope (Driveway) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - As-Built Description: <br> The cross slope of the pedestrian access route in a driveway exceeds the maximum required slope ( $1: 48$ ). |  |  | PCODE PR10A ADAPROW R301.4.1 ADAAG 4.3.7 CSAS 1133B.7.1.3 | - Proposed Solution: <br> Modify the driveway to provide a slope not exceeding the required $1: 48(2 \%)$ maximum slope. |  |  |  |
| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measurement: |  | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| 2643 | 109-125 feet | 10.0\% cross slope |  | 72 | SF | \$40 | \$2,880 |
| 2644 | 151-175 feet | 10.8\% cross slope |  | 108 | SF | \$40 | \$4,320 |
| 2646 | 314-335 feet | 6.4\% cross slope |  | 94.5 | SF | \$40 | \$3,780 |
| 2647 | 349-365 feet | 6.7\% cross slope |  | 72 | SF | \$40 | \$2,880 |
| 2649 | 419-554 feet | 7.7\% cross slope |  | 157.5 | SF | \$40 | \$6,300 |
| 2651 | 515-539 feet | 5.3\% cross slope |  | 108 | SF | \$40 | \$4,320 |
| 2653 | 573-597 feet | 6.8\% cross slope |  | 108 | SF | \$40 | \$4,320 |


|  |  |  | Walkway Surface |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - As-Built Description: <br> The pedestrian access route has a highly irregular pavement surface. |  | PCODE PR18B ADAPROW R301.5 ADAAG 4.5.2 CSAS 1133B.7.1 | - Proposed Solution: <br> Repave the area to provide a smooth pavement surface. |  |  |  |
| ID \# | Distance from Corner |  | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| 2652 | 539-573 feet |  | 153 | SF | \$40 | \$6,120 |
| 2656 | 920-933 feet |  | 58.5 | SF | \$40 | \$2,340 |


| Street Side | Street ID \# | Survey Street |  | Street ID \# | Starting Street |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N | 25 | HANFORD-ARMONA RD. |  | 2 | ANTELOPE DR. |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | face |
| - As-Built Description: <br> The pedestrian access route has a highly irregular pavement surface. |  |  |  |  | - Proposed Solution: <br> Repave the area to provide a smooth pavement surface. |  |  |  |
| ID \# | Distance from Corner |  |  |  | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| 2655 | 725-731 feet |  |  |  | REF |  |  |  |

total cost: mid-block barriers for $\mathbf{N}$ side of Hanford-Armona Rd. Starting at Antelope Dr.


total cost: mid-block barriers for N side of Hanford-Armona Rd. Starting at Bennington Ave.
\$182,600.00

| Street Side | Street ID \# | Survey Street | Street ID \# | Starting Street |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{N}$ | $\mathbf{2 5}$ | HANFORD-ARMONA RD. | $\mathbf{7}$ | BENNINGTON AVE. |  |
| TOTAL COST: MID-BLOCK BARRIERS FOR HANFORD-ARMONA RD. | $\mathbf{\$ 3 4 3 , 3 4 0 . 0 0 ~}$ |  |  |  |  |


| Street Side | Street ID \# | Survey Street |  |  | Street ID \# Starting Street |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N | 26 | HANOVER AVE. |  |  | 8 | BRENTWOOD DR. |  |  |  |
| Serving Facility: 115 Lion's Park |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Cross | (PAR) |
| - As-Built Description: <br> The cross slope of the pedestrian access route exceeds the maximum required slope (1:48 max). |  |  |  | PCODE PR05A ADAPROW R301.4.1 ADAAG 4.3.7 CSAS 1133B.7.1.3 |  | - Proposed Solution: <br> Modify existing route as necessary to not exceed the required 1:48 (2\%) maximum cross slope. |  |  |  |
| ID \# | Distance fr | m Corner | As-is Measurement: |  |  | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| 2389 | 65-80 | feet | 2.4\%-2 | oss slope |  | 67.5 | SF | \$40 | \$2,700 |
| 2392 | 265-288 | feet | 2.3\%-2 | oss slope |  | 103.5 | SF | \$40 | \$4,140 |
| 2394 | 410-432 | feet | 2.3\%-2 | oss slope |  | 99 | SF | \$40 | \$3,960 |
| 2395 | 503-532 | feet | 2.4\% - 2 | oss slope |  | 130.5 | SF | \$40 | \$5,220 |

## Serving Facility: 115 Lion's Park

## Horizontal Openings

- As-Built Description:

An opening in the pedestrian access route permits passage of a $0.5^{\prime \prime}$ sphere, and/or long dimension of opening is parallel to the dominant direction of travel.

PCODE PR20A
ADAPROW R301.7.1
ADAAG 4.5.4
CSAS 1133B.7.2

- Proposed Solution:

Modify existing pedestrian access route to provide openings of $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ maximum and with long dimension of opening perpendicular to the dominant direction of travel.

| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measurement: | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2388 | 50 feet | 0.75 " wide | 4.5 | LF | $\$ 25$ | $\$ 113$ |
| 2390 | 188 feet | 0.75 " wide | 4.5 | LF | $\$ 25$ | $\$ 113$ |

## Serving Facility: 115 Lion's Park

- As-Built Description:

An opening in the pedestrian access route permits passage of a $0.5^{\prime \prime}$ sphere, and/or long dimension of opening is parallel to the dominant direction of travel.

PCODE PR20AREF
ADAPROW R301.7.1

CSAS 1133B.7.2

## Horizontal Openings

- Proposed Solution:

Modify existing pedestrian access route to provide openings of $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ maximum and with long dimension of opening perpendicular to the dominant direction of travel.

| ID \# | Distance from Corner | Qty | Unit | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2393 | 288 feet | REF |  |  |

## Serving Facility: 115 Lion's Park

## Vertical Change

- As-Built Description:

Vertical changes in level between $1 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ and $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ in the pedestrian access route are not beveled with a slope no steeper than 1:2.

PCODE PR26A
ADAPROW R301.5.2
ADAAG 4.3.8, 4.5.2
CSAS 1133B.7.4

## - Proposed Solution:

Bevel vertical changes in level to not exceed $1 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ in height and have a slope not steeper that $1: 2$.

| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measurement: | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2387 | 0 feet | 0.5 " high | 4.5 | LF | $\$ 25$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 1 3}$ |
| 2391 | 238 feet | 0.5 " high | 3 | LF | $\$ 25$ | $\$ 75$ |


| Street Side | Street ID\# | Survey Street | Street ID \# | Starting Street |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{N}$ | $\mathbf{2 6}$ | HANOVER AVE. | $\mathbf{8}$ | BRENTWOOD DR. |  |
| total cost: mid-bLock barRIERS FOR | $\mathbf{N}$ | side of | Hanover Ave. Starting at Brentwood Dr. | $\mathbf{\$ 1 6 , 4 3 2 . 5 0 ~}$ |  |


| Street Side | Street ID \# | Survey Street | Street ID \# | Starting Street |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{N}$ | $\mathbf{2 6}$ | HANOVER AVE. | $\mathbf{8}$ | BRENTWOOD DR. |  |
| TOTAL COST: MID-BLOCK BARRIERS FOR HANOVER AVE. |  | $\mathbf{\$ 1 6 , 4 3 2 . 5 0 ~}$ |  |  |  |



## Cross Slope (Driveway)

## - As-Built Description:

The cross slope of the pedestrian access route in a driveway exceeds the maximum required slope ( $1: 48$ ).

PCODE PR10A
ADAPROW R301.4.1
ADAAG 4.3.7
CSAS 1133B.7.1.3

- Proposed Solution:

Modify the driveway to provide a slope not exceeding the required 1:48 (2\%) maximum slope.

| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measurement: | Qty | Unit | Cost |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3013 | $89-106$ | feet | $12 . \%$ cross slope | 68 | SF | $\$ 40$ |
| 3014 | $121-136$ | feet | $10.9 \%$ cross slope | 60 | SF | $\$ 40$ |
| 3015 | $198-221$ | feet | $12.4 \%$ cross slope | 92 | SF | $\$ 40$ |
| 3016 | $229-251$ | feet | $12.1 \%$ cross slope | 88 | SF | $\$ 40$ |
| 3019 | $368-388$ feet | $14.1 \%$ cross slope | 80 | SF | $\$ 40$ | $\mathbf{\$ 3 , 6 8 0}$ |
| 3020 | $398-418$ feet | $12.6 \%$ cross slope | 80 | SF | $\$ 40$ | $\mathbf{\$ 3 , 2 0 0}$ |

## Horizontal Openings

- As-Built Description:

An opening in the pedestrian access route permits passage of a $0.5^{\prime \prime}$ sphere, and/or long dimension of opening is parallel to the dominant direction of travel.

- Proposed Solution:
PCODE PR20A
ADAPROW R301.7.1
ADAAG 4.5.4
CSAS 1133B.7.2

Modify existing pedestrian access route to provide openings of $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ maximum and with long dimension of opening perpendicular to the dominant direction of travel.

| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measurement: | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 3021 | 421 feet | 0.75 " wide | 4 | LF | $\$ 25$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 0}$ |
| 3022 | 436 feet | 0.75 " wide | 4 | LF | $\$ 25$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 0}$ |

## Vertical Change

- As-Built Description:

Vertical changes in level between $1 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ and $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ in the pedestrian access route are not beveled with a slope no steeper than 1:2.

PCODE PR26A ADAPROW R301.5.2

ADAAG 4.3.8, 4.5.2
CSAS 1133B.7.4

- Proposed Solution:

Bevel vertical changes in level to not exceed $1 / 4$ " in height and have a slope not steeper that 1:2.

| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measurement: | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 3017 | 291 feet | 0.5 high | 4 | LF | $\$ 25$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 0}$ |


total cost: mid-block barriers for E side of Hazelwood Dr. Starting at Antelope Dr.


## Cross Slope (Driveway)



## Vertical Change


total cost: mid-block barriers for W side of Hazelwood Dr. Starting at Juniper Ln.
\$25,195.00

| Street Side | Street ID \# | Survey Street | Street ID \# | Starting Street |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{W}$ | $\mathbf{2 7}$ | HAZELWOOD DR. | $\mathbf{3 0}$ | JUNIPER LN. |  |
| TOTAL COST: MID-BLOCK BARRIERS FOR HAZELWOOD DR. |  | $\mathbf{\$ 4 7 , 3 1 5 . 0 0}$ |  |  |  |



## Serving Facility: 116 City Park

| - As-Built Description: <br> The sidewalk has a highly irregular pavement surface. |  | PCODE PR18AREF ADAPROW R301.5 <br> ADAAG 4.5.2 CSAS 1133B.7.1 | - Proposed Solution: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Smooth pavement surface as necessary, by grinding, filling, or refinishing. |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ID \# | Distance from Corner | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| 2460 | 70-74 feet | REF |  |  |  |  |
| 2461 | 85-92 feet | REF |  |  |  |  |
| 2464 | 194-199 feet | REF |  |  |  |  |
| 2466 | 377-407 feet |  | 150 | REF |  |  |

## Serving Facility: 116 City Park

- As-Built Description:

The pedestrian access route has a highly irregular pavement surface.
$\square$

- Proposed Solution:

Repave the area to provide a smooth pavement surface.

ADAPROW R301.5
ADAAG 4.5.2
CSAS 1133B.7.1

| ID \# | Distance from Corner | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2465 | $249-252$ feet | 15 | SF | $\$ 40$ | $\$ 600$ |

## Serving Facility: 116 City Park

## Vertical Change



| Street Side | Street ID \# | Survey Street | Street ID \# Starting Street |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| W | 28 | HEINLEN ST | 5 B ST. |  |  |  |  |
| Serving Facility: 116 City Park Vertical Change |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - As-Built Description: <br> Vertical changes in level between $1 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ and $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ in the pedestrian access route are not beveled with a slope no steeper than 1:2. |  |  | PCODE PR26AREF ADAPROW R301.5.2 <br> ADAAG 4.3.8, 4.5.2 CSAS 1133B.7.4 | - Proposed Solution: <br> Bevel vertical changes in level to not exceed $1 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ in height and have a slope not steeper that 1:2. |  |  |  |
| ID \# | Distance from | Corner |  | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| 2459 | 49 |  | REF |  |  |  |  |


| Street Side | Street ID \# | Survey Street | Street ID \# | Starting Street |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{W}$ | $\mathbf{2 8}$ | HEINLEN ST. | $\mathbf{5}$ | B ST. |  |
| TOTAL COST: MID-BLOCK BARRIERS FOR HEINLEN ST. |  | $\mathbf{\$ 2 7 , 5 2 5 . 0 0}$ |  |  |  |


| Street Side | Street ID \# | Survey Street | Street ID \# Starting Street |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E | 29 | HILL ST. 5 |  | B ST. |  |  |  |
| Serving Facility: 104 Civic Auditorium |  | 4 Civic Auditorium |  | Walkway Surface |  |  |  |
| - As-Bu <br> The pe irregul | Description: estrian acce pavement | route has a highly rface. | PCODE PR18B ADAPROW R301.5 <br> ADAAG 4.5.2 CSAS 1133B.7.1 | - Proposed Solution: <br> Repave the area to provide a smooth pavement surface. |  |  |  |
| ID \# | Distance from Corner |  |  | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| 2493 | 192-197 |  |  | 27 | SF | \$40 | \$1,080 |

- Proposed Solution:

Repave the area to provide a smooth pavement surface.

## Serving Facility: 104 Civic Auditorium

| Serving Facility: 104 Civic Audito |  |  |  |  |  | Horizontal Openings |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - As-Built Description: <br> An opening in the pedestrian access route permits passage of a $0.5^{\prime \prime}$ sphere, and/or long dimension of opening is parallel to the dominant direction of travel. |  |  | PCODE PR20A ADAPROW R301.7.1 <br> ADAAG 4.5.4 CSAS 1133B.7.2 | - Proposed Solution: <br> Modify existing pedestrian access route to provide openings of $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ maximum and with long dimension of opening perpendicular to the dominant direction of travel. |  |  |  |
| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Meas |  | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| 2491 | 151 feet | $0.675^{\prime \prime}$ wid |  | 4.5 | LF | \$25 | \$113 |
| 2492 | 172 feet | 1.0 " wide |  | 4.5 | LF | \$25 | \$113 |
| 2494 | 209 feet | 1.0" high |  | 4.5 | LF | \$25 | \$113 |
| 2497 | 237 feet | 0.675 " wid |  | 4.5 | LF | \$25 | \$113 |

An opening in the pedestrian access route permits passage of a $0.5^{\prime \prime}$ sphere, and/or ong dimension of opening is parallel to the dominant direction of travel.

ADAPROW R301.7.1
ADAAG 4.5.4
CSAS 1133B.7.2

- Proposed Solution:

Modify existing pedestrian access route to provide openings of $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ maximum and with long dimension of opening perpendicular to the dominant direction of travel.

## Serving Facility: 104 Civic Auditorium

## Vertical Change

- As-Built Description:

Vertical changes in level between 1/4" and $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ in the pedestrian access route are not beveled with a slope no steeper than 1:2.

PCODE PR26A ADAPROW R301.5.2

ADAAG 4.3.8, 4.5.2
CSAS 1133B.7.4

- Proposed Solution:

Bevel vertical changes in level to not exceed $1 / 4$ " in height and have a slope not steeper that 1:2.

| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measurement: | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2489 | 2 feet | 0.375 " high | 4.5 | LF | $\$ 25$ | $\$ 113$ |
| 2498 | 254 feet | 0.675 " high | 4.5 | LF | $\$ 25$ | $\$ 113$ |
| 2503 | 294 feet | 0.5 " high | 4.5 | LF | $\$ 25$ | $\$ 113$ |
| 2504 | 309 feet | 0.5 " high | 3 | LF | $\$ 25$ | $\$ 75$ |

Serving Facility: 104 Civic Auditorium
Vertical Change

- As-Built Description:

Vertical changes in level exceed $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ in the pedestrian access route.

PCODE PR26B
ADAPROW R301.5.2
ADAAG 4.3.8
CSAS 1133B.7.4

- Proposed Solution:

Modify, grind, or resurface pavement to provide a level surface with vertical changes not exceeding $1 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ in height.

| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measurement: | Qty | Unit | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2490 | 141 feet | 1.0 " high | 4.5 | SF | $\$ 25$ |



| Street Side | Street ID \# | Survey Street |  | Street ID \# Starting Street |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E | 29 | HILL ST. |  | 47 | PROPERTY END |  |  |  |
| Serving Facility: 103 Police Department |  |  |  |  | Cross Slope (PAR) |  |  |  |
| - As-Built Description: <br> The cross slope of the pedestrian access route exceeds the maximum required slope (1:48 max). |  |  |  | PCODE PR05A ADAPROW R301.4.1 ADAAG 4.3.7 CSAS 1133B.7.1.3 | - Proposed Solution: <br> Modify existing route as necessary to not exceed the required 1:48 (2\%) maximum cross slope. |  |  |  |
| ID \# | Distance fro | $n$ Corner | As-is Measurement: |  | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| 2417 |  | feet | 4.0\% cross slope |  | 4.5 | SF | \$40 | \$180 |
| 2419 | 31-94 | feet | 3.0\% - 4.2\% cross slope |  | 283.5 | SF | \$40 | \$11,340 |
| Serving Facility: 103 Police Department |  |  |  |  | Cross Slope (Driveway) |  |  |  |
| - As-Built Description: <br> The cross slope of the pedestrian access route in a driveway exceeds the maximum required slope ( $1: 48$ ). |  |  |  | PCODE PR10A ADAPROW R301.4.1 ADAAG 4.3.7 CSAS 1133B.7.1.3 | - Proposed Solution: <br> Modify the driveway to provide a slope not exceeding the required $1: 48(2 \%)$ maximum slope. |  |  |  |
| ID \# | Distance fro | m Corner | As-is Measurement: |  | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| 2418 | 1-31 | feet | 11.3\% cross slope |  | 135 | SF | \$40 | \$5,400 |


| Street Side | Street ID \# | Survey Street | Street ID \# | Starting Street |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| E | $\mathbf{2 9}$ | HILL ST. | $\mathbf{4 7}$ | PROPERTY END |  |
| TOTAL COST: MID-BLOCK BARRIERS FOR HILL ST. |  |  | $\mathbf{\$ 2 0 , 4 3 0 . 0 0 ~}$ |  |  |



total cost: mid-block barriers for W side of Lemoore Ave. Starting at B St.


## Cross Slope (Driveway)

## - As-Built Description:

The cross slope of the pedestrian access route in a driveway exceeds the maximum required slope ( $1: 48$ ).

PCODE PR10A
ADAPROW R301.4.1
ADAAG 4.3.7
CSAS 1133B.7.1.3

- Proposed Solution:

Modify the driveway to provide a slope not exceeding the required $1: 48$ (2\%) maximum slope.

| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measurement: | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| ---: | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2792 | $69-94$ feet | $9.4 \%$ cross slope | 112.5 | SF | $\$ 40$ | $\mathbf{\$ 4 , 5 0 0}$ |
| 2793 | $156-178$ feet | $10.2 \%$ cross slope | 99 | SF | $\$ 40$ | $\mathbf{\$ 3 , 9 6 0}$ |
| 2796 | $210-226$ feet | $11.1 \%$ cross slope | 72 | SF | $\$ 40$ | $\mathbf{\$ 2 , 8 8 0}$ |
| 2799 | $262-279$ feet | $7.8 \%$ cross slope | 76.5 | SF | $\$ 40$ | $\mathbf{\$ 3 , 0 6 0}$ |
| 2798 | $320-351$ feet | $9.1 \%$ cross slope | 139.5 | SF | $\$ 40$ | $\mathbf{\$ 5 , 5 8 0}$ |
| 2801 | $392-412$ feet | $9.6 \%$ cross slope | 90 | SF | $\$ 40$ | $\mathbf{\$ 3 , 6 0 0}$ |
| 2803 | $467-489$ feet | $10.7 \%$ cross slope | 54 | SF | $\mathbf{\$ 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 2 , 1 6 0}$ |
| 2805 | $559-587$ feet | $8.9 \%$ cross slope | 126 | SF | $\$ 40$ | $\mathbf{\$ 5 , 0 4 0}$ |
| 2807 | $603-619$ feet | $10.2 \%$ cross slope | 72 | SF | $\$ 40$ | $\mathbf{\$ 2 , 8 8 0}$ |
| 2809 | $673-687$ feet | $9.0 \%$ cross slope | 67.5 | SF | $\mathbf{\$ 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 2 , 7 0 0}$ |


| Street Side | Street ID \# | Survey Street | Street ID \# | Starting Street |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{E}$ | $\mathbf{3 1}$ | LEMOORE AVE. | $\mathbf{9}$ | BUSH ST. |  |

total cost: mid-block barriers for E side of Lemoore Ave. Starting at Bush St.


|  |  |  |  |  | Cross Slope (Driveway) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - As-Built Description: <br> The cross slope of the pedestrian access route in a driveway exceeds the maximum required slope (1:48). |  |  | PCODE PR10A <br> ADAPROW R301.4. <br> ADAAG 4.3.7 <br> CSAS 1133B. 7 | - Proposed Solution: <br> Modify the driveway to provide a slope not exceeding the required $1: 48$ (2\%) maximum slope. |  |  |  |
| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measurement: |  | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| 2824 | 136-175 feet | 8.7\% cross slope |  | 175.5 | SF | \$40 | \$7,020 |
| 2827 | 223-238 feet | 13.0\% cross slope |  | 67.5 | SF | \$40 | \$2,700 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Wa | urface |
| - As-Built Description: <br> The sidewalk has a highly irregular pavement surface. |  |  | PCODE PR18A ADAPROW R301.5 <br> ADAAG 4.5.2 CSAS 1133B. 7 | - Proposed Solution: <br> Smooth pavement surface as necessary, by grinding, filling, or refinishing. |  |  |  |
| ID \# | Distance from Corner |  |  | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| 2825 | 194 feet |  |  | 3 | SF | \$10 | \$30 |
| 2826 | 208 feet |  |  | 2 | SF | \$10 | \$20 |



|  |  |  |  |  |  | Ramp Landing |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - As-Built Description: <br> Running slope at top landing of existing parallel curb ramp exceeds the 1:48 (2\%) maximum. |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PCODE PC28A } \\ & \text { ADAAG 4.8.4 } \\ & \text { CSAS 1127B.5.4 } \end{aligned}$ | - Proposed Solution: <br> Demolish existing and provide new, perpendicular curb ramp, including detectable warning surfaces, and top and bottom landings as required. |  |  |  |
| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measurement: |  | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| 2955 | 178 feet | 3.1\% slope |  | 1 | JOB | \$2,800 | \$2,800 |
| 2959 226 feet 5.3\% slope |  |  |  | 1 | JOB | \$2,800 | \$2,800 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ramp Transition |
| - As-Built Description: <br> A vertical level change exceeds $1 / 4$ " on a curb ramp, landing, blended transition, or gutter area within the pedestrian access route. |  |  | PCODE PC66DREF ADAAG 4.5.2 | - Proposed Solution: <br> Demolish elements (ramps, landings, routes, gutters) as required and provide new surface not exceeding $1 / 4$ ". |  |  |  |
| ID \# | Distance from Corner |  |  | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| 2957 | 178 feet |  |  |  | REF |  |  |

- As-Built Description:

The cross slope of the pedestrian access route exceeds the maximum required slope (1:48 max).

PCODE PR05A
ADAPROW R301.4.1
ADAAG 4.3.7
CSAS 1133B.7.1.3



|  |  |  |  |  |  | Horizo | ings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - As-Built Description: <br> An opening in the pedestrian access route permits passage of a $0.5^{\prime \prime}$ sphere, and/or long dimension of opening is parallel to the dominant direction of travel. |  |  | PCODE PR20A ADAPROW R301.7.1 ADAAG 4.5.4 CSAS 1133B.7.2 | - Proposed Solution: <br> Modify existing pedestrian access route to provide openings of $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ maximum and with long dimension of opening perpendicular to the dominant direction of travel. |  |  |  |
| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measurement: |  | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| 2961 | 231 feet | 0.75 " wide |  | 10 | LF | \$25 | \$250 |




| Street Side | Street ID \# | Survey Street |  |  | Street ID \# Starting Street |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| W | 31 | LEMOORE AVE. |  |  | 18 | DEVON DR. |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Cros | (PAR) |
| - As-Built Description: <br> The cross slope of the pedestrian access route exceeds the maximum required slope (1:48 max). |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} P C O D \\ A D A P R O \\ A D A A \\ C S A \end{array}$ | .7.1.3 | - Proposed Solution: <br> Modify existing route as necessary to not exceed the required 1:48 (2\%) maximum cross slope. |  |  |  |
| ID \# | Distance from Corner |  | As-is Measurement: |  |  | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| 2930 | 11-28 | feet | 2.5\% - $2.9 \%$ cross slope |  |  | 170 | SF | \$40 | \$6,800 |
| 2931 | 45-75 | feet | 2.6\% - 2.9\% cross slope |  |  | 300 | SF | \$40 | \$12,000 |
| 2932 | 277-292 | feet | 2.2\% - 3.0\% cross slope |  |  | 150 | SF | \$40 | \$6,000 |
| 2933 | 472-480 | feet | 2.5\% - 2.8\% cross slope |  |  | 80 | SF | \$40 | \$3,200 |

## Vertical Change

- As-Built Description:

Vertical changes in level between $1 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ and $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ in the pedestrian access route are not beveled with a slope no steeper than 1:2.

PCODE PR26A
ADAPROW R301.5.2
ADAAG 4.3.8, 4.5.2
CSAS 1133B.7.4

| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measurement: | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 2929 | 11 feet | 0.5 high | 5 | LF | $\$ 25$ | $\$ 125$ |


| Street Side | Street ID \# | Survey Street | Street ID \# | Starting Street |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{W}$ | $\mathbf{3 1}$ | LEMOORE AVE. | $\mathbf{4 2}$ | WASHINGTON DR. |  |

## Cross Slope (Driveway)

|  |  |  |  |  | Cross Slope (Driveway) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - As-Built Description: <br> The cross slope of the pedestrian access route in a driveway exceeds the maximum required slope ( $1: 48$ ). |  |  | PCODE PR10A <br> ADAPROW R301.4.1 <br> ADAAG 4.3.7 <br> CSAS 1133B.7.1.3 | - Proposed Solution: <br> Modify the driveway to provide a slope not exceeding the required $1: 48$ (2\%) maximum slope. |  |  |  |
| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measurement: |  | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| 2813 | 104-157 feet | 8.7\% cross slope |  | 238.5 | SF | \$40 | \$9,540 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Vertical Change |
| - As-Built Description: <br> Vertical changes in level between $1 / 4 "$ and $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ in the pedestrian access route are not beveled with a slope no steeper than 1:2. |  |  | PCODE PR26A ADAPROW R301.5.2 ADAAG 4.3.8, 4.5.2 CSAS 1133B.7.4 | - Proposed Solution: <br> Bevel vertical changes in level to not exceed $1 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ in height and have a slope not steeper that 1:2. |  |  |  |
| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measurement: |  | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| 2812 | 62 feet | 0.5" high |  | 4.5 | LF | \$25 | \$113 |

total cost: mid-block barriers for W side of Lemoore Ave. Starting at Washington Dr.
\$20,272.50



- As-Built Description:

The cross slope of the pedestrian access route exceeds the maximum required slope (1:48 max).

## PCODE PR05A <br> ADAPROW R301.4.1 <br> ADAAG 4.3.7 <br> CSAS 1133B.7.1.3

- Proposed Solution:

Modify existing route as necessary to not exceed the required 1:48 ( $2 \%$ ) maximum cross slope.

| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measurement: | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| ---: | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2938 | $0-32$ feet | $2.7 \%-3.1 \%$ cross slope | 320 | SF | $\$ 40$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 2 , 8 0 0}$ |
| 2940 | $91-106$ feet | $2.6 \%-3.4 \%$ cross slope | 150 | SF | $\$ 40$ | $\mathbf{\$ 6 , 0 0 0}$ |
| 2942 | $165-195$ feet | $2.4 \%-3.3 \%$ cross slope | 300 | SF | $\$ 40$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 2 , 0 0 0}$ |
| 2945 | $361-406$ feet | $2.8 \%-3.5 \%$ cross slope | 450 | SF | $\$ 40$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 8 , 0 0 0}$ |
| 2946 | $451-466$ feet | $2.2 \%-$ cross slope $2.6 \%$ | 150 | SF | $\$ 40$ | $\mathbf{\$ 6 , 0 0 0}$ |
| 2947 | $491-559$ feet | $2.8 \%-3.4 \%$ cross slope | 680 | SF | $\$ 40$ | $\mathbf{\$ 2 7 , 2 0 0}$ |
| 2948 | $565-574$ feet | $2.6 \%-3.2 \%$ cross slope | 90 | SF | $\$ 40$ | $\mathbf{\$ 3 , 6 0 0}$ |
| 2950 | $601-616$ feet | $2.7 \%-3.6 \%$ cross slope | 150 | SF | $\$ 40$ | $\mathbf{\$ 6 , 0 0 0}$ |
| 2951 | $657-702$ feet | $2.4 \%-3.8 \%$ cross slope | 450 | SF | $\$ 40$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 8 , 0 0 0}$ |
| 2952 | $887-902$ feet | $2.5 \%-5.3 \%$ cross slope | 150 | SF | $\$ 40$ | $\mathbf{\$ 6 , 0 0 0}$ |
| 2953 | $912-965$ feet | $2.8 \%-3.0 \%$ cross slope | 530 | SF | $\$ 40$ | $\mathbf{\$ 2 1 , 2 0 0}$ |



|  |  |  |  | - Proposed Solution: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - As-Built Description: <br> Vertical changes in level exceed $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ in the pedestrian access route. |  |  | ADAPROW R301.5.2 <br> ADAAG 4.3.8 <br> CSAS 1133B.7.4 | Modify, grind, or resurface pavement to provide a level surface with vertical changes not exceeding $1 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ in height. |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Mea | ent: | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| 2949 | 574 feet | 2.5" high |  | 10 | SF | \$25 | \$250 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  | Ve | ange |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - As-Built Description: <br> Vertical changes in level exceed $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ in the pedestrian access route. |  |  | PCODE PR26BREF <br> ADAPROW R301.5.2 <br> ADAAG 4.3.8, 4.5.2 <br> CSAS 1133B.7.4 | - Proposed Solution: <br> Modify, grind, or resurface pavement to provide a level surface with vertical changes not exceeding $1 / 4$ " in height. |  |  |  |
| ID \# | Distance from Corner |  |  | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| 2941106 feet |  |  |  | REF |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Vertical Change |  |  | Vertical Change |
| - As-Built Description: <br> Utility box creates a abrupt change in level in the pedestrian access route. |  |  | PCODE PR26C ADAPROW R301.5.2 ADAAG 4.3.8, 4.5.2 CSAS 1133B.7.4 | - Proposed Solution: <br> Reset/repair utility box to create a smooth transition not to exceed $1 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ to $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ in height and have a slope not steeper that 1:2. |  |  |  |
| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Me | ent: | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| 2937 | 3 feet | 0.75 " hig |  | 12.5 | SF | \$60 | \$750 |
| 2943 | 298 feet | 0.5 " high |  | 12.5 | SF | \$60 | \$750 |



| Street Side | Street ID \# | Survey Street | Street ID \# | Starting Street |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{W}$ | $\mathbf{3 1}$ | LEMOORE AVE. | 48 | KFC DRIVE CUT |  |
| TOTAL COST: MID-BLOCK BARRIERS FOR LEMOORE AVE. |  | $\$ 560,600.00$ |  |  |  |




## Cross Slope (Driveway)




|  |  |  |  |  |  | Horiz | ings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - As-Built Description: <br> An opening in the pedestrian access route permits passage of a $0.5^{\prime \prime}$ sphere, and/or long dimension of opening is parallel to the dominant direction of travel. |  |  | PCODE PR20A ADAPROW R301.7.1 <br> ADAAG 4.5.4 CSAS 1133B.7.2 | - Proposed Solution: <br> Modify existing pedestrian access route to provide openings of $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ maximum and with long dimension of opening perpendicular to the dominant direction of travel. |  |  |  |
| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measurement: |  | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| 2981 | 511 feet | 0.75 " wide |  | 5 | LF | \$25 | \$125 |
| 2983 | 571 feet | 0.75 " wide |  | 5 | LF | \$25 | \$125 |
| 2986 | 644 feet | 0.75 " wide |  | 5 | LF | \$25 | \$125 |

## Vertical Change




|  |  |  |  |  |  | Vertical Change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - As <br> Utility <br> leve | Description: <br> box creates a abrupt ch the pedestrian access | nge in ute. | PCODE PR26C ADAPROW R301.5.2 <br> ADAAG 4.3.8, 4.5.2 CSAS 1133B.7.4 | Reset/repair utility box to create a smooth transition not to exceed $1 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ to $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ in height and have a slope not steeper that 1:2. |  |  |  |
| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measurement: |  | Qty | Unit | Cost | Total |
| 2987 | 691 feet | 0.5" h |  | 2 | SF | \$60 | \$120 |

total cost: mid-block barriers for W side of Lombardy Ln. Starting at Skaggs St.
\$224,270.00

| Street Side | Street ID\# | Survey Street | Street ID \# |  | Starting Street |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{W}$ | $\mathbf{3 4}$ | LOMBARDY LN. | 39 | SKAGGS ST. |  |
| TOTAL COST: MID-BLOCK BARRIERS FOR LOMBARDY LN. |  | $\mathbf{\$ 2 2 4 , 2 7 0 . 0 0}$ |  |  |  |



## Serving Facility: 113 Heritage Park

## Running Slope

- As-Built Description:

The grade of the pedestrian access route within a sidewalk exceeds $1: 20$ (5\%) and exceeds the grade established for the adjacent roadway.

PCODE PR11A - Proposed Solution:
ADAPROW R301.4.2
ADAAG 4.3.7
CSAS 1133B.7.3

Repave or modify the existing pedestrian route as necessary to provide a slope not exceeding the grade established for the adjacent roadway or 1:20 (5\%).
adacent ioadway or $1: 20$ (5\%).

| ID \# | Distance from Corner | As-is Measurement: | Qty | Unit | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2615 | $24-30$ feet | $5.3 \%-6.7 \%$ slope | 60 | SF | $\$ 40$ |

## Serving Facility: 113 Heritage Park

PCODE PR20A
ADAPROW R301.7.1
ADAAG 4.5.4
CSAS 1133B.7.2

## Horizontal Openings

## - As-Built Description:

An opening in the pedestrian access route permits passage of a $0.5^{\prime \prime}$ sphere, and/or permits passage of a $0.5^{\prime \prime}$ sphere, and/or
long dimension of opening is parallel to the dominant direction of travel.
$\square$
$\square$

Slution
Modify existing route as necessary to not exceed the required 1:48 (2\%) maximum cross slope.





Access Compliant Report Format


1. Locator Number:
2. Orientation:
3. Ramp Type:
4. Specific Item:
5. As-Built Description:
6. As-is Measurement:
7. Survey Street:
8. Proposed Solution:
9. Codes / Info:
10. Unit Cost:
11. Cross Street :
12. Ramp Features:
13. Measurements:

Corresponds to the unique database record (one locator number per record)
Corresponds to the specific corner/curb ramp in any given intersection, unique to each corner/curb ramp (in some cases there is more than one curb ramp per corner, or no curb ramp):
-NW, SW, SE, and NE are the most commonly used directions;
-NWN, NWS, SWN, SWS, SEN, SES, NEN, and NES identify individual curb ramps in situations involving multiple curbs ramps at one corner;

- I-NW, I-NE, I-SW, I-SE, etc, identify curb ramps located on medians and pedestrian refuge islands.

Identifies the type of curb ramp (parallel, perpendicular, or no curb ramp).
Category of accessible feature in which the barrier belongs.
Description of as-built barrier based on applicable accessibility codes.
Existing condition/dimension featured on the ramp measured as the most severe barrier on the particular ramp.
Arterial/Primary street name with corresponding unique street identification number.
Description of steps necessary to remove barrier and, if applicable, an interim solution or notes.

- PCODE: specifies the relevant SSA database code. Database code plus suffix:
-ADAPROW: Guidelines to enforce Federal accessibility standards in the public rights-of-way.
-CSAS: The state's adoption of the National Americans with Disability Act.
- ADDAG: The Federal Standard for accessibility adopted by the Department of Justice.

Estimated cost specific solution per one unit. (The final cost of barrier removal may exceed this estimate based on the year of mitigation, design approach and chosen method of mitigation)
Cross/intersecting street name with corresponding unique street identification number.
Features of ramp measured to determine accessibility.
Existing condition/dimension determined for each ramp.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
- \text {-(in) } & \text { measurement in inches } \\
-(\%) & \text { measurement in percentage grade } \\
\text {-Left } & \text { measurements on the left side while facing the ramp } \\
\text {-Right } & \text { measurements on the right side while facing the ramp } \\
\text {-BOLD } & \text { text indicate non-compliant dimensions. } \\
\text {-Normal } & \text { text indicate compliant dimensions }
\end{array}
$$

14. Street ID Number: Identifies street on which given intersection occurs.

| ADA | Americans with Disabilities Act | MoM | Method of mitigation |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ADAAG | ADA Accessibility Guidelines | MP | Master priority |
| ADACO | ADA-Coordinator | MRR | Men's restroom |
| AFF | Above finished floor | N | North |
| C.T.P. | Contact third party | N.A.R. | No action required |
| CA | State of California | NE | Northeast |
| CDD | Community Development Director | NT | Non-typical |
| cl | Center line | NW | Northwest |
| CMGR | City Manager | NWn | Northwest: North side |
| CP | Chief of Police | NWs | Northwest: South side |
| CSAS | CA State Accessibility Standards | o.c. | On center |
| D.A. | Designated accessible | O/R | Official responsible |
| Dep. | Deputy | PAR | Public Access Route |
| Dept. Rep | Department representative | P.A. | Physical alteration |
| DF | Drinking fountain | P.M. | Program modification |
| DH | Department Head | POT | Path of travel |
| Dir. | Director | PROW | Public Right of Way |
| E | East | PTD | Paper towel dispenser |
| E.D. | Executive Director | PWD | Public Works Director |
| E.F. | Equivalent facilitation | Qty | Quantity |
| F-B-F | Facility-Building-Floor | REF | Reference |
| FC | Fire Chief | S | South |
| FD | Finance Director | SCD | Seat cover dispenser |
| Fig. | Figure | SD | Soap dispenser |
| FM\&O | Facilities, Maintenance \& Operations | Sec. | Second |
| FND | Feminine napkin dispenser | Sec. | Section |
| FTD | Feminine tampon dispenser | SE | Southeast |
| Gov. | Government | SF | Square foot |
| HQ | Headquarters | SW | Southwest |
| JOB | per one job (lump sum) | TBD | To be determined |
| Lab | Laboratory | up | Ramp or stair direction up |
| Lav | Lavatory | W | West |
| lbs | Pounds | WC | Water Closet |
| LF | Linear foot | WRR | Women's Restroom |
| MOD | Modernization project |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |



| Total Cost for Street: \# 1 19th Ave. |  |  | \$9,400.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection \#: | Intersection: | Corner: |  |
| 1.12 | 19th Ave. and Cedar Ln. |  | \$5,600.00 |
|  |  | NE | \$2,800.00 |
|  |  | NW | \$2,800.00 |
| 1.46 | 19th Ave. and Atlantic Ave. |  | \$3,800.00 |
|  |  | SE | \$2,800.00 |
|  |  | sw | \$1,000.00 |
| Total Cost for Street: \# 5 B St. |  |  | \$12,700.00 |
| Intersection \#: | Intersection: | Corner: |  |
| 5.22 | B St. and Follet St. |  | \$5,600.00 |
|  |  | NW | \$2,800.00 |
|  |  | sw | \$2,800.00 |
| 5.23 | B St. and Fox St. |  | \$1,500.00 |
|  | Serving Facility: 116 City Park | SE | \$1,500.00 |
| 5.28 | B St. and Heinlen St. |  | \$5,600.00 |
|  |  | NE | \$2,800.00 |
|  |  | SE | \$2,800.00 |
|  | Serving Facility: 116 City Park | sw |  |
| Total Cost for Street: \# 6 Belinda Dr. |  |  | \$15,000.00 |
| Intersection \#: | Intersection: | Corner: |  |
| 6.27 | Belinda Dr. and Hazelwood Dr. |  | \$5,600.00 |
|  |  | NW | \$2,800.00 |
|  |  | sw | \$2,800.00 |
| 6.35 | Belinda Dr. and Meadow Ln. |  | \$5,600.00 |
|  |  | SE | \$2,800.00 |
|  |  | sw | \$2,800.00 |
| 6.38 | Belinda Dr. and Rosewood Ln. |  | \$3,800.00 |
|  |  | NE | \$1,000.00 |
|  |  | SE | \$2,800.00 |
| Total Cost for Street: \# 8 Brentwood Dr. |  |  | \$4,300.00 |
| Intersection \#: | Intersection: | Corner: |  |
| 8.3 | Brentwood Dr. and Avalon Dr. |  | \$2,800.00 |
|  | Serving Facility: 115 Lion's Park | sw | \$2,800.00 |
| 8.26 | Brentwood Dr. and Hanover Ave. |  | \$1,500.00 |
|  | Serving Facility: 115 Lion's Park | NW | \$1,500.00 |
| Total Cost for Street: \# 9 Bush St. |  |  | \$14,000.00 |
| Intersection \#: | Intersection: | Corner: |  |
| 9.10 | Bush St. and Byron Dr. |  | \$5,600.00 |
|  |  | NW | \$2,800.00 |
|  |  | sw | \$2,800.00 |
| 9.23 | Bush St. and Fox St. |  | \$2,800.00 |
|  | Serving Facility: 116 City Park | sw | \$2,800.00 |
| 9.28 | Bush St. and Heinlen St. |  | \$2,800.00 |
|  | Serving Facility: 116 City Park | ESE | \$2,800.00 |
| 9.43 | Bush St. and Willow Dr. |  | \$2,800.00 |
|  |  | NW | \$2,800.00 |


| Total Cost for Street: \# 14 Cinnamon Dr. |  |  | \$8,400.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection \#: | Intersection: | Corner: |  |
| 14.1 | Cinnamon Dr. and 19th Ave. |  | \$2,800.00 |
|  | Serving Facility: 111 Youth Sports Complex | SE | \$2,800.00 |
| 14.23 | Cinnamon Dr. and Fox St. |  | \$2,800.00 |
|  | Serving Facility: 103 Police Department | sw | \$2,800.00 |
| 14.29 | Cinnamon Dr. and Hill St. |  | \$2,800.00 |
|  | Serving Facility: 103 Police Department | SE | \$2,800.00 |
| Total Cost for Street: \# 16 D St. |  |  | \$26,700.00 |
| Intersection \#: | Intersection: | Corner: |  |
| 16. 22 | D St. and Follet St. |  | \$5,600.00 |
|  |  | NW | \$2,800.00 |
|  |  | sw | \$2,800.00 |
| 16.28 | D St. and Heinlen St. |  | \$5,600.00 |
|  |  | NE | \$2,800.00 |
|  |  | SE | \$2,800.00 |
| 16.31 | D St. and Lemoore Ave. |  | \$11,200.00 |
|  |  | NE | \$2,800.00 |
|  |  | NW | \$2,800.00 |
|  |  | SE | \$2,800.00 |
|  |  | sw | \$2,800.00 |
| 16.40 | D St. and Smith Ave. |  | \$4,300.00 |
|  |  | NW | \$1,500.00 |
|  |  | sw | \$2,800.00 |
| Total Cost for Street: \# 18 Devon Dr. |  |  | \$8,400.00 |
| Intersection \#: | Intersection: | Corner: |  |
| 18.13 | Devon Dr. and Chelsea Ave |  | \$2,800.00 |
|  |  | NW | \$2,800.00 |
| 18. 20 | Devon Dr. and Eton Dr. |  | \$5,600.00 |
|  |  | NE | \$2,800.00 |
|  |  | SE | \$2,800.00 |
| Total Cost for Street: \# 19 E St. |  |  | \$6,600.00 |
| Intersection \#: | Intersection: | Corner: |  |
| 19.23 | E St. and Fox St. |  | \$2,800.00 |
|  | Serving Facility: 106 Train Depot Complex | NE | \$2,800.00 |
| 19.50 | E St. and W. Depot Driveway |  | \$3,800.00 |
|  | Serving Facility: 106 Train Depot Complex | NE | \$1,000.00 |
|  | Serving Facility: 106 Train Depot Complex | NW | \$2,800.00 |
| Total Cost for Street: \# 22 Follet St. |  |  | \$5,600.00 |
| Intersection \#: | Intersection: | Corner: |  |
| 22.50 | Follet St. and Depot Driveway |  | \$5,600.00 |
|  | Serving Facility: 106 Train Depot Complex | NE | \$2,800.00 |
|  | Serving Facility: 106 Train Depot Complex | NW | \$2,800.00 |
| Total Cost for Street: \# 23 Fox St. |  |  | \$16,800.00 |
| Intersection \#: | Intersection: | Corner: |  |
| 23.11 | Fox St. and C St. |  | \$11,200.00 |



City of Lemoore

|  |  | SW | \$2,800.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31.15 | Lemoore Ave. and Club Dr. |  | \$2,800.00 |
|  |  | SE | \$2,800.00 |
| 31.18 | Lemoore Ave. and Devon Dr. |  | \$3,000.00 |
|  |  | NW | \$1,500.00 |
|  |  | SW | \$1,500.00 |
| 31.36 | Lemoore Ave. and Oleander Ave. |  | \$2,800.00 |
|  |  | SE | \$2,800.00 |
| 31.42 | Lemoore Ave. and Washington Dr. |  | \$5,600.00 |
|  |  | NW | \$2,800.00 |
|  |  | SW | \$2,800.00 |
| Total Cost for Street: \# 34 Lombardy Ln. |  |  | \$5,600.00 |
| Intersection \#: | Intersection: | Corner: |  |
| 34.39 | Lombardy Ln. and Skaggs St. |  | \$2,800.00 |
|  |  | SW | \$2,800.00 |
| 34.41 | Lombardy Ln. and Vine St. |  | \$2,800.00 |
|  |  | NE | \$2,800.00 |

Total Cost for City of Lemoore PROW - Intersections: $\quad \$ 216,400.00$



## NW Perpendicular

163 Ramp Flare

- As-Built Description:

Slope of flare(s) along curb at perpendicular curb ramp exceed(s) 10\%.

PCODE PC08A
ADAPROW R303.2.1.4

| Width of Ramp | (in) | 48 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | 7.2 |
| X Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | 1.2 |
| Top Landing Length | (in) | 48 |
| Top Landing Slope | (\%) | 1.0 |
| Top Landing X Slope | (\%) | 1.8 |
| Left Flare | (\%) | $\mathbf{1 2 . 3}$ |
| Right Flare | (\%) | $\mathbf{1 1 . 3}$ |
| Gutter Slope | (\%) | $\mathbf{8 . 0}$ |
| Gutter Lip | (in) | $\mathbf{0 . 7 5}$ |
| Grooved Border | (in) | $\mathbf{8}$ |
| Truncated Domes |  | NO |
| Within Crosswalk |  | YES |

Total Costs for Curb Ramps at :
19th Ave. and Cedar Ln.
\$5,600.00


## SW Perpendicular

165 Detectable Warnings

- As-Built Description:

No detectable warning surface provided where a curb ramp, landing, or blended transition connects to a street.

- Proposed Solution:

Install a truncated dome surface extending $24^{\prime \prime}$ min. in the direction of travel and the full width of the curb ramp, landing, or blended transition that is flush with the street. Unit Cost $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 0 0 . 0 0}$

- Notes:

Architectural design required when path of travel crosses extended v-gutter.

| Width of Ramp | (in) | 48 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | 7.9 |
| X Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | 1.0 |
| Top Landing Length | (in) | 48 |
| Top Landing Slope | (\%) | 1.9 |
| Top Landing X Slope | (\%) | 1.4 |
| Left Flare | (\%) | 5.7 |
| Right Flare | (\%) | 6.8 |
| Gutter Slope | (\%) | 3.0 |
| Gutter Lip | (in) | $\mathbf{0 . 5}$ |
| Groued Border | (in) | 12 |
| Truncated Domes |  | NO |
| Within Crosswalk |  | N/A |

Total Costs for Curb Ramps at :
19th Ave. and Atlantic Ave.
\$3,800.00

|  | Street ID \# | Survey Street | Street ID \# | Cross Street |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 19TH AVE. | $\mathbf{4 6}$ | ATLANTIC AVE. |
| Orientation | Ramp Type | Existing Access Barrier <br> and Proposed Solution | Codes / Mitigation Info | Measurements |


| Street ID \# | Survey Street | Street ID \# | Cross Street |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 5 | B ST. | $\mathbf{2 2}$ | FOLLET ST. |
| Orientation | Ramp Type | Existing Access Barrier <br> and Proposed Solution | Codes / Mitigation Info | Measurements |

## NW Perpendicular

173 Ramp Slope

- As-Built Description:

Running slope of existing perpendicular curb ramp is less than $5 \%$ or greater than $8.3 \%$.

- As-is Measurement: 10.1\%
- Proposed Solution:

Demolish existing and provide new, perpendicular curb ramp, including detectable warning surfaces, and top and

PCODE PC03A
ADAPROW R303.2.1.1
CSAS 1127B.5.3
ADAAG 4.7.2; 4.8.2
bottom landings as required.

| Width of Ramp | (in) | 46 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | $\mathbf{1 0 . 1}$ |
| X Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | 0.6 |
| Top Landing Length | (in) | 64 |
| Top Landing Slope | (\%) | 1.4 |
| Top Landing X Slope | (\%) | 1.0 |
| Left Flare | (\%) | $\mathbf{1 2 . 7}$ |
| Right Flare | (\%) | $\mathbf{1 2 . 1}$ |
| Gutter Slope | (\%) | $\mathbf{9 . 6}$ |
| Gutter Lip | (in) | $\mathbf{1 . 0}$ |
| Grooved Border | (in) | 12 |
| Truncated Domes |  | NO |
| Within Crosswalk |  | YES |

## SW Perpendicular

174 Ramp Slope

- As-Built Description:

Running slope of existing perpendicular curb ramp is less than $5 \%$ or greater than $8.3 \%$.

- Proposed Solution:

Demolish existing and provide new, perpendicular curb ramp, including detectable warning surfaces, and top and bottom landings as required.

Unit Cost $\$ \mathbf{2 8 0 0 . 0 0}$

| Width of Ramp | (in) | 48 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | $\mathbf{1 2 . 7}$ |
| X Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | 1.7 |
| Top Landing Length | (in) | 48 |
| Top Landing Slope | (\%) | $\mathbf{3 . 7}$ |
| Top Landing X Slope | (\%) | 0.5 |
| Left Flare | $(\%)$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 8}$ |
| Right Flare | (\%) | $\mathbf{1 0 . 3}$ |
| Gutter Slope | (\%) | $\mathbf{1 0 . 0}$ |
| Gutter Lip | (in) | $\mathbf{0 . 7 5}$ |
| Grooved Border | (in) | 12 |
| Truncated Domes |  | NO |
| Within Crosswalk |  | YES |

Total Costs for Curb Ramps at :
B St. and Follet St.
\$5,600.00

|  | Street ID \# | Survey Street | Street ID \# | Cross Street |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 5 | B ST. | 23 | FOX ST. |
| Orientation | Ramp Type | Existing Access Barrier <br> and Proposed Solution | Codes / Mitigation Info | Measurements |

## SE Perpendicular Serving Facility: 116 City Park

## 108 Gutter

- As-Built Description:

The slope of the gutter area or street at the foot of a curb ramp or blended transition exceeds 1:20 (5\%) in the direction of the pedestrian crossing.

|  |  | Width of Ramp | (in) | 48 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | 8.0 |
| PCODE | PC70D | X Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | 2.3 |
| ADAPROW | R303.3.5 | Top Landing Length | (in) | 52 |
| CSAS | 1127B.5.3 | Top Landing Slope | (\%) | 1.1 |
| ADAAG | 4.7.2 | Top Landing X Slope | (\%) | 0.3 |
|  |  | Left Flare | (\%) | 8.3 |
| Unit Cost | \$1500.00 | Right Flare | (\%) | 13.6 |
|  |  | Gutter Slope | (\%) | 12.3 |
|  |  | Gutter Lip | (in) | 0.5 |
|  |  | Grooved Border | (in) | 12 |
|  |  | Truncated Domes |  | NO |
|  |  | Within Crosswalk |  | N/A |

- As-is Measurement: 12.3\%

Unit Cost $\$ 1500.00$

- Proposed Solution:

Demolish gutter or street area as required and provide 48" x 48 " area at foot of curb ramp or blended transition with slope no greater than $5 \%$.

- Notes:

Transition from gutter to street surface has a $0.75^{\prime \prime}$ ledge. When demolishing curb
Within Crosswalk
N/A ramn recommend renaving as to nrovide smonth transition

Total Costs for Curb Ramps at :


## SE Perpendicular

171 Ramp Slope

- As-Built Description:

Running slope of existing perpendicular curb ramp is less than $5 \%$ or greater than $8.3 \%$.

- As-is Measurement: 10.9\%

ADAPROW R303.2.1.1

- Proposed Solution:

Demolish existing and provide new, perpendicular curb ramp, including detectable warning surfaces, and top and bottom landings as required.


## SW Perpendicular Serving Facility: 116 City Park

111 Ramp Slope

- As-Built Description:

Curb ramp was covered with construction sand, unable to survey.

- Proposed Solution:

Provide compliant perpendicular curb ramp, including detectable warning surfaces, and top and bottom landings as required.

| $P C O D E$ | $\mathrm{PCO3A}$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| ADAPROW | R303.2.1.1 |
| CSAS | 1127B.5.3 |
| ADAAG | 4.7.2; 4.8.2 |

Unit Cost

| Width of Ramp | (in) | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | 0 |
| X Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | 0 |
| Top Landing Length | (in) | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| Top Landing Slope | (\%) | 0 |
| Top Landing X Slope | (\%) | 0 |
| Left Flare | (\%) | 0 |
| Right Flare | (\%) | 0 |
| Gutter Slope | (\%) | 0 |
| Gutter Lip | (in) | 0 |
| Grooved Border | (in) | NO |
| Truncated Domes |  | NO |
| Within Crosswalk |  | N/A |
|  |  |  |

Total Costs for Curb Ramps at :
B St. and Heinlen St.
\$5,600.00

|  | Street ID \# | Survey Street | Street ID \# | Cross Street |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | 5 | B ST. | 28 | HEINLEN ST. |
| Orientation | Ramp Type | Existing Access Barrier <br> and Proposed Solution | Codes / Mitigation Info | Measurements |


|  | Street ID \# | Survey Street | Street ID \# |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 6 | BELINDA DR. | 27 | HAZELWOS Street |
| Orientation DR. | Ramp Type | Existing Access Barrier <br> and Proposed Solution | Codes / Mitigation Info | Measurements |

## NW Perpendicular

159 Ramp Landing

- As-Built Description:

Running slope at top landing of existing perpendicular curb ramp exceeds the $1: 48$ ( $2 \%$ ) maximum.

| PCODE | PC06A |
| ---: | :--- |
| ADAPROW | R303.2.1.3 |
| CSAS | $\mathbf{1 1 2 7 B} .5 .4$ |
| ADAAG | $\mathbf{4 . 8 . 4}$ |
|  |  |
| Unit Cost | $\mathbf{\$ 2 8 0 0 . 0 0}$ |

- As-is Measurement: 3.3\%
- Proposed Solution:

Demolish existing and provide new, perpendicular curb ramp, including detectable warning surfaces, and top and

Unit Cost \$2800.00

| Width of Ramp | (in) | $\mathbf{4 6}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | 8.6 |
| X Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | 0.4 |
| Top Landing Length | (in) | 40 |
| Top Landing Slope | (\%) | 3.3 |
| Top Landing X Slope | (\%) | 0.7 |
| Left Flare | $(\%)$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 8}$ |
| Right Flare | (\%) | 6.9 |
| Gutter Slope | (\%) | 0.3 |
| Gutter Lip | (in) | $\mathbf{0 . 7 5}$ |
| Grooved Border | (in) | NO |
| Truncated Domes |  | YES |
| Within Crosswalk |  | N/A |

## SW Perpendicular

160 Ramp Landing

- As-Built Description:

Running slope at top landing of existing perpendicular curb ramp exceeds the $1: 48(2 \%)$ maximum.

ADAPROW R303.2.1.3
CSAS 1127B.5.4

- As-is Measurement: 4.1\%
- Proposed Solution:

Demolish existing and provide new, perpendicular curb ramp, including detectable warning surfaces, and top and bottom landings as required.

| Width of Ramp | (in) | $\mathbf{4 6}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Slope of the Ramp | $(\%)$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 0}$ |
| X Slope of the Ramp | $(\%)$ | 0.4 |
| Top Landing Length | (in) | $\mathbf{4 2}$ |
| Top Landing Slope | $(\%)$ | $\mathbf{4 . 1}$ |
| Top Landing X Slope | $(\%)$ | 0.4 |
| Left Flare | $(\%)$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 9}$ |
| Right Flare | $(\%)$ | $\mathbf{1 1 . 4}$ |
| Gutter Slope | (\%) | 1.3 |
| Gutter Lip | (in) | $\mathbf{0 . 5}$ |
| Grooved Border | (in) | NO |
| Truncated Domes |  | YES |
| Within Crosswalk |  | N/A |
|  |  |  |

Total Costs for Curb Ramps at :
Belinda Dr. and Hazelwood Dr.
\$5,600.00

| Street ID \# | Survey Street | Street ID \# | Cross Street |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 6 | BELINDA DR. | 35 | MEADOW LN. |
| Orientation | Ramp Type | Existing Access Barrier <br> and Proposed Solution | Codes / Mitigation Info | Measurements |

## SE Perpendicular



| Width of Ramp | (in) | 48 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | 9.9 |
| X Slope of the Ramp | $(\%)$ | 1.3 |
| Top Landing Length | (in) | $\mathbf{3 9}$ |
| Top Ladding Slope | (\%) | $\mathbf{2 . 1}$ |
| Top Landing X Slope | (\%) | 1.0 |
| Left Flare | (\%) | 8.9 |
| Right Flare | (\%) | 8.6 |
| Gutter Slope | (\%) | $\mathbf{8 . 7}$ |
| Gutter Lip | (in) | $\mathbf{0 . 7 5}$ |
| Grooved Border | (in) | $\mathbf{8}$ |
| Truncated Domes |  | NO |
| Within Crosswalk |  | N/A |

## SW Perpendicular

161 Ramp Landing

- As-Built Description:

Running slope at top landing of existing perpendicular curb ramp exceeds the 1:48 ( $2 \%$ ) maximum.

- As-is Measurement: 2.9\%
- Proposed Solution:

Demolish existing and provide new, perpendicular curb ramp, including detectable warning surfaces, and top and bottom landings as required.

| Width of Ramp | (in) | 48 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | 8.0 |
| X Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | 0.2 |
| Top Landing Length | (in) | 48 |
| Top Landing Slope | (\%) | 2.9 |
| Top Landing X Slope | (\%) | 0.4 |
| Left Flare | (\%) | $\mathbf{1 1 . 8}$ |
| Right Flare | (\%) | $\mathbf{1 1 . 4}$ |
| Gutter Slope | (\%) | 0.3 |
| Gutter Lip | (in) | $\mathbf{0 . 7 5}$ |
| Grooved Border | (in) | 12 |
| Truncated Domes |  | NO |
| Within Crosswalk |  | N/A |

Total Costs for Curb Ramps at :
Belinda Dr. and Meadow Ln.
\$5,600.00


## SE Perpendicular

157 Ramp Slope

- As-Built Description:

Running slope of existing perpendicular curb ramp is less than $5 \%$ or greater than $8.3 \%$.

- As-is Measurement: 10.6\%
- Proposed Solution:

Demolish existing and provide new, perpendicular curb ramp, including detectable warning surfaces, and top and bottom landings as required.

| Width of Ramp | (in) | 48 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | $\mathbf{1 0 . 6}$ |
| X Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | 1.6 |
| Top Landing Length | (in) | 48 |
| Top Landing Slope | (\%) | 2.6 |
| Top Landing X Slope | (\%) | $\mathbf{2 . 3}$ |
| Left Flare | (\%) | 8.3 |
| Right Flare | (\%) | $\mathbf{1 0 . 6}$ |
| Gutter Slope | (\%) | $\mathbf{8 . 0}$ |
| Gutter Lip | (in) | $\mathbf{0 . 7 5}$ |
| Grooved Border | (in) | $\mathbf{8}$ |
| Truncated Domes |  | NO |
| Within Crosswalk |  | N/A |

Total Costs for Curb Ramps at :
Belinda Dr. and Rosewood Ln.
\$3,800.00

| Street ID \# | Survey Street | Street ID \# |  | Cross Street |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 6 | BELINDA DR. | 38 | ROSEWOOD LN. |
| Orientation | Ramp Type | Existing Access Barrier <br> and Proposed Solution | Codes / Mitigation Info | Measurements |


|  | Street ID \# | Survey Street | Street ID \# | Cross Street |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
|  | $\mathbf{8}$ | BRENTWOOD DR. | $\mathbf{3}$ | AVALON DR. |
| Orientation | Ramp Type | Existing Access Barrier <br> and Proposed Solution | Codes / Mitigation Info | Measurements |

## SW Perpendicular <br> Serving Facility: 115 Lion's Park

104 Ramp Landing

- As-Built Description:

Running slope at top landing of existing perpendicular curb ramp exceeds the 1:48 ( $2 \%$ ) maximum.

PCODE PC06A
ADAPROW R303.2.1.3
CSAS 1127B.5.4
ADAAG 4.8.4

- Proposed Solution:

Demolish existing and provide new, perpendicular curb ramp, including detectable warning surfaces, and top and bottom landings as required.

| Width of Ramp | (in) | 48 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | 7.8 |
| X Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | 0.6 |
| Top Landing Length | (in) | 48 |
| Top Landing Slope | (\%) | $\mathbf{3 . 1}$ |
| Top Landing X Slope | (\%) | 0.1 |
| Left Flare | (\%) | $\mathbf{1 0 . 9}$ |
| Right Flare | (\%) | 6.8 |
| Gutter Slope | (\%) | $\mathbf{7 . 0}$ |
| Gutter Lip | (in) | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| Grooved Border | (in) | 12 |
| Truncated Domes |  | NO |
| Within Crosswalk |  | N/A |

Total Costs for Curb Ramps at :
Brentwood Dr. and Avalon Dr.
\$2,800.00

| Street ID \# | Survey Street | Street ID \# | Cross Street |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Orientation | $\mathbf{8}$ | BRENTWOOD DR. | $\mathbf{2 6}$ | HANOVER AVE. |

## NW Perpendicular Serving Facility: 115 Lion's Park



| Width of Ramp | (in) | 50 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | 7.4 |
| X Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | 0.2 |
| Top Landing Length | (in) | 48 |
| Top Landing Slope | (\%) | 1.6 |
| Top Landing X Slope | (\%) | 0.8 |
| Left Flare | (\%) | 10.0 |
| Right Flare | (\%) | 8.5 |
| Gutter Slope | (\%) | $\mathbf{7 . 8}$ |
| Gutter Lip | (in) | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| Grooved Border | (in) | 12 |
| Truncated Domes |  | NO |
| Within Crosswalk |  | N/A |

Total Costs for Curb Ramps at :
Brentwood Dr. and Hanover Ave.
\$1,500.00

| Street ID \# | Survey Street | Street ID \# |  | Cross Street |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\mathbf{8}$ | BRENTWOOD DR. | $\mathbf{2 6}$ | HANOVER AVE. |
| Orientation | Ramp Type | Existing Access Barrier <br> and Proposed Solution | Codes / Mitigation Info | Measurements |


|  | Street ID \# | Survey Street | Street ID \# | Cross Street |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | BUSH ST. | 10 | BYRON DR. |  |
| Orientation | Ramp Type | Existing Access Barrier <br> and Proposed Solution | Codes / Mitigation Info | Measurements |

## NW Perpendicular

136 Ramp Slope

- As-Built Description:

Running slope of existing perpendicular curb ramp is less than $5 \%$ or greater than $8.3 \%$.

- As-is Measurement: 8.6\%
- Proposed Solution:

Demolish existing and provide new, perpendicular curb ramp, including detectable warning surfaces, and top and bottom landings as required.

| Width of Ramp | (in) | 48 |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | $\mathbf{8 . 6}$ |
| X Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | 1.4 |
| Top Landing Length | (in) | 41 |
| Top Landing Slope | (\%) | 2.6 |
| Top Landing X Slope | (\%) | 0.4 |
| Left Flare | $(\%)$ | 9.5 |
| Right Flare | (\%) | $\mathbf{1 2 . 5}$ |
| Gutter Slope | (\%) | 2.1 |
| Gutter Lip | (in) | $\mathbf{0 . 5}$ |
| Grooved Border | (in) | 12 |
| Truncated Domes |  | NO |
| Within Crosswalk |  | N/A |

## SW Perpendicular

135 Ramp Flare

- As-Built Description:

Slope of flare(s) along curb at perpendicular curb ramp exceed(s) $10 \%$.

ADAPROW R303.2.1.4

- As-is Measurement: Left: 21.0\% Right: 17.5\%
- Proposed Solution:

Demolish existing and provide new, perpendicular curb ramp, including detectable warning surfaces, and top and bottom landings as required.

| Width of Ramp | (in) | 51 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | 7.7 |
| X Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | 0.6 |
| Top Landing Length | (in) | $\mathbf{3 8}$ |
| Top Landing Slope | (\%) | 3.4 |
| Top Landing X Slope | (\%) | 0.5 |
| Left Flare | (\%) | $\mathbf{2 1 . 0}$ |
| Right Flare | (\%) | $\mathbf{1 7 . 5}$ |
| Gutter Slope | (\%) | 1.0 |
| Gutter Lip | (in) | $\mathbf{0 . 5}$ |
| Grooved Border | (in) | NO |
| Nruncated Domes |  | NO |
| Within Crosswalk |  | N/A |

Total Costs for Curb Ramps at :
Bush St. and Byron Dr.
\$5,600.00

| Street ID \# | Survey Street | Street ID \# | Cross Street |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 9 | BUSH ST. | 23 | FOX ST. |
| Orientation | Ramp Type | Existing Access Barrier <br> and Proposed Solution | Codes / Mitigation Info | Measurements |

## SW Perpendicular Serving Facility: 116 City Park

## 110 Ramp Slope <br> - As-Built Description:

Running slope of existing perpendicular curb ramp is less than $5 \%$ or greater than $8.3 \%$.

- As-is Measurement: 13.3\%
- Proposed Solution: Demolish existing and provide new, perpendicular curb ramp, including detectable warning surfaces, and top and bottom landings as required.
- Notes:

Transition from gutter to street surface has a 1.5 " ledge. When demolishing curb ramp, recommend renaving as to nrovide smonth transition

Bush St. and Fox St.
\$2,800.00

| Street ID \# | Survey Street | Street ID \# | Cross Street |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | BUSH ST. | 28 | HEINLEN ST. |  |
| Orientation | Ramp Type | Existing Access Barrier <br> and Proposed Solution | Codes / Mitigation Info | Measurements |

## ESE Perpendicular Serving Facility: 116 City Park

109 Ramp Landing

- As-Built Description:

Cross slope at top landing of existing perpendicular curb ramp exceeds $2 \%$.

- As-is Measurement: 4.3\%
- Proposed Solution:

Demolish existing and provide new, perpendicular curb ramp, including detectable warning surfaces, and top and bottom landings as required.

- Notes:

Transition from gutter to street surface has a 1.0 ledge. When demolishing curb ramp, recommend renaving as to nrovide smonth transition

| Width of Ramp | (in) | 36 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Slope of the Ramp | $(\%)$ | 7.9 |
| X Slope of the Ramp | $(\%)$ | 2.0 |
| Top Landing Length | (in) | 48 |
| Top Landing Slope | $(\%)$ | 0.1 |
| Top Landing X Slope | (\%) | $\mathbf{4 . 3}$ |
| Left Flare | $(\%)$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 5}$ |
| Right Flare | $(\%)$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 2}$ |
| Gutter Slope | (\%) | $\mathbf{9 . 3}$ |
| Gutter Lip | (in) | $\mathbf{0 . 5}$ |
| Grooved Border | (in) | NO |
| Truncated Domes |  | NO |
| Within Crosswalk |  | YES |


|  | Street ID \# | Survey Street | Street ID \# | Cross Street |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 9 | BUSH ST. | 43 | WILLOW DR. |
| Orientation | Ramp Type | Existing Access Barrier <br> and Proposed Solution | Codes / Mitigation Info | Measurements |

## NW Perpendicular

134 Ramp Landing
Running slope at top landing of existing perpendicular curb ramp exceeds the 1:48 ( $2 \%$ ) maximum.

- As-is Measurement: 2.9\%
- Proposed Solution:

Demolish existing and provide new, perpendicular curb ramp, including detectable warning surfaces, and top and bottom landings as required.

| Width of Ramp | (in) | 48 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | 8.9 |
| X Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | 1.5 |
| Top Landing Length | (in) | 52 |
| Top Landing Slope | (\%) | 2.9 |
| Top Landing X Slope | (\%) | 1.1 |
| Left Flare | (\%) | 8.8 |
| Right Flare | (\%) | $\mathbf{1 1 . 8}$ |
| Gutter Slope | (\%) | 1.5 |
| Gutter Lip | (in) | $\mathbf{0 . 5}$ |
| Grooved Border | (in) | 12 |
| Truncated Domes |  | NO |
| Within Crosswalk |  | N/A |


|  | Street ID \# | Survey Street | Street ID \# | Cross Street |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 9 | BUSH ST. | 43 | WILLOW DR. |
| Orientation | Ramp Type | Existing Access Barrier <br> and Proposed Solution | Codes / Mitigation Info | Measurements |


| Street ID \# | Survey Street | Street ID \# | Cross Street |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 14 | CINNAMON DR. | 1 | 19TH AVE. |  |
| Orientation | Ramp Type | Existing Access Barrier <br> and Proposed Solution | Codes / Mitigation Info | Measurements |

## SE Perpendicular <br> Serving Facility: 111 Youth Sports Complex

107 Ramp Landing

- As-Built Description:

Running slope at top landing of existing perpendicular curb ramp exceeds the 1:48 ( $2 \%$ ) maximum.

PCODE PC06A
ADAPROW R303.2.1.3
CSAS 1127B.5.4
ADAAG 4.8.4

- Proposed Solution:

Demolish existing and provide new, perpendicular curb ramp, including detectable warning surfaces, and top and bottom landings as required.

- Notes:

Transition from gutter to street surface has a 0.75 " ledge. When demolishing curb ramn recommend renaving as to nrovide smonth transition

| Width of Ramp | (in) | 50 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | 7.8 |
| X Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | 0.5 |
| Top Landing Length | (in) | $\mathbf{0 . 8}$ |
| Top Landing Slope | (\%) | $\mathbf{4 . 2}$ |
| Top Landing X Slope | (\%) | 0.8 |
| Left Flare | (\%) | $\mathbf{1 0 . 7}$ |
| Right Flare | (\%) | 9.5 |
| Gutter Slope | (\%) | $\mathbf{5 . 6}$ |
| Gutter Lip | (in) | $\mathbf{0 . 7 5}$ |
| Grooved Border | (in) | 12 |
| Truncated Domes |  | YES |
| Within Crosswalk |  | N/A |

Total Costs for Curb Ramps at :

| Street ID \# | Survey Street | Street ID \# | Cross Street |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 14 | CINNAMON DR. | 23 | FOX ST. |  |
| Orientation | Ramp Type | Existing Access Barrier <br> and Proposed Solution | Codes / Mitigation Info | Measurements |

## SW Perpendicular Serving Facility: 103 Police Department

## 105 Ramp Flare <br> - As-Built Description:

Slope of flare(s) along curb at perpendicular curb ramp exceed(s) $10 \%$.

- As-is Measurement: Left: 11.9\% Right: 8.6\%
- Proposed Solution:

Demolish existing and provide new, perpendicular curb ramp, including detectable warning surfaces, and top and bottom landings as required.

- Notes:

Transition from gutter to street surface has a $0.75^{\prime \prime}$ ledge. When demolishing curb ramn. recommend renaving as to nrovide smonth transition

|  | Width of Ramp | (in) | 48 |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PCODE | PC08A | Slope of the Ramp | $(\%)$ | 7.8 |
| ADAPROW | R303.2.1.4 | X Slope of the Ramp | $(\%)$ | 0.8 |
| CSAS | Top Landing Length | (in) | 48 |  |
|  | 1127B.5.3 | Top Landing Slope | $(\%)$ | 0.7 |
|  | Top Landing X Slope | $(\%)$ | 0.4 |  |
| Unit Cost $\$ \mathbf{\$ 2 8 0 0 . 0 0}$ | Left Flare | $(\%)$ | 11.9 |  |
|  | Right Flare | $(\%)$ | 8.6 |  |
|  | Gutter Slope | $(\%)$ | 6.7 |  |
|  | Gutter Lip | (in) | 0.75 |  |
|  | Grooved Border | (in) | 12 |  |
|  | Truncated Domes |  | NO |  |
|  | Within Crosswalk |  | YES |  |


| Street ID \# | Survey Street | Street ID \# | Cross Street |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 14 | CINNAMON DR. | 29 | HILL ST. |  |
| Orientation | Ramp Type | Existing Access Barrier <br> and Proposed Solution | Codes / Mitigation Info | Measurements |

## SE Perpendicular Serving Facility: 103 Police Department

106 Ramp Flare

- As-Built Description:

Slope of flare(s) along curb at perpendicular curb ramp exceed(s) $10 \%$.

- As-is Measurement: Left: 13.1\% Right: 10.9\%
- Proposed Solution:

Demolish existing and provide new, perpendicular curb ramp, including detectable warning surfaces, and top and bottom landings as required.

| Width of Ramp | (in) | $\mathbf{4 4}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Slope of the Ramp | $(\%)$ | $\mathbf{8 . 9}$ |
| X Slope of the Ramp | $(\%)$ | 0.2 |
| Top Landing Length | (in) | 48 |
| Top Landing Slope | $(\%)$ | 1.3 |
| Top Landing X Slope | $(\%)$ | 0.5 |
| Left Flare | $(\%)$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 1}$ |
| Right Flare | $(\%)$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 9}$ |
| Gutter Slope | (\%) | $\mathbf{1 1 . 6}$ |
| Gutter Lip | (in) | 0 |
| Grooved Border | (in) | 12 |
| Truncated Domes |  | NO |
| Within Crosswalk |  | N/A |


|  | Street ID \# | Survey Street | Street ID \# | Cross Street |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 14 | CINNAMON DR. | 29 | HILL ST. |  |
| Orientation | Ramp Type | Existing Access Barrier <br> and Proposed Solution | Codes / Mitigation Info | Measurements |



## SW Perpendicular

170 Ramp Slope

- As-Built Description:

Running slope of existing perpendicular curb ramp is less than $5 \%$ or greater than $8.3 \%$.

- As-is Measurement: 12.0\%
- Proposed Solution:

Demolish existing and provide new, perpendicular curb ramp, including detectable warning surfaces, and top and bottom landings as required.

| Width of Ramp | (in) | 68 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | $\mathbf{1 2 . 0}$ |
| X Slope of the Ramp | $(\%)$ | 0.5 |
| Top Landing Length | (in) | 48 |
| Top Landing Slope | $(\%)$ | $\mathbf{4 . 2}$ |
| Top Landing X Slope | (\%) | 0.3 |
| Left Flare | (\%) | $\mathbf{3 1 . 2}$ |
| Right Flare | (\%) | $\mathbf{2 7 . 1}$ |
| Gutter Slope | (\%) | $\mathbf{7 . 2}$ |
| Gutter Lip | (in) | $\mathbf{0 . 5}$ |
| Grooved Border | (in) | NO |
| Truncated Domes |  | NO |
| Within Crosswalk |  | YES |

Total Costs for Curb Ramps at :
D St. and Follet St.
\$5,600.00

| Street ID \# | Survey Street | Street ID \# | Cross Street |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 16 | D ST. | 28 | HEINLEN ST. |  |
| Orientation | Ramp Type | Existing Access Barrier <br> and Proposed Solution | Codes / Mitigation Info | Measurements |

## NE Perpendicular



## SE Perpendicular

167 Ramp Flare
Slope of flare(s) along curb at perpendicular curb ramp exceed(s) $10 \%$.

- As-is Measurement: Left: 5.5\% Right: 15.7\%
- Proposed Solution:

Demolish existing and provide new, perpendicular curb ramp, including detectable warning surfaces, and top and bottom landings as required.

| Width of Ramp | (in) | 72 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | 6.0 |
| X Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | 0.5 |
| Top Landing Length | (in) | 54 |
| Top Landing Slope | (\%) | 0.4 |
| Top Landing X Slope | (\%) | 0.7 |
| Left Flare | (\%) | 5.5 |
| Right Flare | (\%) | $\mathbf{1 5 . 7}$ |
| Gutter Slope | (\%) | $\mathbf{1 0 . 5}$ |
| Gutter Lip | (in) | $\mathbf{0 . 7 5}$ |
| Grooved Border | (in) | NO |
| Truncated Domes |  | NO |
| Within Crosswalk |  | YES |

Total Costs for Curb Ramps at :
D St. and Heinlen St.
\$5,600.00


## NW Perpendicular

137 Ramp Landing

- As-Built Description:

Running slope at top landing of existing perpendicular curb ramp exceeds the $1: 48$ ( $2 \%$ ) maximum.

- As-is Measurement: 3.7\%
- Proposed Solution:

Demolish existing and provide new, perpendicular curb ramp, including detectable warning surfaces, and top and bottom landings as required.

- Notes:

Transition from gutter to street surface has a 3.0" ledge. When demolishing curb ramp, recommend renaving as to nrovide smooth transition

| Width of Ramp | (in) | $\mathbf{4 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Slope of the Ramp | $(\%)$ | 7.3 |
| X Slope of the Ramp | $(\%)$ | 0.1 |
| Top Landing Length | (in) | 60 |
| Top Landing Slope | $(\%)$ | $\mathbf{3 . 7}$ |
| Top Landing X Slope | $(\%)$ | $\mathbf{2 . 3}$ |
| Left Flare | $(\%)$ | 8.7 |
| Right Flare | (\%) | $\mathbf{1 2 . 2}$ |
| Gutter Slope | (\%) | $\mathbf{7 . 1}$ |
| Gutter Lip | (in) | $\mathbf{1 . 5}$ |
| Grooved Border | (in) | NO |
| Truncated Domes |  | NO |
| Within Crosswalk |  | YES |

## SE Perpendicular

139 Ramp Slope

- As-Built Description:

Running slope of existing perpendicular curb ramp is less than $5 \%$ or greater than $8.3 \%$.

- As-is Measurement: 8.8\%
- Proposed Solution:

Demolish existing and provide new, perpendicular curb ramp, including detectable warning surfaces, and top and bottom landings as required.

| Width of Ramp | (in) | 48 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | 8.8 |
| X Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | 0.4 |
| Top Landing Length | (in) | 56 |
| Top Landing Slope | (\%) | 1.4 |
| Top Landing X Slope | $(\%)$ | 0.2 |
| Left Flare | (\%) | 7.2 |
| Right Flare | (\%) | 7.0 |
| Gutter Slope | (\%) | 4.2 |
| Gutter Lip | (in) | $\mathbf{0 . 5}$ |
| Grooved Border | (in) | 12 |
| Truncated Domes |  | YES |
| Within Crosswalk |  | YES |


|  | Street ID \# | Survey Street | Street ID \# | Cross Street |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 16 | D ST. | 31 | LEMOORE AVE. |  |
| Orientation | Ramp Type | Existing Access Barrier <br> and Proposed Solution | Codes / Mitigation Info | Measurements |

## SW Perpendicular

138 Ramp Slope
Running slope of existing perpendicular curb ramp is less than $5 \%$ or greater than $8.3 \%$.

- As-is Measurement: 13.8\%
- Proposed Solution:

Demolish existing and provide new, perpendicular curb ramp, including detectable warning surfaces, and top and bottom landings as required.

- Notes:

Transition from gutter to street surface has a 3.0" ledge. When demolishing curb ramp, recommend renaving as to nrovide smonth transition

Total Costs for Curb Ramps at :
D St. and Lemoore Ave.
\$11,200.00


## SW Parallel



Total Costs for Curb Ramps at :
D St. and Smith Ave.
\$4,300.00

|  | Street ID \# | Survey Street | Street ID \# | Cross Street |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | D ST. | 40 | SMITH AVE. |  |
| Orientation | Ramp Type | Existing Access Barrier <br> and Proposed Solution | Codes / Mitigation Info | Measurements |




## SE Perpendicular

## 131 Ramp Flare <br> - As-Built Description:

Slope of flare(s) along curb at perpendicular curb ramp exceed(s) $10 \%$.

- As-is Measurement: Left: 9.5\% Right: 12.7\%
- Proposed Solution:

Demolish existing and provide new, perpendicular curb ramp, including detectable warning surfaces, and top and bottom landings as required.

| Width of Ramp | (in) | 47 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | 6.6 |
| X Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | 0.1 |
| Top Landing Length | (in) | 46 |
| Top Landing Slope | (\%) | 0.4 |
| Top Landing X Slope | (\%) | 1.4 |
| Left Flare | (\%) | 9.5 |
| Right Flare | (\%) | $\mathbf{1 2 . 7}$ |
| Gutter Slope | (\%) | 2.3 |
| Gutter Lip | (in) | $\mathbf{0 . 5}$ |
| Grooved Border | (in) | 12 |
| Truncated Domes |  | NO |
| Within Crosswalk |  | N/A |

Total Costs for Curb Ramps at :
Devon Dr. and Eton Dr.
\$5,600.00

|  | Street ID \# | Survey Street | Street ID \# | Cross Street |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 18 | DEVON DR. | 20 | ETON DR. |
| Orientation | Ramp Type | Existing Access Barrier and Proposed Solution | Codes / Mitigation Info | Measurements |


|  | Street ID \# | Survey Street | Street ID \# | Cross Street |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 19 | E ST. | 23 | FOX ST. |  |
| Orientation | Ramp Type | Existing Access Barrier <br> and Proposed Solution | Codes / Mitigation Info | Measurements |

## NE Perpendicular <br> Serving Facility: 106 Train Depot Complex <br> 121 Ramp Slope

- As-Built Description:

Running slope of existing perpendicular curb ramp is less than $5 \%$ or greater than $8.3 \%$.

- As-is Measurement: 10.7\%
- Proposed Solution:

Demolish existing and provide new, perpendicular curb ramp, including detectable warning surfaces, and top and

| PCODE | PC03A |
| ---: | :--- |
| ADAPROW | R303.2.1.1 |
| CSAS | 1127B.5.3 |
| ADAAG | 4.7.2; 4.8.2 |
|  |  |
| Unit Cost | $\$ \mathbf{2 8 0 0 . 0 0}$ | bottom landings as required.

- Notes:

Transition from gutter to street surface has a 1.5 " ledge. When demolishing curb ramp, recommend renaving as to nrovide smonth transition

| Width of Ramp | (in) | 36 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | $\mathbf{1 0 . 7}$ |
| X Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | 0.8 |
| Top Landing Length | (in) | 48 |
| Top Landing Slope | (\%) | 3.4 |
| Top Landing X Slope | (\%) | 1.3 |
| Left Flare | $(\%)$ | 8.5 |
| Right Flare | (\%) | $\mathbf{1 4 . 0}$ |
| Gutter Slope | (\%) | $\mathbf{6 . 1}$ |
| Gutter Lip | (in) | $\mathbf{0 . 5}$ |
| Grooved Border | (in) | 12 |
| Truncated Domes |  | NO |
| Within Crosswalk |  | YES |

Total Costs for Curb Ramps at :
E St. and Fox St.
\$2,800.00


## NW Perpendicular Serving Facility: 106 Train Depot Complex

119 Ramp Slope

- As-Built Description:

Running slope of existing perpendicular curb ramp is less than $5 \%$ or greater than $8.3 \%$.

- As-is Measurement: 2.8\%
- Proposed Solution:

Demolish existing and provide new, perpendicular curb ramp, including detectable warning surfaces, and top and bottom landings as required.

| Width of Ramp | (in) | 48 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | 7.8 |
| X Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | $\mathbf{2 . 2}$ |
| Top Landing Length | (in) | 47 |
| Top Landing Slope | (\%) | 1.8 |
| Top Landing X Slope | (\%) | $\mathbf{2 . 8}$ |
| Left Flare | (\%) | 8.0 |
| Right Flare | (\%) | 5.0 |
| Gutter Slope | (\%) | $\mathbf{7 . 1}$ |
| Gutter Lip | (in) | 0 |
| Grooved Border | (in) | 12 |
| Truncated Domes |  | NO |
| Within Crosswalk |  | N/A |
|  |  |  |

Total Costs for Curb Ramps at :
E St. and
W. Depot Driveway
\$3,800.00

|  | Street ID \# | Survey Street | Street ID \# | Cross Street |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
|  | 19 | E ST. | 50 | W. DEPOT DRIVEWAY |
| Orientation | Ramp Type | Existing Access Barrier <br> and Proposed Solution | Codes / Mitigation Info | Measurements |


| Street ID \# | Survey Street | Street ID \# | Cross Street |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\mathbf{2 2}$ | FOLLET ST. | 50 | DEPOT DRIVEWAY |
| Orientation | Ramp Type | Existing Access Barrier <br> and Proposed Solution | Codes / Mitigation Info | Measurements |

## NE Perpendicular

## Serving Facility: 106 Train Depot Complex

## 118 Ramp Slope <br> - As-Built Description:

Running slope of existing perpendicular curb ramp is less than $5 \%$ or greater than $8.3 \%$.

- As-is Measurement: 8.7\%
- Proposed Solution:

Demolish existing and provide new, perpendicular curb ramp, including detectable warning surfaces, and top and bottom landings as required.

| Width of Ramp | (in) | 48 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | 8.7 |
| X Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | 0.1 |
| Top Landing Length | (in) | 47 |
| Top Landing Slope | (\%) | 1.1 |
| Top Landing X Slope | (\%) | 0.1 |
| Left Flare | $(\%)$ | 5.8 |
| Right Flare | (\%) | 8.4 |
| Gutter Slope | (\%) | 2.2 |
| Gutter Lip | (in) | 0 |
| Grooved Border | (in) | 12 |
| Truncated Domes |  | NO |
| Within Crosswalk |  | N/A |

NW Perpendicular

## Serving Facility: 106 Train Depot Complex

117 Ramp Landing

- As-Built Description:

Running slope at top landing of existing perpendicular curb ramp exceeds the 1:48 (2\%) maximum.

- As-is Measurement: 2.4\%
- Proposed Solution: Demolish existing and provide new, perpendicular curb ramp, including detectable warning surfaces, and top and bottom landings as required.

| Width of Ramp | (in) | 48 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Slope of the Ramp | $(\%)$ | 7.0 |
| X Slope of the Ramp | $(\%)$ | 0.3 |
| Top Landing Length | (in) | 48 |
| Top Landing Slope | $(\%)$ | 2.4 |
| Top Landing X Slope | $(\%)$ | 0.5 |
| Left Flare | $(\%)$ | 5.8 |
| Right Flare | $(\%)$ | 8.3 |
| Gutter Slope | (\%) | 3.3 |
| Gutter Lip | (in) | 0 |
| Grooved Border | (in) | 12 |
| Truncated Domes |  | NO |
| Within Crosswalk |  | N/A |

Follet St. and Depot Driveway
\$5,600.00

| Street ID \# | Survey Street | Street ID \# | Cross Street |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | $\mathbf{2 2}$ | FOLLET ST. | $\mathbf{5 0}$ | DEPOT DRIVEWAY |
| Orientation | Ramp Type | Existing Access Barrier <br> and Proposed Solution | Codes / Mitigation Info | Measurements |


| Street ID \# | Survey Street | Street ID \# | Cross Street |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 23 | FOX ST. | 11 | C ST. |
| Orientation | Ramp Type | Existing Access Barrier <br> and Proposed Solution | Codes / Mitigation Info | Measurements |

## NE Perpendicular

Serving Facility: 107 City Hall

## 116 Ramp Slope <br> - As-Built Description:

Running slope of existing perpendicular curb ramp is less than $5 \%$ or greater than $8.3 \%$.

- As-is Measurement: 11.4\%
- Proposed Solution:

Demolish existing and provide new, perpendicular curb ramp, including detectable warning surfaces, and top and bottom landings as required.

| Width of Ramp | (in) | 48 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | $\mathbf{1 1 . 4}$ |
| X Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | 1.5 |
| Top Landing Length | (in) | 72 |
| Top Landing Slope | (\%) | $\mathbf{5 . 0}$ |
| Top Landing X Slope | (\%) | 0.3 |
| Left Flare | (\%) | $\mathbf{1 0 . 4}$ |
| Right Flare | (\%) | $\mathbf{1 2 . 7}$ |
| Gutter Slope | (\%) | 2.2 |
| Gutter Lip | (in) | $\mathbf{0 . 5}$ |
| Grooved Border | (in) | NO |
| Truncated Domes |  | NO |
| Within Crosswalk |  | YES |
|  |  |  |

## NW Perpendicular

## Serving Facility: 107 City Hall

| 113 | Ramp Slope |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| - As-Built Description: |  |  |
| Running slope of existing perpendicular curb ramp is less | PCODE | PC03A |
| than 5\% or greater than $8.3 \%$. | ADAPROW | R303.2.1.1 |
| - As-is Measurement: $13.6 \%$ | ADAAG 4.7.2; 4.8.2 |  |
| - Proposed Solution: |  |  |
| Demolish existing and provide new, perpendicular curb <br> ramp, including detectable warning surfaces, and top and | Unit Cost | $\mathbf{\$ 2 8 0 0 . 0 0}$ |


| Width of Ramp | (in) | $\mathbf{3 6}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | $\mathbf{1 3 . 6}$ |
| X Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | 2.0 |
| Top Landing Length | (in) | 80 |
| Top Landing Slope | (\%) | 1.2 |
| Top Landing X Slope | (\%) | 0.8 |
| Left Flare | (\%) | $\mathbf{1 0 . 8}$ |
| Right Flare | (\%) | $\mathbf{1 0 . 6}$ |
| Gutter Slope | (\%) | 2.4 |
| Gutter Lip | (in) | $\mathbf{0 . 5}$ |
| Grooved Border | (in) | NO |
| Truncated Domes |  | NO |
| Within Crosswalk |  | YES |

## SE Perpendicular Serving Facility: 107 City Hall

115 Ramp Slope

- As-Built Description:

Running slope of existing perpendicular curb ramp is less than $5 \%$ or greater than $8.3 \%$.

- As-is Measurement: 14.8\%
- Proposed Solution:

Demolish existing and provide new, perpendicular curb ramp, including detectable warning surfaces, and top and bottom landings as required.

- Notes:

Transition from gutter to street surface has a 1.5" ledge. When demolishing curb ramp, recommend renaving as to nrovide smooth transition

| Width of Ramp | (in) | $\mathbf{3 6}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | $\mathbf{1 4 . 8}$ |
| X Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | 2.0 |
| Top Landing Length | (in) | $\mathbf{4 0}$ |
| Top Landing Slope | (\%) | 1.5 |
| Top Landing X Slope | (\%) | 0.9 |
| Left Flare | (\%) | $\mathbf{1 6 . 4}$ |
| Right Flare | (\%) | 8.7 |
| Gutter Slope | (\%) | $\mathbf{7 . 7}$ |
| Gutter Lip | (in) | $\mathbf{0 . 5}$ |
| Grooved Border | (in) | NO |
| Truncated Domes |  | NO |
| Within Crosswalk |  | YES |



Total Costs for Curb Ramps at :


Total Costs for Curb Ramps at :
Fox St. and Fallenleaf Dr.
\$2,800.00


Total Costs for Curb Ramps at :
Fox St. and Hanover Ave.
\$2,800.00

|  | Street ID \# | Survey Street | Street ID \# | Cross Street |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
|  | 23 | FOX ST. | 26 | HANOVER AVE. |
| Orientation | Ramp Type | Existing Access Barrier <br> and Proposed Solution | Codes / Mitigation Info | Measurements |


|  | Street ID \# | Survey Street |  | Street | Cross Street |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 24 | FRONTAGE RD. |  | 37 | OPAL AVE. |  |  |
| Orientation | Ramp Type | Existing Access Barrier and Proposed Solution | Codes | Mitigation Info | Measurements |  |  |
| SE Perpendicular Serving Facility: 113 Heritage Park |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 126 Ramp Slope ${ }^{26}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Running slope of existing perpendicular curb ramp is less than $5 \%$ or greater than $8.3 \%$. |  |  | PCODE | PC03A | Slope of the Ramp X Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | 10.2 1.0 |
|  |  |  | ADAPROW | R303.2.1.1 | Top Landing Length | (in) | 48 |
| - As-is Measurement: 10.2\% |  |  | CSAS | 1127B.5.3 | Top Landing Slope | (\%) | 1.8 |
| As |  |  | ADAAG | 4.7.2; 4.8.2 | Top Landing $\times$ Slope | (\%) | 1.2 |
| - Proposed Solution: |  |  | Unit Cost \$2800.00 |  | Left Flare | (\%) | 13.7 |
| Demolish existing and provide new, perpendicular curb ramp, including detectable warning surfaces, and top and bottom landings as required. |  |  |  |  | Right Flare | (\%) | 13.9 |
|  |  |  | Gutter Slope | (\%) | 7.6 |
|  |  |  | Gutter Lip | (in) | 0.75 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Grooved Border | (in) | 12 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Truncated Domes |  | NO |
|  |  |  |  |  | Within Crosswalk |  | N/A |



## SE Perpendicular Serving Facility: 113 Heritage Park

| 125 | Ramp Slope |
| :--- | :--- |
| - As-Built Description: |  |
| Running slope of existing perpendicular curb ramp is less | PCODE PC03A |
| than 5\% or greater than $8.3 \%$ | ADAPROW R303.2.1.1 |
| - As-is Measurement: $\quad 9.4 \%$ | CSAS |
| 1127B.5.3 |  |

## SW Perpendicular Serving Facility: 113 Heritage Park

## 122 Ramp Slope <br> - As-Built Description:

Cross slope of an existing perpendicular curb ramp exceeds 1:48 (2\%).

- As-is Measurement: 3.2\%
- Proposed Solution:

Demolish existing and provide new, perpendicular curb ramp, including detectable warning surfaces, and top and bottom landings as required.

| Width of Ramp | (in) | 46 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | 7.9 |
| X Slope of the Ramp | $(\%)$ | 3.2 |
| Top Landing Length | (in) | 48 |
| Top Landing Slope | $(\%)$ | 0.6 |
| Top Landing X Slope | $(\%)$ | 0.1 |
| Left Flare | $(\%)$ | 7.5 |
| Right Flare | (\%) | 9.1 |
| Gutter Slope | (\%) | 1.8 |
| Gutter Lip | (in) | $\mathbf{1 . 5}$ |
| Grooved Border | (in) | 12 |
| Truncated Domes |  | NO |
| Within Crosswalk |  | YES |



Total Costs for Curb Ramps at :
Frontage Rd. and
W. Drive Cut
\$11,200.00

| Street ID \# | Survey Street | Street ID \# | Cross Street |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\mathbf{2 4}$ | FRONTAGE RD. | $\mathbf{4 8}$ | W. DRIVE CUT |
| Orientation | Ramp Type | Existing Access Barrier <br> and Proposed Solution | Codes / Mitigation Info | Measurements |




Total Costs for Curb Ramps at :
Hanford-Armona Rd. and Bennington Ave.
\$2,800.00


Total Costs for Curb Ramps at :
Hanford-Armona Rd. and Fox St.
\$2,800.00


|  | Street ID \# | Survey Street |  | Street ID \# | Cross Street |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
|  | 25 | HANFORD-ARMONA RD. | 45 | KINGS MHP |  |
| Orientation | Ramp Type | Existing Access Barrier <br> and Proposed Solution | Codes / Mitigation Info | Measurements |  |


|  | Street ID \# | Survey Street | Street ID \# | Cross Street |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 29 | HILL ST. | 11 | C ST. |  |
| Orientation | Ramp Type | Existing Access Barrier <br> and Proposed Solution | Codes / Mitigation Info | Measurements |

## SE Perpendicular <br> Serving Facility: 104 Civic Auditorium

112 Ramp Landing

- As-Built Description:

Running slope at top landing of existing perpendicular curb ramp exceeds the 1:48 ( $2 \%$ ) maximum.

- As-is Measurement: 3.7\%
- Proposed Solution:

Demolish existing and provide new, perpendicular curb ramp, including detectable warning surfaces, and top and bottom landings as required.

- Notes:

Transition from gutter to street surface has a 1.0 ledge. When demolishing curb ramp, recommend renaving as to nrovide smonth transition

| Width of Ramp | (in) | 48 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | 8.2 |
| X Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | 0.4 |
| Top Landing Length | (in) | 48 |
| Top Landing Slope | (\%) | 3.7 |
| Top Landing X Slope | (\%) | 0.4 |
| Left Flare | (\%) | $\mathbf{1 2 . 8}$ |
| Right Flare | (\%) | $\mathbf{1 2 . 0}$ |
| Gutter Slope | (\%) | $\mathbf{1 1 . 5}$ |
| Gutter Lip | (in) | $\mathbf{0 . 7 5}$ |
| Grooved Border | (in) | 12 |
| Truncated Domes |  | NO |
| Within Crosswalk |  | NO |

Total Costs for Curb Ramps at :
Hill St. and C St.
\$2,800.00

|  | Street ID \# | Survey Street | Street ID \# | Cross Street |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 29 | HILL ST. | 11 | C ST. |
| Orientation | Ramp Type | Existing Access Barrier <br> and Proposed Solution | Codes / Mitigation Info | Measurements |



## SW Perpendicular

155 Ramp Slope

- As-Built Description:

Running slope of existing perpendicular curb ramp is less than $5 \%$ or greater than $8.3 \%$.

- As-is Measurement: 16.0\%
- Proposed Solution: Demolish existing and provide new, perpendicular curb ramp, including detectable warning surfaces, and top and bottom landings as required.

| Width of Ramp | (in) | $\mathbf{4 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | $\mathbf{1 6 . 0}$ |
| X Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | 1.8 |
| Top Landing Length | (in) | $\mathbf{4 2}$ |
| Top Landing Slope | $(\%)$ | 0.4 |
| Top Landing X Slope | (\%) | $\mathbf{2 . 5}$ |
| Left Flare | (\%) | $\mathbf{1 1 . 4}$ |
| Right Flare | (\%) | 9.9 |
| Gutter Slope | (\%) | $\mathbf{8 . 8}$ |
| Gutter Lip | (in) | $\mathbf{0 . 7 5}$ |
| Grooved Border | (in) | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| Truncated Domes |  | NO |
| Within Crosswalk |  | N/A |

Total Costs for Curb Ramps at :
Lemoore Ave. and B St.
\$5,600.00

|  | Street ID \# | Survey Street | Street ID \# |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 31 | LEMOORE AVE. | 9 | BUSH ST. |
| Orientation Street |  |  |  |  |

## ENE Perpendicular

## 151 Ramp Landing

- As-Built Description:

Running slope at top landing of existing perpendicular curb ramp exceeds the 1:48 ( $2 \%$ ) maximum.

| PCODE PC06A |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| ADAPROW | R303.2.1.3 |
| CSAS | 1127B.5.4 |
| ADAAG | 4.8.4 |

- Proposed Solution:

Demolish existing and provide new, perpendicular curb ramp, including detectable warning surfaces, and top and Unit Cost $\mathbf{\$ 2 8 0 0 . 0 0}$ bottom landings as required.

- Notes:

Transition from gutter to street surface has a $0.75^{\prime \prime}$ ledge. When demolishing curb ramn. recommend renaving as to nrovide smonth transition

| Width of Ramp | (in) | 48 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Slope of the Ramp | $(\%)$ | 8.2 |
| X Slope of the Ramp | $(\%)$ | 1.6 |
| Top Landing Length | (in) | $\mathbf{4 6}$ |
| Top Landing Slope | $(\%)$ | $\mathbf{2 . 5}$ |
| Top Landing X Slope | $(\%)$ | 0.1 |
| Left Flare | $(\%)$ | 9.9 |
| Right Flare | $(\%)$ | 9.5 |
| Gutter Slope | (\%) | $\mathbf{5 . 4}$ |
| Gutter Lip | (in) | $\mathbf{0 . 7 5}$ |
| Grooved Border | (in) | 12 |
| Truncated Domes |  | NO |
| Within Crosswalk |  | YES |

## ESE Perpendicular

148 Ramp Slope

- As-Built Description:

Running slope of existing perpendicular curb ramp is less than $5 \%$ or greater than $8.3 \%$.

- As-is Measurement: 12.6\%
- Proposed Solution:

Demolish existing and provide new, perpendicular curb ramp, including detectable warning surfaces, and top and bottom landings as required.

- Notes:

Transition from gutter to street surface has a 1.0 " ledge. When demolishing curb ramp, recommend renaving as to nrovide smonth transition

| PCODE | PC03A |
| ---: | :--- |
| ADAPROW | R303.2.1.1 |
| CSAS | 1127B.5.3 |
| ADAAG | 4.7.2; 4.8.2 |

Unit Cost $\mathbf{\$ 2 8 0 0 . 0 0}$

| Width of Ramp | (in) | 48 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | $\mathbf{1 2 . 6}$ |
| X Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | 1.4 |
| Top Landing Length | (in) | 48 |
| Top Landing Slope | (\%) | 6.3 |
| Top Landing X Slope | (\%) | 1.5 |
| Left Flare | (\%) | $\mathbf{1 1 . 2}$ |
| Right Flare | (\%) | 7.6 |
| Gutter Slope | (\%) | $\mathbf{7 . 8}$ |
| Gutter Lip | (in) | $\mathbf{1 . 0}$ |
| Groued Border | (in) | 12 |
| Truncated Domes |  | NO |
| Within Crosswalk |  | YES |

## NNE Perpendicular

150 Ramp Flare

- As-Built Description:

Slope of flare(s) along curb at perpendicular curb ramp exceed(s) $10 \%$.

| PCODE | PC08A |
| ---: | :--- |
| ADAPROW | R303.2.1.4 |
| CSAS | 1127B.5.3 |

- As-is Measurement: Left: 11.2\% Right: 12.3\%

Proposed Solution:
Demolish existing and provide new, perpendicular curb
Unit Cost $\$ 2800.00$
ramp, including detectable warning surfaces, and top and bottom landings as required.

- Notes:

Transition from gutter to street surface has a $0.75^{\prime \prime}$ ledge. When demolishing curb ramn recommend renavino as to nrovide smonth transition

| Width of Ramp | (in) | $\mathbf{4 7}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | 7.9 |
| X Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | 0.6 |
| Top Landing Length | (in) | 48 |
| Top Landing Slope | (\%) | 1.0 |
| Top Landing X Slope | (\%) | 1.5 |
| Left Flare | (\%) | $\mathbf{1 1 . 2}$ |
| Right Flare | (\%) | $\mathbf{1 2 . 3}$ |
| Gutter Slope | (\%) | $\mathbf{7 . 4}$ |
| Gutter Lip | (in) | $\mathbf{0 . 7 5}$ |
| Grooved Border | (in) | 12 |
| Truncated Domes |  | NO |
| Within Crosswalk |  | YES |


|  | Street ID \# | Survey Street | Street ID \# |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | 31 | LEMOORE AVE. | 9 | BUSH ST. |
| Orientation Street |  |  |  |  |

## NNW Perpendicular

145 Ramp Flare

- As-Built Description:

Slope of flare(s) along curb at perpendicular curb ramp exceed(s) $10 \%$.
PCODE PC08A
ADAPROW R303.2.1.4

- As-is Measurement: Left: 10.9\% Right: 13.5\%
- Proposed Solution:

Demolish existing and provide new, perpendicular curb
Unit Cost $\mathbf{\$ 2 8 0 0 . 0 0}$ ramp, including detectable warning surfaces, and top and bottom landings as required.

- Notes:

Transition from gutter to street surface has a 1.0" ledge. When demolishing curb ramp, recommend renaving as to nrovide smooth transition

| Width of Ramp | (in) | $\mathbf{4 7}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | 8.5 |
| X Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | 1.3 |
| Top Landing Length | (in) | 57 |
| Top Landing Slope | (\%) | 1.1 |
| Top Landing X Slope | (\%) | 0.5 |
| Left Flare | (\%) | 10.9 |
| Right Flare | (\%) | $\mathbf{1 3 . 5}$ |
| Gutter Slope | (\%) | $\mathbf{7 . 5}$ |
| Gutter Lip | (in) | $\mathbf{0 . 7 5}$ |
| Grooved Border | (in) | 12 |
| Truncated Domes |  | NO |
| Within Crosswalk |  | YES |

## SSE Perpendicular

149 Ramp Slope

- As-Built Description:

Running slope of existing perpendicular curb ramp is less than $5 \%$ or greater than $8.3 \%$.

- As-is Measurement: 12.8\%
- Proposed Solution:

Demolish existing and provide new, perpendicular curb ramp, including detectable warning surfaces, and top and bottom landings as required.

- Notes:

Transition from gutter to street surface has a 1.0" ledge. When demolishing curb ramp, recommend renaving as to nrovide smooth transition.

| Width of Ramp | (in) | 48 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Slope of the Ramp | $(\%)$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 8}$ |
| X Slope of the Ramp | $(\%)$ | 0.2 |
| Top Landing Length | (in) | 60 |
| Top Landing Slope | $(\%)$ | $\mathbf{3 . 7}$ |
| Top Landing X Slope | $(\%)$ | 1.5 |
| Left Flare | $(\%)$ | 8.5 |
| Right Flare | (\%) | 7.8 |
| Gutter Slope | (\%) | $\mathbf{8 . 1}$ |
| Gutter Lip | (in) | $\mathbf{1 . 5}$ |
| Grooved Border | (in) | 12 |
| Truncated Domes |  | NO |
| Within Crosswalk |  | YES |

## SSW Perpendicular

146 Ramp Slope
Running slope of existing perpendicular curb ramp is less than $5 \%$ or greater than $8.3 \%$.

- As-is Measurement: 9.0\%
- Proposed Solution:

Demolish existing and provide new, perpendicular curb ramp, including detectable warning surfaces, and top and bottom landings as required.

- Notes:

Transition from gutter to street surface has a $1.0^{\prime \prime}$ ledge. When demolishing curb ramp, recommend renaving as to nrovide smonth transition

| Width of Ramp | (in) | 48 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | 9.0 |
| X Slope of the Ramp | $(\%)$ | 1.0 |
| Top Landing Length | (in) | 48 |
| Top Landing Slope | (\%) | 1.4 |
| Top Landing X Slope | (\%) | 0.5 |
| Left Flare | (\%) | $\mathbf{1 1 . 0}$ |
| Right Flare | (\%) | 9.9 |
| Gutter Slope | (\%) | $\mathbf{5 . 8}$ |
| Gutter Lip | (in) | $\mathbf{1 . 0}$ |
| Grooved Border | (in) | 12 |
| Truncated Domes |  | NO |
| Within Crosswalk |  | YES |


|  | Street ID \# | Survey Street | Street ID \# |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | 31 | LEMOORE AVE. | 9 | BUSH ST. |
| Orientation Street |  |  |  |  |

## WNW Perpendicular

144 Ramp Flare
Slope of flare(s) along curb at perpendicular curb ramp exceed(s) $10 \%$.

- As-is Measurement: Left: 12.7\% Right: 11.5\%
- Proposed Solution:

Demolish existing and provide new, perpendicular curb ramp, including detectable warning surfaces, and top and bottom landings as required.

- Notes:

Transition from gutter to street surface has a 1.0 ledge. When demolishing curb ramp, recommend renaving as to nrovide smooth transition


## WSW Perpendicular

## 147 Gutter <br> - As-Built Description:

The slope of the gutter area or street at the foot of a curb ramp or blended transition exceeds 1:20 (5\%) in the direction of the pedestrian crossing.

- As-is Measurement: 8.7\%

|  |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| PCODE | PC70D |
| ADAPROW | R303.3.5 |
| CSAS | 1127B.5.3 |
| ADAAG | 4.7 .2 |

- Proposed Solution:

Demolish gutter or street area as required and provide $48 "$ x 48 " area at foot of curb ramp or blended transition with slope no greater than $5 \%$.

- Notes:

Transition from gutter to street surface has a 1.0 ledge. When demolishing curb ramp, recommend renaving as to nrovide smonth transition.

| Width of Ramp | (in) | $\mathbf{4 7}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | 8.3 |
| X Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | 0.4 |
| Top Landing Length | (in) | 51 |
| Top Landing Slope | $(\%)$ | 1.7 |
| Top Landing X Slope | (\%) | 1.8 |
| Left Flare | (\%) | $\mathbf{1 1 . 0}$ |
| Right Flare | (\%) | 9.7 |
| Gutter Slope | (\%) | $\mathbf{8 . 7}$ |
| Gutter Lip | (in) | $\mathbf{1 . 0}$ |
| Grooved Border | (in) | 12 |
| Truncated Domes |  | NO |
| Within Crosswalk |  | YES |

Within Crosswalk
YES

|  | Street ID \# | Survey Street |  | Street | Cross Street |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 31 | LEMOORE AVE. |  | 11 | C ST. |  |  |
| Orientation | Ramp Type | Existing Access Barrier and Proposed Solution | Codes / | Mitigation Info | Measurements |  |  |
| SW Perpendicular |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 156 Ramp Landing $\quad$ Width of Ramp (in) 44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - As-Built Description: |  |  |  |  | Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | 8.3 |
| Running slope at top landing of existing perpendicular curb ramp exceeds the 1:48 (2\%) maximum. |  |  |  |  | X Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | 0.5 |
|  |  |  |  | R303.2.1.3 | Top Landing Length | (in) | 42 |
| - As-is Measurement: 2.9\% |  |  | CSAS | 1127B.5.4 | Top Landing Slope | (\%) | 2.9 |
|  |  |  | ADAAG | 4.8.4 | Top Landing X Slope | (\%) | 0.5 |
| - Proposed Solution: |  |  |  |  | Left Flare | (\%) | 7.3 |
| Demolish existing and provide new, perpendicular curb |  |  | Unit Cost | \$2800.00 | Right Flare | (\%) | 7.0 |
|  | , including de | table warning surfaces, and top and |  |  | Gutter Slope | (\%) | 9.3 |
| bottom landings as required. |  |  |  |  | Gutter Lip | (in) | 1.0 |
| Transition from gutter to street surface has a $1.75^{\prime \prime}$ ledge. When demolishing curb ramn. recommend renaving as to nrovide smonth transition |  |  |  |  | Grooved Border | (in) | NO |
|  |  |  |  |  | Truncated Domes Within Crosswalk |  | NO $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |


| Street ID \# | Survey Street | Street ID \# | Cross Street |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 31 | LEMOORE AVE. | 14 | CINNAMON DR. |
| Orientation | Ramp Type | Existing Access Barrier <br> and Proposed Solution | Codes / Mitigation Info | Measurements |

## NE Perpendicular

181 Ramp Slope

- As-Built Description:

Cross slope of an existing perpendicular curb ramp exceeds 1:48 (2\%).

ADAPROW R303.2.1.2

- As-is Measurement: 3.7\%

ADAAG 4.8.6

- Proposed Solution:

Demolish existing and provide new, perpendicular curb ramp, including detectable warning surfaces, and top and bottom landings as required.

| Width of Ramp | (in) | 48 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | 6.5 |
| X Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | 3.7 |
| Top Landing Length | (in) | 130 |
| Top Landing Slope | (\%) | 1.9 |
| Top Landing X Slope | (\%) | $\mathbf{2 . 9}$ |
| Left Flare | (\%) | 9.3 |
| Right Flare | (\%) | 5.8 |
| Gutter Slope | (\%) | 3.0 |
| Gutter Lip | (in) | 0 |
| Grooved Border | (in) | 12 |
| Truncated Domes |  | YES |
| Within Crosswalk |  | YES |
|  |  |  |

## NW Perpendicular

178 Ramp Slope

- As-Built Description:

Running slope of existing perpendicular curb ramp is less than $5 \%$ or greater than $8.3 \%$.

- As-is Measurement: 10.8\%
- Proposed Solution:

Demolish existing and provide new, perpendicular curb ramp, including detectable warning surfaces, and top and bottom landings as required.

| Width of Ramp | (in) | 48 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | $\mathbf{1 0 . 8}$ |
| X Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | 1.2 |
| Top Landing Length | (in) | 65 |
| Top Landing Slope | (\%) | $\mathbf{2 . 5}$ |
| Top Landing X Slope | (\%) | 0.7 |
| Left Flare | (\%) | $\mathbf{1 1 . 8}$ |
| Right Flare | (\%) | $\mathbf{1 0 . 1}$ |
| Gutter Slope | (\%) | $\mathbf{9 . 6}$ |
| Gutter Lip | (in) | 0 |
| Groved Border | (in) | 12 |
| Truncated Domes |  | NO |
| Within Crosswalk |  | YES |

## SE Perpendicular

180 Ramp Flare
Slope of flare(s) along curb at perpendicular curb ramp exceed(s) $10 \%$.

- As-is Measurement: Left: 15.8\% Right: 21.3\%
- Proposed Solution:

Demolish existing and provide new, perpendicular curb ramp, including detectable warning surfaces, and top and bottom landings as required.

- Notes:

Transition from gutter to street surface has a 1.0 " ledge. When demolishing curb ramp, recommend renaving as to nrovide smonth transition

| Width of Ramp | (in) | $\mathbf{4 6}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | 8.1 |
| X Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | $\mathbf{2 . 5}$ |
| Top Landing Length | (in) | 64 |
| Top Landing Slope | (\%) | 0.9 |
| Top Landing X Slope | (\%) | $\mathbf{3 . 0}$ |
| Left Flare | (\%) | $\mathbf{1 5 . 8}$ |
| Right Flare | (\%) | $\mathbf{2 1 . 3}$ |
| Gutter Slope | (\%) | $\mathbf{1 0 . 9}$ |
| Gutter Lip | (in) | $\mathbf{0 . 7 5}$ |
| Grooved Border | (in) | 12 |
| Truncated Domes |  | NO |
| Within Crosswalk |  | YES |


|  | Street ID \# | Survey Street |  | Street | Cross Street |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 31 | LEMOORE AVE. |  | 14 | CINNAMON |  |  |
| Orientation | Ramp Type | Existing Access Barrier and Proposed Solution | Codes | Mitigation Inf | Measurements |  |  |
| SW Perpendicular |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 179 Ramp Slope Width of Remp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - As-Built Description: |  |  | PCODE PC03A |  | Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | 11.6 |
| than $5 \%$ or greater than $8.3 \%$. |  |  | ADAPROW R303.2.1.1 |  | X Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | 0.7 |
|  |  |  | Top Landing Length | (in) | 75 |
| - As-is Measurement: 11.6\% |  |  |  |  | CSAS | 1127B.5.3 | Top Landing Slope | (\%) | 0.2 |
|  |  |  | ADAAG | 4.7.2; 4.8.2 | Top Landing X Slope | (\%) | 0.2 |
| - Proposed Solution: |  |  | Unit Cost \$2800.00 |  | Left Flare | (\%) | 12.7 |
| Demolish existing and provide new, perpendicular curb |  |  |  |  | Right Flare | (\%) | 7.4 |
| bottom landings as required. |  |  |  |  | Gutter Slope | (\%) | 7.5 |
|  |  |  | Gutter Lip | (in) | 1.0 |
| - Notes: |  |  |  |  |  |  | Grooved Border | (in) | 12 |
| Transition from gutter to street surface has a $0.75^{\prime \prime}$ ledge. When demolishing curb ramn recommend renavino as to nrovide smonth transition |  |  |  |  | Truncated Domes Within Crosswalk |  | NO |


| Street ID \# Survey Street | Street ID | Cross Street |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31 LEMOORE AVE. | 15 | CLUB DR. |  |  |
| Orientation Ramp Type $\begin{aligned} & \text { Existing Access Barrier } \\ & \text { and Proposed Solution }\end{aligned}$ | Codes / Mitigation Info | Measurements |  |  |
| SE Perpendicular |  |  |  |  |
| 177 Ramp Landing (in) 48 |  |  |  |  |
| - As-Built Description: |  | Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | 7.7 |
| Top landing at existing perpendicular curb ramp is less than $48^{\prime \prime}$ x 48" ( $60^{\prime \prime}$ length x ramp width preferred). | PCODE PC05A | X Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | 1.6 |
|  |  | Top Landing Length | (in) | 40 |
| - As-is Measurement: 40" | CSAS 1127B.5.4 | Top Landing Slope | (\%) | 2.4 |
|  | ADAAG 4.8.4(1) | Top Landing X Slope | (\%) | 0.5 |
| - Proposed Solution: |  | Left Flare | (\%) | 9.7 |
| Demolish existing and provide new, perpendicular curb | Unit Cost \$2800.00 | Right Flare | (\%) | 9.1 |
| ramp, including detectable warning surfaces, and top and | Unit Cost \$2800.00 | Gutter Slope | (\%) | 11.1 |
| bottom landings as required. |  | Gutter Lip | (in) | 0.75 |
| - Notes: <br> Transition from gutter to street surface has a 2.0 " ledge. When demolishing curb ramp, recommend renaving as to nrovide smonth transition. |  | Grooved Border | (in) | 12 |
|  |  | Truncated Domes Within Crosswalk |  | NO |

Total Costs for Curb Ramps at :

|  | Street ID \# | Survey Street | Street ID \# | Cross Street |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 31 | LEMOORE AVE. | 18 | DEVON DR. |  |
| Orientation | Ramp Type | Existing Access Barrier <br> and Proposed Solution | Codes / Mitigation Info | Measurements |

## NW Perpendicular

175 Ramp Transition

- As-Built Description:

A vertical level change exceeds $1 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ on a curb ramp, landing, blended transition, or gutter area within the pedestrian access route.

## ADAPROW R301.5.2

- As-is Measurement: 1.0"
- Proposed Solution:

Unit Cost $\$ \mathbf{1 5 0 0 . 0 0}$
Demolish elements (ramps, landings, routes, gutters) as required and provide new surface not exceeding $1 / 4^{\prime \prime}$.

- Notes:

Transition from gutter to street surface has a 0.75 " ledge. When demolishing curb ramn. recommend renaving as to nrovide smonth transition

| Width of Ramp | (in) | 49 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | 6.6 |
| X Slope of the Ramp | $(\%)$ | 0.7 |
| Top Landing Length | (in) | 48 |
| Top Landing Slope | $(\%)$ | $\mathbf{2 . 3}$ |
| Top Landing X Slope | $(\%)$ | 0.2 |
| Left Flare | (\%) | 7.0 |
| Right Flare | (\%) | 7.4 |
| Gutter Slope | (\%) | $\mathbf{6 . 2}$ |
| Gutter Lip | (in) | $\mathbf{1 . 0}$ |
| Grooved Border | (in) | 12 |
| Truncated Domes |  | NO |
| Within Crosswalk |  | N/A |

## SW Perpendicular

176 Gutter

- As-Built Description:

The slope of the gutter area or street at the foot of a curb ramp or blended transition exceeds 1:20 (5\%) in the direction of the pedestrian crossing.

- As-is Measurement: 9.9\%
- Proposed Solution:

Demolish gutter or street area as required and provide $48 "$ x 48 " area at foot of curb ramp or blended transition with slope no greater than $5 \%$.

- Notes:

Transition from gutter to street surface has a $0.75^{\prime \prime}$ ledge. When demolishing curb ramn. recommend renaving as to nrovide smonth transition

Total Costs for Curb Ramps at :
Lemoore Ave. and Devon Dr.
\$3,000.00

| Street ID \# Survey Street | Street ID \# | Cross Street |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31 LEMOORE AVE. | 36 | OLEANDER |  |  |
| Orientation Ramp Type $\begin{aligned} & \text { Existing Access Barrier } \\ & \text { and Proposed Solution }\end{aligned}$ | Codes / Mitigation Info | Measurements |  |  |
| SE Perpendicular |  |  |  |  |
| 143 Ramp Landing |  | Widh of Ramp (in) 47 |  |  |
| - As-Built Description: | PCODE PC05A ADAPROW R303.2.1.3 | Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | 8.3 |
| Top landing at existing perpendicular curb ramp is less than $48^{\prime \prime}$ x 48" ( 60 " length x ramp width preferred). |  | X Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | 1.7 |
|  | ADAPROW R303.2.1.3 | Top Landing Length | (in) | 42 |
| - As-is Measurement: 42" | CSAS 1127B.5.4 | Top Landing Slope | (\%) | 0.8 |
|  | ADAAG 4.8.4(1) | Top Landing X Slope | (\%) | 2.2 |
| - Proposed Solution: <br> Demolish existing and provide new, perpendicular curb ramp, including detectable warning surfaces, and top and bottom landings as required. | Unit Cost \$2800.00 | Left Flare | (\%) | 10.0 |
|  |  | Right Flare | (\%) | 6.1 |
|  |  | Gutter Slope | (\%) | 6.0 |
|  |  | Gutter Lip | (in) | 0.75 |
|  |  | Grooved Border | (in) | 12 |
|  |  | Truncated Domes |  | NO |
|  |  | Within Crosswalk |  | N/A |

[^0]Lemoore Ave. and Oleander Ave.
\$2,800.00

|  | Street ID \# | Survey Street | Street ID \# |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 31 | LEMOORE AVE. | 42 | WASHINGTON DR. |
| Orientation | Ramp Type | Existing Access Barrier <br> and Proposed Solution | Codes / Mitigation Info | Measurements |

## NW Perpendicular

152 Ramp Slope

- As-Built Description:
Running slope of existing perpendicular curb ramp is less than $5 \%$ or greater than $8.3 \%$.
- As-is Measurement: 13.2\%
- Proposed Solution:

Demolish existing and provide new, perpendicular curb ramp, including detectable warning surfaces, and top and bottom landings as required.

|  |  | Width of Ramp | (in) | 42 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PCODE | PC03A | Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | 13.2 |
| PCODE |  | X Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | 2.8 |
| ADAPROW | R303.2.1.1 | Top Landing Length | (in) | 32 |
| CSAS | 1127B.5.3 | Top Landing Slope | (\%) | 1.3 |
| ADAAG | 4.7.2; 4.8.2 | Top Landing X Slope | (\%) | 2.6 |
|  |  | Left Flare | (\%) | 14.2 |
| Unit Cost | \$2800.00 | Right Flare | (\%) | 13.1 |
| Unit Cost |  | Gutter Slope | (\%) | 4.0 |
|  |  | Gutter Lip | (in) | 0.75 |
|  |  | Grooved Border | (in) | NO |
|  |  | Truncated Domes |  | NO |
|  |  | Within Crosswalk |  | N/A |

## SW Perpendicular

153 Ramp Slope

- As-Built Description:

Running slope of existing perpendicular curb ramp is less than $5 \%$ or greater than $8.3 \%$.

- As-is Measurement: 12.4\%
- Proposed Solution:

Demolish existing and provide new, perpendicular curb ramp, including detectable warning surfaces, and top and bottom landings as required.

|  |  | Width of Ramp | (in) | 45 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PCODE | PC | Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | 12.4 |
|  |  | X Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | 1.5 |
| ADAPROW | R303.2.1.1 | Top Landing Length | (in) | 28 |
| CSAS | 1127B.5.3 | Top Landing Slope | (\%) | 3.0 |
| ADAAG | 4.7.2; 4.8.2 | Top Landing X Slope | (\%) | 1.6 |
|  |  | Left Flare | (\%) | 7.3 |
| Unit Cost | \$2800.00 | Right Flare | (\%) | 10.9 |
| Un |  | Gutter Slope | (\%) | 1.6 |
|  |  | Gutter Lip | (in) | 0.75 |
|  |  | Grooved Border | (in) | NO |
|  |  | Truncated Domes |  | NO |
|  |  | Within Crosswalk |  | N/A |

Total Costs for Curb Ramps at :
Lemoore Ave. and Washington Dr.
\$5,600.00

| Street ID \# | Survey Street | Street ID \# | Cross Street |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 31 | LEMOORE AVE. | 42 | WASHINGTON DR. |
| Orientation | Ramp Type | Existing Access Barrier <br> and Proposed Solution | Codes / Mitigation Info | Measurements |


|  | Street ID \# | Survey Street | Street ID \# | Cross Street |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Orientation | 34 | LOMBARDY LN. | 39 | SKAGGS ST. |

## SW Perpendicular

183 Ramp Landing

- As-Built Description:

Running slope at top landing of existing perpendicular curb ramp exceeds the 1:48 ( $2 \%$ ) maximum.

PCODE PC06A
ADAPROW R303.2.1.3
CSAS 1127B.5.4
ADAAG 4.8.4

- Proposed Solution:

Demolish existing and provide new, perpendicular curb ramp, including detectable warning surfaces, and top and bottom landings as required.

| Width of Ramp | (in) | 43 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | 9.6 |
| X Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | 1.8 |
| Top Landing Length | (in) | $\mathbf{3 2}$ |
| Top Landing Slope | (\%) | 6.5 |
| Top Landing X Slope | (\%) | $\mathbf{5 . 0}$ |
| Left Flare | (\%) | 15.9 |
| Right Flare | (\%) | 9.6 |
| Gutter Slope | (\%) | $\mathbf{6 . 8}$ |
| Gutter Lip | (in) | $\mathbf{1 . 0}$ |
| Grooved Border | (in) | 12 |
| Truncated Domes |  | NO |
| Within Crosswalk |  | N/A |


|  | Street ID \# | Survey Street | Street ID \# | Cross Street |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 34 | LOMBARDY LN. | 41 | VINE ST. |  |
| Orientation | Ramp Type | Existing Access Barrier <br> and Proposed Solution | Codes / Mitigation Info | Measurements |

## NE Perpendicular

| Ramp Flare <br> - As-Built Description: |  |  | Width of Ramp | (in) | 40 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | 9.6 |
| Slope of flare(s) along curb at perpendicular curb ramp | PCODE |  | X Slope of the Ramp | (\%) | 0.4 |
| exceed(s) $10 \%$. |  | R303.2.1.4 | Top Landing Length | (in) | 48 |
| - As-is Measurement: Left: 16.2\% Right: 24.8\% | CSAS | 1127B.5.3 | Top Landing Slope | (\%) | 2.6 |
|  |  | \$2800.00 | Top Landing X Slope | (\%) | 0.1 |
| - Proposed Solution: | Unit Cost |  | Left Flare | (\%) | 16.2 |
| Demolish existing and provide new, perpendicular curb |  |  | Right Flare | (\%) | 24.8 |
| ramp, including detectable warning surfaces, and top and |  |  | Gutter Slope | (\%) | 4.3 |
| bottom landings as required. |  |  | Gutter Lip | (in) | 0.5 |
|  |  |  | Grooved Border | (in) | 12 |
|  |  |  | Truncated Domes |  | NO |
|  |  |  | Within Crosswalk |  | YES |


| Street ID \# | Survey Street | Street ID \# | Cross Street |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | 34 | LOMBARDY LN. | 41 | VINE ST. |
| Orientation | Ramp Type | Existing Access Barrier <br> and Proposed Solution | Codes / Mitigation Info | Measurements |




## Access Compliant Report Format



| 1. | Locator Number: Orientation: | Corresponds to the unique database record (one locator number per record). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. |  | Corresponds to the specific corner in any given intersection, unique to each corner |
|  |  | -NW, SW, SE, and NE are the most commonly used directions; |
|  |  | -NWN, NWS, SWN, SWS, SEN, SES, NEN, and NES identify individual pedestrian signal system in situations involving multiple signal systems at one corner; <br> - I-NW, I-NE, I-SW, I-SE, etc, identify pedestrian signal systems located on medians and pedestrian refuge |
| 3. | Specific Item: | Category of accessible feature in which the barrier belongs. islands. |
| 4. | As-Built Description: | Description of as-built barrier based on applicable accessibility codes. |
| 5. | As-is Measurement: | Existing condition/dimension featured on the signal system measured as the most severe barrier on the particular signal system. |
| 6. | Survey Street: | Arterial/Primary street name with corresponding unique street identification number. |
| 7. | Proposed Solution: | Description of steps necessary to remove barrier and, if applicable, an interim solution or notes. |
| 8. | Codes / Info: | -PCODE: specifies the relevant SSA database code. Database code plus suffix. |
|  |  | -ADAPROW: US Access Board Draft Guidelines for accessible public rights-of-way. |
|  |  | -CSAS: California State Accessibility Standards. |
|  |  | -ADDAG: Americans with Disability Act Accessibility Guidelines. |
| 9. | Unit Cost: | Estimated cost specific solution per one unit. (The final cost of barrier removal may exceed this estimate based on the year of mitigation, design approach and chosen method of mitigation) |
| 10. | Cross Street: | Cross/intersecting street name with corresponding unique street identification number. |
| 11. | Pedestrian Signal Features: | Features of the pedestrian signal system measured to determine accessibility. |
| 12. | Measurements: | Existing condition/dimension determined for each pedestrian signal system. |
|  |  | -(in) measurement in inches |
|  |  | -(\%) measurement in percentage grade |
|  |  | -BOLD text indicate non-compliant dimensions. |
|  |  | -Normal text indicate compliant dimensions |
| 13. | Street ID Number: | Identifies street on which given intersection occurs. |


| ADA | Americans with Disabilities Act | MOD | Modernization project |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ADAAG | ADA Accessibility Guidelines | MoM | Method of mitigation |
| ADACO | ADA-Coordinator | MP | Master priority |
| AFF | Above finished floor | MRR | Men's restroom |
| C.T.P. | Contact third party | N | North |
| CA | State of California | N.A.R. | No action required |
| CDD | Community Development Director | NE | Northeast |
| cl | Center line | NT | Non-typical |
| CMGR | City Manager | NW | Northwest |
| CP | Chief of Police | NWn | Northwest: North side |
| CSAS | CA State Accessibility Standards | NWs | Northwest: South side |
| D.A. | Designated accessible | o.c. | On center |
| Dep. | Deputy | O/R | Official responsible |
| Dept. Rep | Department representative | P.A. | Physical alteration |
| DF | Drinking fountain | P.M. | Program modification |
| DH | Department Head | POT | Path of travel |
| Dir. | Director | PROW | Public Right of Way |
| E | East | PTD | Paper towel dispenser |
| E.D. | Executive Director | PWD | Public Works Director |
| E.F. | Equivalent facilitation | Qty | Quantity |
| F-B-F | Facility-Building-Floor | REF | Reference |
| FC | Fire Chief | S | South |
| FD | Finance Director | SCD | Seat cover dispenser |
| Fig. | Figure | SD | Soap dispenser |
| FM\&O | Facilities, Maintenance \& Operations | Sec. | Second |
| FND | Feminine napkin dispenser | Sec. | Section |
| FTD | Feminine tampon dispenser | SE | Southeast |
| Gov. | Government | SF | Square foot |
| HQ | Headquarters | SW | Southwest |
| JOB | per one job (lump sum) | TBD | To be determined |
| Lab | Laboratory | up | Ramp or stair direction up |
| Lav | Lavatory | W | West |
| lbs | Pounds | WC | Water Closet |
| LF | Linear foot | WRR | Women's Restroom |
|  |  |  |  |



| Total Cost for Street: \# 16 D St. |  |  | \$21,000.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection \#: | Intersection: | Corner: |  |
| 16.31 | D St. and Lemoore Ave. |  | \$21,000.00 |
|  |  | ENE | \$2,100.00 |
|  |  | ESE | \$2,700.00 |
|  |  | NNE | \$2,700.00 |
|  |  | NNW | \$2,700.00 |
|  |  | SSE | \$2,700.00 |
|  |  | ssw | \$2,700.00 |
|  |  | WNW | \$2,700.00 |
|  |  | wsw | \$2,700.00 |
| Total Cost for Street: \# 31 Lemoore Ave. |  |  | \$51,200.00 |
| Intersection \#: | Intersection: | Corner: |  |
| 31.9 | Lemoore Ave. and Bush St. |  | \$30,600.00 |
|  |  | ENE | \$3,900.00 |
|  |  | ESE | \$3,900.00 |
|  |  | NNE | \$3,900.00 |
|  |  | NNW | \$3,900.00 |
|  |  | SSE | \$3,900.00 |
|  |  | ssw | \$3,900.00 |
|  |  | WNW | \$3,300.00 |
|  |  | wsw | \$3,900.00 |
| 31.14 | Lemoore Ave. and Cinnamon Dr. |  | \$20,600.00 |
|  |  | ENE | \$2,200.00 |
|  |  | ESE | \$2,700.00 |
|  |  | NNE | \$2,200.00 |
|  |  | NNW | \$2,700.00 |
|  |  | SSE | \$2,700.00 |
|  |  | ssw | \$2,700.00 |
|  |  | WNW | \$2,700.00 |
|  |  | wsw | \$2,700.00 |

Total Cost for PROW - Pedestrian Signals:
\$72,200.00


| Street ID \# Survey Street | Street ID \# | Cross Street |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 DST. | 31 | LEMOORE AVE. |  |
| Orientation Existing Access Barrier <br> and Proposed Solution | Codes / Mitigation Info | Measurements |  |
| ENE Pedestrian Signal |  |  |  |
| 124 • As-Built Description: <br> The pedestrian pushbutton do not incorporate a locator tone at the pushbutton. | $\begin{gathered} \text { PCODE PA43 } \\ \text { ADAPROW R306.3.2 } \end{gathered}$ | Accessible Path $(y / n)$ <br> Clear Floor Space $(y / n)$ <br> Clear Floor Slope $(\%)$ <br> Clear Floor X Slope $(\%)$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { YES } \\ \text { YES } \\ 0.5 \\ 0.5 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| - Proposed Solution: |  | Button Ht. (in) | 41 |
| Provide a locator tone at the pedestrian pushbutton. |  | Button Reach (in) | 0 |
| Provide a locator tone at the pedestrian pushbutton. | Unit Cost \$2100.00 | Button Diameter (in) | 2 |
| - Notes: |  | Button Pressure (lbs) | 1 |
| Also provide visual contrast, contrasting color bands and |  | Closed Fist Operation (y/n) | YES |
| audible walk indicator. |  | Visual Contrast (y/n) | NO |
|  |  | Contrasting Color Bands (y/n) | NO |
|  |  | Audible Walk Indicator (y/n) | NO |
|  |  | Button Locator Tone (y/n) | NO |
|  |  | Directional Info (y/n) | YES |

## ESE Clear Floor Space

121 • As-Built Description:
The cross slope of the floor or ground surface at the pedestrian signal device exceed 1:48 (2\%).

- As-is Measurement: 3.0\%
- Proposed Solution:

Modify or repave the ground surface as necessary to provide slope(s) not exceeding the required 1:48 (2\%) maximum in any direction.

- Notes:

Also provide visual contrast, contrasting color bands, audible walk indicator and button locator tone.

| PCODE | PA19B |
| ---: | :--- |
| ADAPROW | R306.2.2 |
| CSAS | 1118B.4.1 |
| ADAAG | 4.3.7 |
| Unit Cost | $\$ 2700.00$ |

## NNE Clear Floor Space

123 • As-Built Description:
The slope of the floor or ground surface at the pedestrian signal device exceed 1:48 (2\%).

- As-is Measurement: 3.5\%
- Proposed Solution:

Modify or repave the ground surface as necessary to provide slope(s) not exceeding the required 1:48 (2\%) maximum in any direction.

- Notes:

Also provide visual contrast, contrasting color bands, audible walk indicator and button locator tone.

| Accessible Path | $(y / n)$ | YES |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Clear Floor Space | $(y / n)$ | YES |
| Clear Floor Slope | $(\%)$ | $\mathbf{2 . 4}$ |
| Clear Floor X Slope | $(\%)$ | $\mathbf{3 . 0}$ |
| Button Ht. | (in) | 41 |
| Button Reach | (in) | 0 |
| Button Diameter | (in) | 2 |
| Button Pressure | (lbs) | 1 |
| Closed Fist Operation | $(y / n)$ | YES |
| Visual Contrast | $(y / n)$ | NO |
| Contrasting Color Bands | $(y / n)$ | NO |
| Audible Walk Indicator | $(y / n)$ | NO |
| Button Locator Tone | $(y / n)$ | NO |
| Directional Info | $(y / n)$ | YES |


| PCODE ADAPROW | PA19A | Accessible Path | ( $\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n}$ ) | YES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Clear Floor Space | (y/n) | YES |
|  | R306.2.2 | Clear Floor Slope | (\%) | 3.5 |
| CSAS | 1118B.4.1 | Clear Floor X Slope | (\%) | 0.5 |
| ADAAG | 4.3.7 | Button Ht. | (in) | 41 |
|  |  | Button Reach | (in) | 0 |
| Unit Cost | \$2700.00 | Button Diameter | (in) | 2 |
|  | \$2700.00 | Button Pressure | (lbs) | 1 |
|  |  | Closed Fist Operation | (y/n) | YES |
|  |  | Visual Contrast | (y/n) | NO |
|  |  | Contrasting Color Bands | (y/n) | NO |
|  |  | Audible Walk Indicator | (y/n) | NO |
|  |  | Button Locator Tone | ( $\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n}$ ) | No |
|  |  | Directional Info | (y/n) | YES |


| Street ID \# Survey Street | Street ID \# | Cross Street |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 DST . | 31 | LEMOORE AVE. |  |
| Orientation Existing Access Barrier <br> and Proposed Solution | Codes / Mitigation Info | Measurements |  |
| NNW Clear Floor Space |  |  |  |
| 118 • As-Built Description: <br> The slope of the floor or ground surface at the pedestrian signal device exceed 1:48 (2\%). <br> - As-is Measurement: 5.9\% <br> - Proposed Solution: <br> Modify or repave the ground surface as necessary to provide slope(s) not exceeding the required 1:48 (2\%) maximum in any direction. <br> - Notes: <br> Also provide visual contrast, contrasting color bands, audible walk indicator and button locator tone. | PCODE PA19A <br> ADAPROW R306.2.2 <br> CSAS 1118B.4.1 <br> ADAAG 4.3.7 <br> Unit Cost $\mathbf{\$ 2 7 0 0 . 0 0}$ | Accessible Path $(y / n)$ <br> Clear Floor Space $(y / n)$ <br> Clear Floor Slope $(\%)$ <br> Clear Floor X Slope $(\%)$ <br> Button Ht. (in) <br> Button Reach (in) <br> Button Diameter (in) <br> Button Pressure $(\mathrm{lbs})$ <br> Closed Fist Operation $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ <br> Visual Contrast $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ <br> Contrasting Color Bands $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ <br> Audible Walk Indicator $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ <br> Button Locator Tone $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ <br> Directional Info $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | YES <br> YES <br> 5.9 <br> 0.3 <br> 41 <br> 0 <br> 2 <br> 1 <br> YES <br> NO <br> NO <br> NO <br> NO <br> YES |

## SSE Clear Floor Space

122 • As-Built Description:
The slope of the floor or ground surface at the pedestrian signal device exceed 1:48 (2\%).

| PCODE | PA19A |
| ---: | :--- |
| ADAPROW | R306.2.2 |
| CSAS | 1118B.4.1 |
| ADAAG | 4.3.7 |

- Proposed Solution:

Modify or repave the ground surface as necessary to provide slope(s) not exceeding the required 1:48 (2\%) maximum in any direction.

- Notes:

Also provide visual contrast, contrasting color bands, audible walk indicator and button locator tone.

| Accessible Path | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | YES |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Clear Floor Space | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | YES |
| Clear Floor Slope | $(\%)$ | 3.4 |
| Clear Floor X Slope | $(\%)$ | 1.8 |
| Button Ht. | (in) | 43 |
| Button Reach | (in) | 0 |
| Button Diameter | (in) | 2 |
| Button Pressure | $(\mathrm{lbs})$ | 1 |
| Closed Fist Operation | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | YES |
| Visual Contrast | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | NO |
| Contrasting Color Bands | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | NO |
| Audible Walk Indicator | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | NO |
| Button Locator Tone | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | NO |
| Directional Info | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | YES |

## SSW Pedestrian Signal

119 • As-Built Description:
A crosswalk with pedestrian signal indication does not have the audible signal device integrated into the signal device.

- As-is Measurement: 2.4\%
- Proposed Solution:

Integrate the audible signal device with the pedestrian pushbutton.

- Notes:

Also provide visual contrast, contrasting color bands, audible walk indicator and button locator tone.


## WS Clear Floor Space

120 • As-Built Description:
Clear floor or ground space at the pedestrian signal device is less than the required 30 " x $48^{\prime \prime}$ minimum.

- Proposed Solution:

Provide the required 30 " x 48" minimum clear floor or ground space at the pedestrian signal device.

- Notes:

Also provide visual contrast, contrasting color bands, audible walk indicator and button locator tone.

| PCODE ADAPROW | PA20 | Accessible Path | (y/n) | YES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Clear Floor Space | (y/n) | NO |
|  | R306.2.2 | Clear Floor Slope | (\%) | n/a |
| CSAS | 1118B.4.1 | Clear Floor X Slope | (\%) | n/a |
| ADAAG | 4.2.4.1 | Button Ht. | (in) | 27 |
|  |  | Button Reach | (in) | 0 |
| Unit Cost | \$2700.00 | Button Diameter | (in) | 2 |
|  |  | Button Pressure | (lbs) | 1 |
|  |  | Closed Fist Operation | (y/n) | YES |
|  |  | Visual Contrast | (y/n) | NO |
|  |  | Contrasting Color Bands |  | NO |
|  |  | Audible Walk Indicator | (y/n) | NO |
|  |  | Button Locator Tone | (y/n) | NO |
|  |  | Directional Info | ( $\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n}$ ) | YES |

D St. and Lemoore Ave.
\$21,000.00
Street ID \# Survey Street $\quad$ Street ID \# Cross Street

|  | $\mathbf{1 6}$ | D ST. | 31 | LEMOORE AVE. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Orientation | Existing Access Barrier <br> and Proposed Solution | Codes / Mitigation Info | Measurements |  |



## ESE Clear Floor Space

113 • As-Built Description:
Clear floor or ground space at the pedestrian signal device is less than the required 30 " x $48^{\prime \prime}$ minimum.

- Proposed Solution:

Provide the required 30" x 48" minimum clear floor or ground space at the pedestrian signal device.

- Notes:

Also provide closed fist operation, visual contrast, contrasting color bands, audible walk indicator and button locator tone.

| PCODE | PA20 |
| ---: | :--- |
| ADAPROW | R306.2.2 |
| CSAS | 1118B.4.1 |
| ADAAG | 4.2 .4 .1 |
| Unit Cost | $\$ 3900.00$ |


| Accessible Path | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | YES |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Clear Floor Space | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | NO |
| Clear Floor Slope | $(\%)$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Clear Floor X Slope | $(\%)$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Button Ht. | (in) | 46 |
| Button Reach | (in) | 0 |
| Button Diameter | (in) | $\mathbf{0 . 2 5}$ |
| Button Pressure | $(\mathrm{lbs})$ | 2 |
| Closed Fist Operation | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | NO |
| Visual Contrast | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | NO |
| Contrasting Color Bands | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | NO |
| Audible Walk Indicator | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | NO |
| Button Locator Tone | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | NO |
| Directional Info | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | YES |

## NNE Clear Floor Space

115 • As-Built Description:
Clear floor or ground space at the pedestrian signal device is less than the required 30 " x 48 " minimum.

- Proposed Solution:

Provide the required 30 " $\times 48^{\prime \prime}$ minimum clear floor or ground space at the pedestrian signal device.

- Notes:

Also provide closed fist operation, visual contrast, contrasting color bands, audible walk indicator and button locator tone.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { PCODE } \\ \text { ADAPROW } \end{gathered}$ | PA20 | Accessible Path | (y/n) | NO |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Clear Floor Space | ( $\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n}$ ) | NO |
|  | R306.2.2 | Clear Floor Slope | (\%) | n/a |
| CSAS | 1118B.4.1 | Clear Floor X Slope | (\%) | n/a |
| ADAAG | 4.2.4.1 | Button Ht. | (in) | 46 |
| Unit Cost |  | Button Reach | (in) | 3 |
|  | \$3900.00 | Button Diameter | (in) | 0.25 |
|  | \$39 | Button Pressure | (lbs) | 2 |
|  |  | Closed Fist Operation | (y/n) | NO |
|  |  | Visual Contrast | ( $\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n}$ ) | NO |
|  |  | Contrasting Color Bands | ( $\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n}$ ) | NO |
|  |  | Audible Walk Indicator | (y/n) | NO |
|  |  | Button Locator Tone | (y/n) | NO |
|  |  | Directional Info | ( $\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n}$ ) | YES |


| Street ID \# Survey Street | Street ID \# | Cross Street |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31 LEMOORE AVE. | 9 | BUSH ST. |  |  |
| Orientation Existing Access Barrier <br> and Proposed Solution | Codes / Mitigation Info | Measurements |  |  |
| NNW Clear Floor Space |  |  |  |  |
| 110 • As-Built Description: <br> Clear floor or ground space at the pedestrian signal device is less than the required $30^{\prime \prime} \times 48$ " minimum. | PCODE PA20 <br> ADAPROW R306.2.2 <br> CSAS 1118B.4.1 | Accessible Path <br> Clear Floor Space <br> Clear Floor Slope <br> Clear Floor X Slope | ( $\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n}$ ) <br> (y/n) <br> (\%) <br> (\%) | NO <br> NO <br> n/a <br> n/a |
| - Proposed Solution: | ADAAG 4.2.4.1 | Button Ht . | (in) | 46.5 |
| Provide the required 30" x 48" minimum clear floor or ground space at the pedestrian signal device. | Unit Cost \$3900.00 | Button Reach <br> Button Diameter <br> Button Pressure | (in) <br> (in) <br> (lbs) | 0 0.25 2 |
| - Notes: <br> Also provide closed fist operation, visual contrast, |  | Closed Fist Operation Visual Contrast | $\begin{aligned} & (y / n) \\ & (y / n) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NO } \\ & \text { NO } \end{aligned}$ |
| contrasting color bands, audible walk indicator and button |  | Contrasting Color Bands | (y/n) | NO |
| locator tone. |  | Audible Walk Indicator | ( $\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n}$ ) | No |
|  |  | Button Locator Tone | (y/n) | No |
|  |  | Directional Info | (y/n) | YES |

## SSE Clear Floor Space

```
114 • As-Built Description:
```

The slope of the floor or ground surface at the pedestrian signal device exceed 1:48 (2\%).

| PCODE PA19A |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| ADAPROW R306.2.2 |  |
| CSAS | 1118B.4.1 |
| ADAAG | 4.3.7 |

- Proposed Solution:

Modify or repave the ground surface as necessary to
Unit Cost $\$ 3900.00$
provide slope(s) not exceeding the required 1:48 (2\%) maximum in any direction.

- Notes:

Also provide closed fist operation, visual contrast, contrasting color bands, audible walk indicator and button locator tone.

| Accessible Path | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | YES |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Clear Floor Space | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | YES |
| Clear Floor Slope | $(\%)$ | $\mathbf{8 . 3}$ |
| Clear Floor X Slope | $(\%)$ | 0.5 |
| Button Ht. | (in) | 46 |
| Button Reach | (in) | 0 |
| Button Diameter | (in) | $\mathbf{0 . 2 5}$ |
| Button Pressure | $(\mathrm{lbs})$ | 2 |
| Closed Fist Operation | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | NO |
| Visual Contrast | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | NO |
| Contrasting Color Bands | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | NO |
| Audible Walk Indicator | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | NO |
| Button Locator Tone | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | NO |
| Directional Info | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | YES |

## SSW Clear Floor Space

| 111 • As-Built Description: <br> Clear floor or ground space at the pedestrian signal device is less than the required $30^{\prime \prime} \times 48^{\prime \prime}$ minimum. | $\begin{array}{r} \text { PCODE } \\ \text { ADAPROW } \\ \text { CSAS } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PA20 } \\ & \text { R306.2.2 } \\ & \text { 1118B.4.1 } \end{aligned}$ | Accessible Path <br> Clear Floor Space <br> Clear Floor Slope <br> Clear Floor X Slope | ( $\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n}$ ) <br> ( $\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n}$ ) <br> (\%) <br> (\%) | NO <br> NO <br> n/a <br> n/a |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - Proposed Solution: | ADAAG | 4.2.4.1 | Button Ht. | (in) | 46 |
| Provide the required 30" x 48" minimum clear floor or ground space at the pedestrian signal device. | Unit Cost | \$3900.00 | Button Reach <br> Button Diameter <br> Button Pressure | (in) <br> (in) <br> (lbs) | 0 0.25 2 |
| - Notes: |  |  | Closed Fist Operation | (y/n) | NO |
| Also provide closed fist operation, visual contrast, |  |  | Visual Contrast | ( $\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n}$ ) | NO |
| contrasting color bands, audible walk indicator and button |  |  | Contrasting Color Bands | (y/n) | NO |
| locator tone. |  |  | Audible Walk Indicator | (y/n) | NO |
|  |  |  | Button Locator Tone | (y/n) | NO |
|  |  |  | Directional Info | (y/n) | YES |



## WS Clear Floor Space

112 • As-Built Description:
Clear floor or ground space at the pedestrian signal device is less than the required 30 " x $48^{\prime \prime}$ minimum.

- Proposed Solution:

Provide the required 30 " x 48" minimum clear floor or ground space at the pedestrian signal device.

- Notes:

Also provide closed fist operation, visual contrast, contrasting color bands, audible walk indicator and button locator tone.

| PCODE | PA20 | Accessible Path | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | NO |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| ADAPROW | R306.2.2 | Clear Floor Space | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | NO |
| CSAS | 1118B.4.1 | Clear Floor Slope | $(\%)$ | n/a |
| ADAAG | 4.2.4.1 | Button Ht. | (in) | 46 |
|  |  | Button Reach | $(\mathrm{in})$ | 0 |
| Unit Cost | $\$ 3900.00$ | Button Diameter | $(\mathrm{in})$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 5}$ |
|  |  | Button Pressure | $(\mathrm{lbs})$ | 2 |
|  |  | Closed Fist Operation | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | NO |
|  |  | Visual Contrast | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | NO |
|  |  | Contrasting Color Bands | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | NO |
|  |  | Audible Walk Indicator | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | NO |
|  |  | Button Locator Tone | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | NO |
|  |  | Directional Info | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | YES |



## ESE Clear Floor Space

105 • As-Built Description:
The cross slope of the floor or ground surface at the pedestrian signal device exceed 1:48 (2\%).

- As-is Measurement: 5.0\%
- Proposed Solution:

Modify or repave the ground surface as necessary to provide slope(s) not exceeding the required 1:48 (2\%) maximum in any direction.

- Notes:

Also provide visual contrast, contrasting color bands, audible walk indicator and button locator tone.

| PCODE PA19B |  |
| :---: | :--- |
| ADAPROW R306.2.2 |  |
| CSAS | 1118B.4.1 |
| ADAAG 4.3.7 |  |
| Unit Cost | $\$ 2700.00$ |


| Accessible Path | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | YES |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Clear Floor Space | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | YES |
| Clear Floor Slope | $(\%)$ | 0.5 |
| Clear Floor X Slope | $(\%)$ | 5.0 |
| Button Ht. | (in) | 40.5 |
| Button Reach | (in) | 0 |
| Button Diameter | (in) | 2 |
| Button Pressure | $(\mathrm{lbs})$ | 1 |
| Closed Fist Operation | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | YES |
| Visual Contrast | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | NO |
| Contrasting Color Bands | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | NO |
| Audible Walk Indicator | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | NO |
| Button Locator Tone | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | NO |
| Directional Info | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | YES |

## NNE Pedestrian Signal

107 • As-Built Description:
A crosswalk with pedestrian signal indication does not have the audible signal device integrated into the signal device.

- Proposed Solution:

Integrate the audible signal device with the pedestrian pushbutton.

- Notes:

Also provide visual contrast, contrasting color bands and button locator tone.

## ADAPROW R306.2

Unit Cost \$2200.00

| Accessible Path | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | YES |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Clear Floor Space | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | YES |
| Clear Floor Slope | $(\%)$ | 1.8 |
| Clear Floor X Slope | $(\%)$ | 0.1 |
| Button Ht. | $(\mathrm{in})$ | 43 |
| Button Reach | $(\mathrm{in})$ | 0 |
| Button Diameter | $(\mathrm{in})$ | 2 |
| Button Pressure | $(\mathrm{lbs})$ | 1 |
| Closed Fist Operation | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | YES |
| Visual Contrast | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | NO |
| Contrasting Color Bands | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | NO |
| Audible Walk Indicator | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | NO |
| Button Locator Tone | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | NO |
| Directional Info | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | YES |


| Street ID \# Survey Street | Street ID \# | Cross Street |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31 LEMOORE AVE. | 14 | CINNAMON DR. |  |
| OrientationExisting Access Barrier <br> and Proposed Solution | Codes / Mitigation Info | Measurements |  |
| NNW Clear Floor Space |  |  |  |
| 102 • As-Built Description: <br> The slope of the floor or ground surface at the pedestrian signal device exceed 1:48 (2\%). <br> - As-is Measurement: $2.8 \%$ <br> - Proposed Solution: <br> Modify or repave the ground surface as necessary to provide slope(s) not exceeding the required 1:48 (2\%) maximum in any direction. <br> - Notes: <br> Also provide visual contrast, contrasting color bands, audible walk indicator and button locator tone. | PCODE PA19A <br> ADAPROW R306.2.2 <br> CSAS 1118B.4.1 <br> ADAAG 4.3.7 <br> Unit Cost \$2700.00 | Accessible Path $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ <br> Clear Floor Space $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ <br> Clear Floor Slope $(\%)$ <br> Clear Floor X Slope $(\%)$ <br> Button Ht. (in) <br> Button Reach (in) <br> Button Diameter (in) <br> Button Pressure $(\mathrm{lbs})$ <br> Closed Fist Operation $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ <br> Visual Contrast $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ <br> Contrasting Color Bands $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ <br> Audible Walk Indicator $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ <br> Button Locator Tone $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ <br> Directional Info $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | YES YES 2.8 1.1 42.5 0 2 1 YES NO NO NO NO YES |

## SSE Clear Floor Space

106 • As-Built Description:
The slope of the floor or ground surface at the pedestrian signal device exceed 1:48 (2\%).

- As-is Measurement: 5.1\%
- Proposed Solution:

Modify or repave the ground surface as necessary to provide slope(s) not exceeding the required 1:48 (2\%) maximum in any direction.

- Notes:

Also provide visual contrast, contrasting color bands, audible walk indicator and button locator tone.

| PCODE | PA19A |
| ---: | :--- |
| ADAPROW | R306.2.2 |
| CSAS | 1118B.4.1 |
| ADAAG | 4.3 .7 |

Unit Cost $\$ 2700.00$

| Accessible Path | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | YES |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Clear Floor Space | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | YES |
| Clear Floor Slope | $(\%)$ | 5.1 |
| Clear Floor X Slope | $(\%)$ | 1.7 |
| Button Ht. | (in) | 40.5 |
| Button Reach | (in) | 0 |
| Button Diameter | (in) | 2 |
| Button Pressure | $(\mathrm{lbs})$ | 1 |
| Closed Fist Operation | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | YES |
| Visual Contrast | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | NO |
| Contrasting Color Bands | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | NO |
| Audible Walk Indicator | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | NO |
| Button Locator Tone | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | NO |
| Directional Info | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | YES |

## SSW Clear Floor Space

103 • As-Built Description:
The slope of the floor or ground surface at the pedestrian signal device exceed 1:48 (2\%).

- As-is Measurement: 4.7\%
- Proposed Solution:

Modify or repave the ground surface as necessary to provide slope(s) not exceeding the required 1:48 (2\%) maximum in any direction.

- Notes:

Also provide visual contrast, contrasting color bands, audible walk indicator and button locator tone.

| PCODE PA19A |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| ADAPROW | R306.2.2 |
| CSAS | 1118B.4.1 |
| ADAAG | 4.3.7 |
| Unit Cost | $\$ 2700.00$ |


| Accessible Path | $(y / n)$ | YES |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Clear Floor Space | $(y / n)$ | YES |
| Clear Floor Slope | $(\%)$ | 4.7 |
| Clear Floor X Slope | $(\%)$ | 1.7 |
| Button Ht. | (in) | 40.5 |
| Button Reach | (in) | 0 |
| Button Diameter | (in) | 2 |
| Button Pressure | $(\mathrm{lbs})$ | 1 |
| Closed Fist Operation | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | YES |
| Visual Contrast | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | NO |
| Contrasting Color Bands | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | NO |
| Audible Walk Indicator | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | NO |
| Button Locator Tone | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | NO |
| Directional Info | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | YES |



## WS Clear Floor Space

104 • As-Built Description:
The cross slope of the floor or ground surface at the pedestrian signal device exceed 1:48 (2\%).

- As-is Measurement: 3.1\%
- Proposed Solution:

Modify or repave the ground surface as necessary to provide slope(s) not exceeding the required 1:48 (2\%) maximum in any direction.

- Notes:

Also provide visual contrast, contrasting color bands, audible walk indicator and button locator tone.

| PCODE ADAPROW | PA19B | Accessible Path | (y/n) | YES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Clear Floor Space | (y/n) | YES |
|  | R306.2.2 | Clear Floor Slope | (\%) | 1.2 |
| CSAS | 1118B.4.1 | Clear Floor X Slope | (\%) | 3.1 |
| ADAAG | 4.3.7 | Button Ht. | (in) | 41.5 |
|  |  | Button Reach | (in) | 0 |
| Unit Cost | \$2700.00 | Button Diameter | (in) | 2 |
|  |  | Button Pressure | (lbs) | 1 |
|  |  | Closed Fist Operation | ( $\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n}$ ) | YES |
|  |  | Visual Contrast | (y/n) | NO |
|  |  | Contrasting Color Bands |  | NO |
|  |  | Audible Walk Indicator | (y/n) | NO |
|  |  | Button Locator Tone | (y/n) | NO |
|  |  | Directional Info | (y/n) | YES |

Street ID \# Survey Street Street ID \# Cross Street
31 LEMOORE AVE. 14 CINNAMON DR.

| Orientation | Existing Access Barrier <br> and Proposed Solution | Codes / Mitigation Info | Measurements |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Total Costs for Ped. Signals along: Lemoore Ave.
\$51,200.00
Grand Total for Pedestrian Signals in: City of Lemoore


[^0]:    Total Costs for Curb Ramps at :

